### NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION

+ + + + +

## HIGHLY MIGRATORY SPECIES ADVISORY PANEL

+ + + + +

### MEETING

+ + + + +

# THURSDAY SEPTEMBER 7, 2017

+ + + + +

The Advisory Panel met in the Sheraton Silver Spring, Cypress Room, 8777 Georgia Avenue, Silver Spring, Maryland, at 8:30 a.m., Bennett Brooks, Facilitator, presiding.

#### PRESENT

BENNETT BROOKS, Facilitator JASON ADRIANCE, State Rep PATRICK AUGUSTINE, Recreational ANNA BECKWITH, Council Rep TERRI BEIDEMAN, Commercial RICK BELLAVANCE, Recreational ROBERT BOGAN, Recreational ANDRE BOUSTANY, Academic BENJAMIN CARR, Environmental MARCUS DRYMON, State Rep SONJA FORDHAM, Environmental WALTER GOLET, Academic\* JOHN GRAVES, ICCAT Advisory Committee LISA GREGG, State Rep RANDY GREGORY, State Rep LUKE HARRIS, Commercial DEWEY HEMILRIGHT, Council Rep RUSSELL HUDSON, Commercial ROBERT HUETER, Academic STEPHEN IWICKI, Recreational ANDREW MARSHALL, Commercial SHANA MILLER, Environmental

ROBERT NAVARRO, Recreational

JEFF ODEN, Commercial

MICHAEL PIERDINOCK, Recreational

GEORGE PURMONT, Commercial

KIRBY ROOTES-MURDY, Council Rep

RICHARD RUAIS, Commercial

MARK SAMPSON, Recreational

MARTIN SCANLON, Commercial

DAVID SCHALIT, Proxy for Christopher Weiner, Commercial

MICHAEL SISSENWINE, Council Rep

RICK WEBER, Recreational

KATIE WESTFALL, Environmental

ANGEL WILLEY, State Rep

ROMULUS WHITAKER, Recreational

ALSO PRESENT

RANDY BLANKINSHIP, Highly Migratory Species Management Division

KARYL BREWSTER-GEISZ, Highly Migratory Species Management Division

CRAIG COCKRELL, Highly Migratory Species
Management Division

GUY DUBECK, Highly Migratory Species
Management Division

STEVE DURKEE, Highly Migratory Species Management Division

LAUREN LATCHFORD, Highly Migratory Species
Management Division

BRAD McHALE, Highly Migratory Species Management Division

SARAH McLAUGHLIN, Highly Migratory Species Management Division

MARGO SCHULZE-HAUGEN, Highly

Migratory Species Management Division

TOM WARREN, Highly Migratory Species Management Division

JACKIE WILSON, Highly Migratory Species
Management Division

### CONTENTS

Reconvene
Electronic HMS Dealer Process Overview & Updates 4
Upcoming Rulemaking: Commercial Shark Closure Threshold & Advanced Notice Proposed Rule
Ongoing Issues: Pelagic Longline Fishery Overview of Swordfish Landings in the Pelagic
Longline Fishery
3-Year Review
Ongoing Issues: Bluefin Tuna General Category "January" Fishery
Final Amendment 10 on Essential Fish Habitat
Public Comment
Meeting Wrap Up and HMS Division Priorities Presentation
Adjourn

#### P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

8:39 a.m.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: All right, let's get going here then. Thanks, everybody, for coming back. All right, so just to give you a sense of the day, if I could ask folks to just quiet down here, that would be great.

We're going to have to improvise a little bit with the weather. It's beautiful outside here, but not everywhere in the world, so we know a bunch of folks need to get moving and we want to really respect that, so we have been looking at the agenda and trying to figure out some options.

We had already covered the 2:30 to 3:00 item, so our thinking is, and this comes from some suggestions from AP members who want to test this a little bit, if we just push through lunch, we would probably be able to get out of here by 1:00 at the latest, and depending on how long conversations take, maybe a little bit earlier, but, you know, we would just play that by ear, but it looks like we could be out of here by 1:00.

So if that is helpful to folks, I think that's what we'll aim for, but let me just get a quick reaction. Does that seem problematic to anybody? Ben?

MEMBER CARR: Do we still have

cookies?

FACILITATOR BROOKS: That's a good question. The answer is yes, but it just means you need to eat more of them in less time. Pat?

MEMBER AUGUSTINE: It's very

problematic because I have two boxes of cookies, so when do you want them put out?

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Put them out now, Pat. Put them out. Put them out now.

MEMBER AUGUSTINE: Put them out now, okay.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Put them out. MEMBER AUGUSTINE: All right.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: All right, so I think we're going to plan on that then. Just assume we're going to push - oh, sorry, please?

MR. SCHALIT: My only consideration is that we would rush through to make this deadline and that we would not give an adequate amount of time to the issues that we're here to do. I mean, we're here now. We all took the time away from whatever else we're doing. We might as well do the job that needs to be done without rushing is all I'm saying.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Totally agree, and our current thinking isn't to rush us. It would just be to simply work through lunch, so we would have the exact same time allotted to each agenda item. Okay, any other quick thoughts on that?

All right, so let's assume then we will not break for lunch at 12:00. Instead, we will just go directly to the 1:30 to 2:30 item and we will still give that the full hour and not trim anything.

So I guess the only ramification of that is at the 9:45 break, you may have to go check out rooms, but also if you get hungry, I would recommend running by Starbucks and picking up a snack or something that you can have to get you through 1:00, or cookies, but if you want protein, go to Starbucks.

Let's see, other than that, I think that's the main thing on the agenda. I know Steve wanted to make a couple of comments because he has to cut out early, and then Margo, so Steve, we'll hand it off to you first.

MEMBER IWICKI: Okay, I appreciate it because I do have to leave early because of other work things. I really just wanted three points in less than three minutes. They're all positive.

If you didn't realize, Chris was back here last night to have some really good discussions and he and I talked a lot about the diversity of this panel compared to other panels we've both been involved in in interagency kind of stuff.

So again, I kind of expressed what I always tell you, Margo. The way you herd the cats around here with the whole team is fantastic. I impressed that upon him because I think he hasn't quite grasped the uniqueness of the panel from environmentalists to commercial recs and all that kind of stuff.

I just wanted to personally also thank everybody from Margo's team and from the fellow

AP members that took the time to talk with Caroline, my daughter back here, about her career path and advice as she tries to get into this science area. It was really appreciated and gave her some give advice, and particularly how to beat the computer scoring on govjobs.

Then lastly, my wife has twisted my arm to go to the beach in Destin for a week in about 10 days, and I need some fishing recommendations because I can't sit on the beach for a week in a chair and look at the water.

So if anybody's got an idea for a head boat or a charter in the Destin area, just shoot me a quick note or drop it on a piece of paper.

I'd appreciate it because I can't sit on the shore and look at the water for a week. Thanks.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: All right, Margo? Thanks, Steve.

MS. SCHULZE-HAUGEN: So yes, I have a quick announcement. So Rich Ruais has indicated that this is his last AP meeting, and Rich has been with the panel since its inception, and has been just a stalwart for the industry for decades, and so I wanted to take a moment and acknowledge his dedication and service over the many, many years, and thank him for his time, and thank you for serving.

MEMBER RUAIS: Thank you very much, Margo, for those kinds words. It's probably the worst kept secret that I was planning on making this my last year, but I'm very proud of what this panel and the IAC have achieved since 1991. I was just talking to Shana and I think we were on like minds at the improvement in the stock condition that we've done.

I thank all of the AP members and the IAC members for putting up with me. I know sometimes I've been impetuous and maybe a little bit too long-winded at times, but always in the spirit of trying to improve the fishery and the fishery that I represent which is the handgear fishery, so, but thank you.

Thank you, and it's not the end. I actually have a year left on my ICCAT Advisory Committee which I plan on fulfilling, but I think it's going to be a critical year and I really do want to focus on that, and I think it's time for new blood and we're bringing new blood.

ABTA has plenty of competent people standing in the wings, and we're going to have a real good - we have a good really solid couple of recommendations for you to carry on. Thank you.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: All right, then let's jump in, and the first agenda item is an update, overview and an update on the electronic HMS dealer process, so Jackie, all yours.

MS. WILSON: Okay, good morning. Hopefully you can hear me. My name is Jackie Wilson for some of the folks who have not met me. I've been working on electronic dealer reporting within our HMS groups since about 2011.

So today I want to go over how we have implemented electronic dealer reporting for our seafood dealers, so these are the federal dealers that have tuna, shark, and swordfish dealer permits.

In 2013, we required that they report electronically. We also required that they do that on a weekly basis. This is a change from what existed in the past, and the main thing is that electronic dealer reporting wasn't new at this time.

It had been going on since about 2004 up in the northeast, and so this is something we were able to have our dealers so, and I want to go through how we use not only a program that we developed, we have an Oracle database that we keep all of our HMS landings in, but basically how we use this data to do our quota monitoring and our QA/QC processes.

So this is just a really brief outline of the talk. I'm going to first go over our sources of the landings data that we get. You'll see that there's a number of different programs that we use in order to collect this data.

One of the things that I want to emphasize is that we are talking about the BAYS tuna here, so bigeye, albacore, yellowfin, and skipjack. The tunas do not include bluefin tuna. That's a separate piece. We talked about that a little bit yesterday afternoon. This also includes swordfish and sharks, so that's really what I'm going to be focusing in on today.

I'm going to go over some of our data quality assurance and control procedures, so QA/QC, how we review that data and make sure it's

accurate, and then our dealer reporting compliance because the other part of this is making sure we get those reports in a timely fashion, and so we do compliance, and there are steps we have in place I'll go through a little bit, and then basically how we take that data and we apply it to our quota monitoring.

These dealer reports are the basis of our quota monitoring. It's how we get our landings data so that we can monitor the fisheries over time and see whether or not we need to close a fishery, so they're a really important source of data for us.

So what this is doing here is, what I want to emphasize is before we started electronic dealer reporting within HMS, it existed in other places, and there were a lot of other electronic reporting programs out there. So really to ease the burden on the dealers, we were able to incorporate our new requirements within the existing programs.

The only thing that we did do is we created a new program which you can see up here is our HMS electronic reporting program, and this was created specifically for dealers that mainly only deal with HMS product. It's a much more simplified design.

They don't have to go through a large species tree, and it really is helpful for folks like in the Caribbean that only have an HMS dealer reporting requirement. There are no other state or other federal reporting requirements they have to meet, so that was the only program we actually created, but we did utilize the existing programs.

We inserted our requirements within those programs, and this allowed the dealers to basically meet our reporting requirements, but they could use the existing systems that they were used to, and not only that, but they create one report, or you'll hear me call it a ticket.

I mean the same thing if I use that interchangeably, but they can create one report and they can meet both their state and their federal reporting requirements, so it makes it much easier on the dealer.

We do the hard job of gathering the data in the background, which I'll show you in

just a minute, but this allowed us to go ahead and implement things that were already in place. We also made sure that our reporting period matched what existed in the other regions.

So right now from Maine to Texas if a dealer purchases anything from Sunday to Saturday, they have to report that by the following Tuesday, and that applies to all the species, whatever happens in GARFO, in the southeast, in the Gulf, so it makes it much easier for the dealer to be able to know what they have to report and when they have to report it by.

We also switched things over to a trip basis when we went to electronic reporting. Before that, the dealers in the southeast and the Gulf would actually aggregate their landings on a two-week basis and then they would report it to the agency, but now we go to a trip basis so we actually know where that product came from, which vessel in particular, and each report is for one trip and that allows us to match up those data to other things like logbooks we can use as kind of our checks in our quality control process, so these were big changes and it's really helped in our collection of these data.

The one thing I'll mention here is that you can see there's a variety of systems, and not that it's overly important, but these here are basically web-based programs where the dealer can use any computer to create a report. These programs here are trip tickets.

These are state-based programs that we were able to piggyback our federal requirements on, but the dealers can actually download those programs to an individual computer. The nice thing there is they can fill in those reports when they're offline, and then when they do connect to the internet, they can send a single report or they can send a batch of reports in.

So what I'm going to show you here is how we actually get those data into our eDealer database, and how we get the data really depends on where the dealer is located and which program they're using to report.

So we have pretty complicated data pathways on how we actually grab that data in the back end. Again, the dealers don't see this.

The dealers just use the reporting program they're used to. They fill out one report and they report everything that they purchase.

They don't have to pick and choose an HMS report versus a SERO report versus a GARFO report, and we do the hard work in the back end and basically grab all of the HMS landings that may be on a report. We consolidate them, and then we're able to actually do a nightly data sync and we pull those data into our eDealer database, and so the nice thing here is that we have been able to now have one place that houses all of our HMS landings and we can review those on a daily or weekly basis.

The one thing I do want to note is that the only time we get data in a real time fashion in terms of dealer reports and we see that report right away is for folks like in the Caribbean that are using our eDealer program.

Those data go directly into our database, but the other data, it takes a little bit of time in order to consolidate all of the data, so it may be 24 to 48 hours before we actually get the data into the database.

There are cases where we actually pull the landings from state reports because we get a copy of the state reports, but the states have a different reporting time frame. Most require a monthly reporting basis, so those data that's coming from a state only dealer, we'll get that data a month and a half after the fact, so there's a little bit of a lag.

And then there are cases where we have some state dealers who are still reporting on paper to some states, and in those cases, the state will actually enter the data into the appropriate data warehouse and we can pull those data up to a year later.

So while we were able to get some more timely data given electronic reporting, there still are some lags in the system, and I'll highlight why that's important in just a minute.

So the first thing that we're doing in terms of our quality or QA/QC processes, we have a mixture of automated checks as well as manual checks, but when the data first comes into our system, the application actually will scan those reports and they'll see if there are any

problems.

And if there are problems, we get automated emails that are sent to our team and that alerts us to things that we need to look into, and then our team will actually go follow up with the dealer, see what's going on, see if there are other problems, and then usually we have the dealer address those issues and resubmit reports so that everybody in that data pathway chain has the same information that we do.

So this is just kind of one step, the initial step in the process. It's just giving you some simple statistics like we had 186 notifications so far in 2017, and we have a range of different things that the program's kind of automatically looking at as a first check in the overall steps.

So we have these system checks that we're looking for the completeness of the dealer reports, but our staff is then actually digging in if there are any issues, and we're doing manual checks as well to make sure things make sense given who the dealer is, who the vessel is, where they're fishing, etcetera.

We also have a dedicated database analyst on the team, so she's looking at issues that go on that she's constantly digging in and finding things if there's anything that seems to be wrong that we need to look at on a much broader level.

And we're documenting all of our changes, not only within the Oracle database, but we have, outside we have a log where we actually track everything so that we know when we went back to a dealer what we asked them to change, what was changed, etcetera.

So the next part of this is not only to make sure that the data is as accurate as possible, but also that we get it in a timely fashion, and so for the commercial dealers, we are actually running weekly compliance checks to make sure that if they submit a report, was that report submitted by the Tuesday deadline, and if they didn't submit a report, then they are actually prohibited from purchasing any HMS until those missing reports are submitted, and so we do this weekly check.

We have, right now at the time that we

put the slide together, there was 435 dealers that we were monitoring. Right now we're at about 476, so it fluctuates a little bit over time, but we're constantly checking that universe.

And what you can see here just to kind of give you a flavor, we had about 315. This is on average. This is just 2017 information, but on average, we have 315 reports that were late. One thing to keep in mind is that a dealer may submit multiple reports in a given reporting week, so it's not just one report for one week, which is why that number seems kind of high.

To give you an overall sense, this is about eight percent of the total number or the average number of reports that are submitted on a weekly basis. The average number of dealers that were reporting late is about 49. So of that 435 dealers, 49 of those guys are reporting late.

If we focus in on the shark dealers because our shark quotas actually are some of the smallest ones that we manage, if we look at the total number of late reports in 2017 that were submitted by those shark dealers, we're looking at 2,210.

That's about two percent of the total number of reports that have been submitted, and the average number of days that the shark dealer reports are submitted late is about 10 days, but there's a wide range.

So all of these things play into when we actually get that data, and those are all things that we take into consideration when we're putting together our landings updates.

Now, there are consequences to reporting late. We actually coordinate with NOAA enforcement. We track the history of the dealers, and when the dealers are chronically late in their reports or they're missing reports over time, we do refer them to enforcement, and there are monetary penalties associated with that and it can go up to permanent sanctions depending on how egregious those things are.

We also track when the renewals take place for the dealer permits, so we work with our southeast permit office. We work with the GARFO permit office. And if a dealer tries to renew their permit, if they submit an application for a

renewal and we find that they're missing reports, so they have incomplete reports, then we will deem that application as incomplete and we will not allow that permit to be issued until they submit all of the missing or they complete all of the necessary reports.

Again, that one is something that is kind of our last ditch effort. It happens at the end of the year. We want to get those reports in a more timely fashion, so again, our weekly compliance checks help ensure that, but this is our last ditch effort in order to get any missing reports.

So now I'm going to kind of switch over to how we take those data and we use it for our quota monitoring. When we are - this is for all of the HMS. When we're looking at the data and things and we're considering when we're putting together our landings updates, so we've gone through our initial QA/QC, and as we go down into the landings update, we increase kind of our scrutiny that we can look at.

We're doing automatic checks for duplicate reports, so our system actually on a weekly basis is looking for potential duplicate reports and then potential duplicate landings within a report, so that's an automatic check that's done.

We also have made recently a lot of headway on trying to weed out where you have two different dealers that are reporting the same product, and so that actually ends up in a duplicate report.

We are looking at the weights, so we have to make sure that we convert that weight into the appropriate quota monitoring weight, so sharks, for instance, the quotas are in a dressed weight. Tunas are in a whole weight, so when we're putting together those landings updates, we have to take those things into consideration.

We're also looking for missing reports, which is kind of a difficult thing to do because you don't know what you don't have, but we're able to, now that things are on a trip by trip basis in those reports, we can compare it to our vessel logbooks, and the logbooks indicate who they sold to, and so if we then find that there is no corresponding dealer report that

matches with that logbook, we can identify it as a missing dealer report and we follow up with the dealer.

We also do get copies with the state reports, and so we can look for any mismatches where we have a state report and we don't have the corresponding federal report, and we can follow up with the dealer as well.

And then for swordfish, we have an extra step because we actually monitor the quote based on the permit type associated with the vessel that's landing the swordfish, and that determines whether or not those landings are attributed to either the directed or the incidental quotas, so that's another additional step we do in the swordfish landings.

So I'm going to move on now. The next part of this talk is going to kind of focus in on Atlantic sharks specifically. And if you recall in Amendment 2 in 2008, we made a change where the fisheries, the different shark management groups can close when NMFS calculates that we are projected to reach or we are at 80 percent of any of the given quotas, and once we close the fishery, the fishery can't reopen for that year. Before that, we were managing up to the 100 percent mark.

In addition, the shark fishery closure is effective no less than five days upon filing in the Federal Register. So we gave the fishermen a chance to actually finish their trips and then land what they could of the remaining quota with that five days' notice.

So what does this mean? Basically, we're looking at the landings over time, but again, remember kind of the main point is we don't want to exceed that 100 percent of that quota. We don't want to go over that mark, and so by having that kind of 20 percent buffer or we're shooting for that 80 percent mark, that allows us to look at those landings.

We have this little bit of cushion, if you will, so when we have state reports that come in, we have late dealer reports that come in, or even at the end of the year in our final tallies, we have those state reports that were reported on paper and they're over a year late, we have that cushion or that buffer so that we don't go over

that 100 percent mark, so that 20 percent has been trying to accomplish that goal.

And what I'm showing you here basically is you have - this is ever since we implemented Amendment 2. So we've got time going on up here, and we've got our percentage of quota, and these are the different shark groups that we have for our quota groups.

And what you can see here in black, this is prior to electronic dealer reporting. This is since we implemented electronic dealer reporting, and the grayed out section, these were the landings before we closed the fishery. The darkened parts of the bars are the landings that came in after the closure.

So you can see if we were actually closing the fishery at 100 percent, mostly likely all of the time we would be over our quotas, so that 20 percent allows that buffer. And in some cases, we are still kind of getting caught by surprise and going over, but the 20 percent has really allowed us to minimize that to the extent we can, and the next talk is actually going to be addressing that a little bit more and talk about some potential changes to that.

So briefly here in terms of when we're putting together our landings updates and when we're monitoring whether or not we need to close a fishery, we're taking our landings data that we have in our Oracle database.

Then around 60 percent or when we see that a particular group has reached 60 percent, we start doing projections and we try to estimate when that fishery is going to hit that 80 percent mark, or if when we do our landings update, we see that we're at the 80 percent mark, we'll then put together a closure notice to then give that five days' notice upon filing that we're going to have to close that particular fishery.

This next slide here is just kind of giving you a hypothetical and what happens during the projections. So again, we've got our landings over time. We have a number of different rates that we'll potentially look at, and these are daily landings rates so this is the number of pounds that are landed per day. We do this on a weekly basis as we know we're getting closer to a fishery potentially closing.

But when you hit that 60 percent of the quota, we'll start doing our projections, and based on what's going on in the fishery, if we know landings are really high, we know other fisheries are closing, or if we know that the weather's been bad, other things are going to happen that may potentially slow down the fishery, then we'll select potentially a lower rate for those projections.

But when we think we're going to hit 80 percent of we've hit 80 percent, then we'll put together that fishery closure to let the universe know we're going to have to close that fishery. And so when we get to that point, we're taking kind of even a more closer look at the data, and so we will - I'm sorry.

We will review the species and make sure that we know that we have the correct species and the correct management groups or the quota complexes. We'll take a second look at the grade, so the grade is how the animals are essentially cut up and sold to the dealer, whether it's dressed or it's whole. We'll make sure we're not including things like fins and belly flaps in the actual totals.

We double check the HMS catch area. The HMS catch area is actually where the animal leaves the water. So we monitor our quotas based on where the animal leaves the water, not where the vessel docks or where the dealer is located, and so we base all of our quotas on where those catch areas are.

The dealers have to report the catch area, and so we're looking at the HMS catch area based on the vessel, based on where the dealer is, making sure that things make sense.

We actually use the area fished which indicates where the fisherman was fishing and make sure that makes sense given the HMS catch area that's reported. We look at things like the landing port to make sure that something doesn't look odd and we don't have a landing port on the Atlantic, but for some reason these guys are showing they're fishing in the Gulf.

And if we do find any discrepancies or have any questions, we go back to the dealer and we clarify those issues. If changes need to be made, they make the change to the dealer report,

and they resubmit it.

We then will do our landings updates, and again, we do this on a monthly basis. We have a separate one for swordfish. We have BAYS tuna, and then we have our sharks. These go out on our lists or they get put on our web page.

And then we'll notice that some things kind of change over time. I mean, usually you expect those to be going up in the landings, but sometimes there may be a change. Things may go down, and this can be due to a number of things.

We can have data entry errors that we found in the reports, and so we've had to go back to the dealer. They made a correction. That correction comes through. So again, we're doing this on kind of a weekly basis, manually looking at that data and seeing if there are changes.

There may have been missing dealer reports that finally came in, and so you may see a bigger jump all of a sudden, the same thing with the late dealer reports. Somebody may have been sitting on reports that they shouldn't have been sitting on, and then all of a sudden, there's a big jump that we weren't expecting, or you may see things dip down because we found there were duplicate reports. Duplicate reports are difficult to identify sometimes, and so we'll obviously correct and adjust our landings update based on what we can find as we go forward.

So overall, this was meant to kind of give you a sense of our electronic dealer reporting requirements, how we get those data, how we scrutinize those data, and then how we use them to monitor our fisheries and determine whether or not we need to close anything during a fishing season. So with that, I'm happy to take any questions.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Thanks, Jackie, great presentation. Any questions? We have time for a couple. David?

MR. SCHALIT: Thank you for that really good presentation. I have a comment and maybe a question, and maybe this question is not for you necessarily, but I'm just wondering. You know, we find that these reports you send us on commercials landings for BAYS are terribly useful, okay?

Don't stop them, but I'm wondering,

and it's just a hypothetical, but when will we expect to start seeing this similar information for the recreational sector? But maybe that's not within the scope of this, and then I have a comment after this.

MS. WILSON: Well, I think kind of this gets to what Brad had mentioned yesterday too. The recreational guys, they can't sell, so we don't have dealer reports that we would do something analogous in terms of what we're doing, you know, here, so we don't have those kind of data to actually apply to like a landings update or anything. I will say in the swordfish updates we do incorporate the recreational landings from swordfish there.

MS. SCHULZE-HAUGEN: Yes, so what we have for BAYS would also be the Large Pelagic Survey and referenced in some places. The Large Pelagic Survey is generating estimates a month after the month of fishing.

So as Brad mentioned yesterday, we should be getting the August, no, July estimates any day now. So it's roughly monthly, but we're not - we haven't been posting those in the same way, but those are available on the website. I can show you where those are and how to do that.

MR. SCHALIT: The other thing I wanted to mention is that you may be aware that there are HMS fishermen who carry maybe one or possibly two different logbooks in addition to the requirements for direct reporting to the HMS management division.

I'll give you an example. In the northeast, it's very typical that bluefin tuna fishermen or BAYS fishermen will have a multispecies permit, a federal multispecies permit which enables them to catch fish to be used as bait like herring, and mackerel, and stuff like this.

Now, under the existing rules, we are required, we are obligated to report our HMS landings on that logbook as well, not just the species that we're talking for bait, and then the same would also be the case if we were to have a permit for dolphinfish which is issued by the southeast.

So we have a separate logbook for the southeast for dolphinfish, and we have this

logbook that I mentioned for multispecies from Gloucester, and technically as I understand it, we would be obligated to report our HMS landings on both of these logbooks in addition to, let's say, dolphinfish.

So my only concern here is, well, obviously other than the burden of the paperwork, would be that there would be some duplication that takes place in your system as a result of all of this duplicate data being given to the agency.

MS. WILSON: Well, keep in mind that's at the vessel level. I'm talking about the dealer level, and so we actually do track the VTRs and match those up on the dealer reports, and so even though that particular person may have two separate logbooks, I'm assuming they're selling to a single dealer, and therefore the dealer would report what they buy.

So they're not going to have duplicate reporting in those cases, but yeah, I hear you about the multiple logbooks and I do think there are efforts to try to simplify that in the future. I'm not the one to really speak about that, but yeah, that case I don't think would affect this as much.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Thanks, let me get in Rusty, then Andre, then Bob, then Jason.

MEMBER HUDSON: Thank you, Bennett, two questions. We've had electronic reporting

two questions. We've had electronic reporting now for three full years, I believe, August 2014 if I recollect, oh, 2015, okay.

PARTICIPANT: No, 2013.

MEMBER HUDSON: Oh, '13, so we're at four years. Do we have 100 percent compliance on that so far?

MS. WILSON: 100 percent compliance in using, yeah. If they have a federal permit, they have to report electronically. There are no other options.

MEMBER HUDSON: Next question, what kind of teeth do you have? I mean, at the council level, we had to put some teeth in there to be able to cause peoples' permits not to be active if they didn't get things on time, and we still have a problem like in the Gulf Coast. Where is the regions and what kind of teeth do we have to get the dealers to be compliant or more

compliant?

MS. WILSON: Well, we do - so if they are chronically submitting reports late, then we do put them to enforcement, and there are summary settlements that are given out to the dealers. If we find at the time of renewal - we do work with both the southeast permit office and GARFO because we have dealer permits in both regions. We have a system set up with them.

They check in with us, and if we find that the dealer is missing any reports, we will hold that application until they submit those missing reports. If they do not submit those missing reports, whatever region they're applying to will not issue that permit, so that's the teeth I guess.

MEMBER HUDSON: Last thought, as far as the dealers go, are you able to just make a courtesy phone call when they're like a week late or something like that just to be able to, you know, rattle the cage a little bit?

MS. WILSON: Yeah, so we do have a whole system set up where we track the history of the dealers, and every two weeks we put together a list that we need to - folks we need to follow up with.

We do have a set number of calls we actually make to dealers, and then every single time when we do our compliance check, there's an automated email that gets sent to the dealer and it puts them on notice that you are late and/or missing, and so if you're missing anything, you have to submit it right away, so they are given notice via email.

They do get phone calls throughout the year. They do not get phone calls every single time they're late. And then if they continue to be late, they get sent to enforcement. So they have, I would say, adequate warning when they're not reporting on time.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Thanks, Andre?

MEMBER BOUSTANY: Yes, it was kind of a comment/question, which we tend to get those around here, but how much effort has there been to get the states' systems integrated better, both with each other among the states and then between the states and the government?

It seems as though, I mean, just

looking at the dealer data pathways in slide four, anytime you're duplicating effort like that, not only is a lot more effort, but there's - you're introducing a lot of potential for duplicates and mistakes anytime you have any of these things along the way.

And to hear that some states are still using paper systems as opposed to, you know, this, what seems to be a very nice system that you've built here, has there ever been an effort to try to coordinate among the states? And I know that's not your job, but do you know of any efforts to try to do that? It just seems like this is ripe for consolidating and streamlining.

MS. WILSON: So just in case I wasn't clear, the dealers actually, by incorporating in the existing programs, they are able to submit one report and that can go to the state and the fed, so they don't have to create separate reports unless they insist on reporting on paper and then they have a federal permit and they also have to do it electronically.

But, yes, to get to your question, we do. We've tried very hard. I go to the Gulf commission meetings that meet twice a year. We worked very closely with ACCSP and we have tried to work with the states. Things are different in terms of which region you're in.

In the GARFO region, it's actually considered a federal data collection for the dealer reports, and so the federal systems have incorporated and worked with the states, but when you go from North Carolina to Texas, those are individual state reporting programs.

They are wedded to those programs, and we work with them to the extent that we can and they'll cooperate, and so we were able to incorporate our HMS requirements in those programs on a state by state negotiation, and so at least we have those dealers being able to use the programs that they're used to using and they don't have to use those programs and go and use an HMS program.

But, yeah, we're constantly in negotiations and trying to make it a more streamlined process. They are working in the Gulf now to actually switch from some of these PC-based to a more web-based program, but again,

that is really up to the individual states and to the extent that they want to cooperate.

MEMBER BOUSTANY: And just a quick follow up on that, how much of that is passive resistance of just not wanting to change what they're doing versus active resistance where they think their system is somehow better or they want to keep using their system for some reason or another?

MS. SCHULZE-HAUGEN: Well, I was going to interject that Jackie's being very modest and that the amount of effort that we have expended at trying to make this as efficient for the dealers as well as for the process, I mean, it's an incredible amount of work, and, you know, you just can't change everything and get agreement on everything. So to your question about whether it's passive or active resistance, I think it's both.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: All right, I've got two more AP members in the queue here, and then we should push on. Bob?

MEMBER HUETER: First, Jackie, excuse me, very nice work as usual from you. I would call this a model PowerPoint presentation, beautifully done. Any particular insight that you can give us on what happened with the hammerheads in the western Gulf last year?

It looks like when the fishery, when you moved to close the fishery, it was already almost 120 percent filled, and then by the time all was said and done, it shot over 140 percent, so I'm wondering was this due to dealers not reporting or was it due to an unexpected rate of catch in that period?

PARTICIPANT: So are you talking about

MEMBER HUETER: 2016, the overshoot on the hammerheads.

PARTICIPANT: Yeah.

MEMBER HUETER: I know that's a very specific question.

MR. DUBECK: So again, that was one of the issues where the dealer was holding onto the reports, so then when they submitted all of the reports, the landings jumped up much higher, and the quota is very small. It's like 28,000 pounds, so it doesn't take much for some of the

5

dealers to hold onto them and submit them late.

MEMBER HUETER: So let me follow up
then. Is that a case like Rusty referred to? Is
that a case where there are consequences for that
particular dealer?

MR. DUBECK: Yes, those kinds of actions.

MS. WILSON: I also want to give a shout out to Delisse who created the actual PowerPoint, so I was the presenter, but she has many more artistic skills than I do.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: And I think it's Adobe that actually created the - Jason, last word here?

MEMBER ADRIANCE: Thanks, Jackie. Can you just for my clarification walk through how a duplication might happen just to help me understand that a little bit?

MS. WILSON: Yeah, so there's actually kind of different types of duplication if you will. So you can have a case where a dealer may actually just end up submitting the same report twice, and we look at things like the vessel, the landing date, the ticket number, and you can kind of weed those out. Those are pretty clear.

You can have duplication of the landings within a report, so a dealer may accidentally hit to do swordfish twice and they meant to only do it once, and again, that's a pretty straightforward check.

Then you have cases, particularly from Florida to Texas, in the trip ticket programs when a dealer goes to submit a report, there's actually a state file that's created that meets the state requirements and there's a federal file that's created that meets the federal requirements.

We get both of those because if they're HMS, then the tickets are pulled into our system, and so those are essentially duplicate reports so we actually have to match those up. We end up discarding the state file because it doesn't meet our requirements and we take the federal file, so we have to make sure that gets cleaned up.

But there's also cases where you can have, based on what the state requirements are, and I'll use South Carolina - Wally's not here to

defend himself, but - as an example. But a vessel will come in and land in South Carolina. They then will give their product to a dealer who will pack it out.

That dealer or that entity isn't actually buying from the vessel, but the state requires if they touch it, they have to report it as a dealer. And then the product gets put on a truck and it's shipped up to somebody in the northeast who actually buys it from the vessel, so then they'll submit a report, so essentially you have two reports there.

We've made the system now so they can actually indicate if it's packed out versus if it was actually purchased, and so then when we do our landings update, we take into consideration what we call a disposition and we remove those pack outs so that we actually remove the potential duplicates that occur there, so that's another type of duplication you can have.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Nice, Jackie, you are seriously on top of this stuff. That was really impressive. Thank you. All right, let's move to the - oh, sorry, Sonja, last word.

MEMBER FORDHAM: I'm sorry, late entry. Joint consensus that's a masterful PowerPoint. I just want to make sure I'm reading this correctly to Bob's point. So on the hammerhead overage, wasn't it - so there's a problem with late reporting, but isn't it based on the overage even without the late reporting for that hammerhead last year, Gulf, yeah?

MS. WILSON: Yes, so you can see like when we closed the fishery, we were actually up, and so that can happen sometimes if we have really high landings. And again, remember this is a percentage of a small quota, so you're looking at the percentage here which is going to be even higher.

So the absolute number may be small, but when we're doing those landings updates, and we are doing them on a weekly basis for sharks, you can have a sudden jump that occurs in there, so we're trying to basically prevent that to the extent possible.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Thanks, so the next presentation really tees off of this and I'll hand it off to Lauren Latchford. This is

about the upcoming rulemaking for commercial shark closure threshold and advanced notice of proposed rule.

So she will lay out what the thinking is, and we definitely want to have a little bit of conversation and feedback from you all on this.

MS. LATCHFORD: Hello, great, hi, everyone. If you don't know me, my name is Lauren Latchford. I'm here and will be presenting today on a topic that has been discussed much in the past, the 80 percent threshold and the five-day closure notice.

So this morning for our outline we are going to go over why we're considering this, a brief history of reporting and management, comments we've received on this topic in the past, options we're considering, and then we'll open this up for discussion.

So we're considering changes to the landings threshold that prompts a shark fishery closure and the length of time between public notice and the effective date of a given fishery closure.

In order to do this, we would need to update and revise existing HMS regulations that require NMFS to close fisheries with no fewer than five-days' notice when landings or projections of landings reach 80 percent of the commercial quota.

We hope that this will improve the ability to harvest available quotas to the fullest extent while also avoiding over harvests in the fisheries.

So to provide a bit of dealer reporting history, we heard a bit from Jackie, but I'll just go into this quickly, NMFS began managing Atlantic sharks in 1993. We established a requirement for federally permitted dealers to report harvest every two weeks.

Because these reports were paper based, data was often a month or more out of date. Fisheries were closed when 100 percent of the quota was reached, and any shark fishery closure was effective no less than five days from notice of filing with the Federal Register.

And in 2008 when Amendment 2 to the 2006 Consolidated HMS FMP was finalized, NMFS has

the same requirement for federally permitted dealers to report every two weeks. However, the quota was changed from 100 percent to 80 percent of the available overall regional and/or subregional quota. Shark fishery closures were still effective for no less than five-days' notice from filing with the Federal Register.

And in 2013, all Atlantic HMS federal dealers were required to report the harvest of sharks, swordfish, and BAYS tuna on a weekly basis through eDealer, and shark fisheries still closed when a shark management group reached or was projected to reach 80 percent, and the shark fishery closures were effective no less than five-days' notice of filing with the Federal Register.

So NMFS has received numerous comments through Amendment 2, the blacknose rulemaking, and at AP meetings that NMFS should modify the current 80 percent threshold and the five-day notice.

Some of the comments have included changing the threshold from 80 to 90 percent, and this is from Amendment 2, increasing the closure notice because five-day notice wasn't long enough for pelagic longline fishermen, considering either a three-day closure notice to be consistent with bluefin tuna regulations or reduce the threshold to 70 percent, and we also received one from Amendment 2 to ask us to predict how long the season should remain open to fill the quota based on past catch rates.

We received one comment from the blacknose rule to change the 80 percent threshold to an unknown higher threshold. And during the AP meetings in 2010, we were asked to move reporting from every two weeks to every week to use the full quota, which was done.

In 2014, we were asked to change the closure notice to 95 percent now that eDealer was in place, and in 2016 and 2017, we were asked to increase the threshold from 80 to 90 percent.

So with all of this in mind, we started to think about viable options for landings thresholds and closure notices. So six options that right now we are considering for the landings thresholds, the first option would be to keep it the same and maintain the 80 percent of

the available overall regional or subregional quota.

A second option could be to increase the closure threshold to 90 percent of the quota. A third option could be to decrease the closure threshold to 70 percent of the quota. A fourth option would be to increase the closure threshold to 90 percent in the Atlantic, but keep it at 80 percent in the Gulf.

So Atlantic states require shark dealers to hold a federal shark dealer permit. However, shark dealers in the Gulf are not required to hold a federal dealer permit if they do not buy from federally permitted vessels. These dealers are required to hold a state permit.

By not having the federal permit, state dealers don't have to report electronically every week and can report on a monthly basis or via paper forms. Additionally, all Atlantic states close state waters to commercial shark fishing at the same time as federal waters, but some Gulf of Mexico states allow at least limited shark landings in state waters after federal closures, so this would be the reason why we would have 80 percent in the Gulf of Mexico and 90 percent in the Atlantic.

A fifth option would be to establish objective criteria to evaluate whether a shark species and/or management group should close when landings reach or are projected to reach 80 percent or remain open until 90 percent of the quota is reached.

Certain criteria could include the stock status, patterns of over and under harvest of the fishery over the previous five years, the likelihood of continued landings after the federal closure of a fishery, or landings exceeding the quota by December 31 of each year.

And lastly, the sixth option would be to allow the shark fishery species and/or management group to remain open after the landings have reached or are projected to reach 80 percent if the species or management group landings are not projected to reach 100 percent before the end of the commercial fishing season.

So these are options to consider, changes to the period of time between filing the

shark fishery closure notice in the Federal Register and the effective closure of the shark fishery.

So the first option would be to maintain the five-day period between filing of the closure notice and the closure going into effect. A second option would be to change the minimum notice between filing a closure and going into effect to three days, and a third option would be to allow immediate closure upon filing with the Federal Register.

So we need to consider how changes in the threshold might react when combined with one of the timing options. The goal is to improve management by utilizing the quota while not over harvesting the quota.

So with that in mind, I'm hoping that we can have a discussion today about that, considering three of these questions. So what are your thoughts on these six threshold options and three notice options? What options do you think would go together most appropriately, and are there other options that we should consider that haven't been presented during this presentation?

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Thanks very much. So I think Lauren's teed that up nicely, really two areas that we're in particular looking for feedback on, the threshold and the notice. So I've got Shana, question, clarifying?

MEMBER MILLER: Yes, thank you for the presentation. How long - so even if you decided to close the fishery immediately upon the Federal Register notice - sorry, I'm still asleep and not speaking clearly here - what - when you guys decide you need to close the fishery, how soon can that Federal Register notice publish? There's a lag there too, right?

MS. BREWSTER-GEISZ: It doesn't take us very long once we do the calculations. Just like with bluefin tuna, we can get this to the Federal Register within a day or two.

MEMBER MILLER: And then it publishes the next day?

MS. BREWSTER-GEISZ: The Federal Register process is a little different. So things can file that day and then they publish a few days later, and that's if we do a file

immediately, so we let people know soon. And so what we were looking at on those three options if you want to go back, that would be - the immediate closure would be the day it files which we can do immediately.

MS. SCHULZE-HAUGEN: One quick caveat to that is that there are currently still some review procedures from new folks in the administration, so our couple of days is still possible, but not always, so we're still in a bit of that transition.

MEMBER MILLER: Yeah, just one more, so it can be the day it files, not the day it publishes though technically?

MS. SCHULZE-HAUGEN: Yes. FACILITATOR BROOKS: Katie?

MEMBER WESTFALL: Thank you, Lauren. Question, how are discards for sharks in the

aggregated coastal shark group and the hammerhead group accounted for in this?

MS. BREWSTER-GEISZ: Discards are not part of the commercial quota, so this is only in regard to commercial quota.

MEMBER WESTFALL: So I understand that this is for the directed shark commercial fishery, I'm just wondering if there's broader efforts to account for discards and landings in the recreational sector to ensure that the overall TAC that's recommended by the stock assessment isn't exceeded, and specifically I'm worried about scalloped hammerheads and whether or not the counting of those discards and landings in the rec sector put us over that overall TAC that was recommended in that 2009 assessment.

MS. BREWSTER-GEISZ: Yeah, we keep a close watch on all of that to make sure we're not going over the TAC.

MEMBER WESTFALL: Good, so we definitely are under with accounting for all by catch and fisheries that interact with hammerheads? We're under that threshold, that TAC?

MS. SCHULZE-HAUGEN: So I don't know offhand. I'm assuming. What I was going to say is that when we get an assessment, we get information on what by catch and discard rates have been, and we go through a process of kind of

taking those off the top. So what is allocated for commercial quota here then already takes that into consideration, but it's more we get a lot of that information at a stock assessment level, not at an annual in-season level.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Katie, did you want to weigh in on either of the two questions?

MEMBER WESTFALL: I just think that's an important consideration to factor in if you're looking at different thresholds and different buffers.

I think it's important to look at overall the accounting of what's happening across all sectors and where we are in terms of those TACs and the rebuilding timelines for some of these species, specifically hammerheads and the scalloped hammerhead.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Thanks, Michael?

MEMBER SISSENWINE: Yes, thank you.

My question, and actually I'd like to make a
follow up comment, relates to the one that was
just, or the conversation that just went on.

So I guess I would ask okay, here are some options, a combination of options, and you're asking for our input which sort of you're asking us what feels good, which means whether you're concerned about sharks or you're anxious to catch sharks.

What analysis is planned? I mean, what's going to be done to actually analyze these options? If there are specifics you can respond on that, I'd like to also follow up with a comment. Is it just what do we think feels good or is there going to be some analysis with data and so on?

MS. BREWSTER-GEISZ: So we're working on an environmental assessment to analyze all of these. I think you saw some of the analysis we're looking at in the graph that Jackie showed with the quotas and what happens, where do we close versus do we go over after we close and that buffer, so those are the type of things that we're looking at within that environmental assessment.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Michael, is your question a comment that it would be - it's harder to weigh in now without that analysis to sort of inform it?

5

MS. SCHULZE-HAUGEN: So part of this too is a point in time of where we are. We're working on a rulemaking. We wanted to share with you all what we're working on. We don't have the proposed rule out. The analyses are not complete, and so we could have waited and not shared our plans with you, but in the interest of sharing the feedback that we're received and what's in the works, this is where we're at.

MEMBER SISSENWINE: Okay, that's fine, and the response that the analysis will do the sort of things that you showed in one of the tables that says, "Here's the frequency with which we've overshot," and reconstruct that by going back and looking at these different options is, I think, very appropriate and would make it much more objective to comment.

Going even a little beyond that though, I have a broader comment about this. I assume that the idea is that this would be applied within the framework of the National Standard 1 guidelines, and those National Standard 1 guidelines talk about hedges against management uncertainty and science uncertainty both.

What this is describing is how one is trying to build in buffers about management uncertainty, and I don't think that one can judge what the right buffer should be of management uncertainty unless it's addressed within the context of the interaction between management and science uncertainty.

So my comment is that as this develops, yeah, I'm interested in which option results in, you know, the lowest frequency of overshooting the quota, but I also would be interested in that in the context of whether the quota was set with a very high, let's say a 50 percent probability of overshooting resulting in over fishing, or whether there was a large uncertainty buffer built into the quota.

Because those two uncertainty buffers, if we're going to do something sensible in terms of the balance you're seeking, that is fully utilized without over utilizing, you have to consider both of those together, and too often we consider them in isolation. Thank you.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Thanks, that's

very helpful. Rusty?

MEMBER HUDSON: Thank you. Personally on the Atlantic side, we have found that the toggle up/toggle down ability that we've incorporated is very useful. And as we get towards the end of the year, of course the weather is a little problematic on the East Coast and if you're further offshore. So we kind of like the idea of the 90 percent but we also know that if we're projected not to reach 100 percent before the end of the season, it usually becomes pretty apparent probably about October or so, so you could sort of reach out.

We seem to have solved the blacknose problem which, by contrast has helped us with the small coastal. With the fishery for the large coastal, we've done pretty good I think as far as catching the best part of the quota.

I think your biggest, the West Gulf of Mexico, that blacktip population, of course, didn't get caught up this year. There was other constraining linkage you have. But the East Gulf seems to be a little easier to deal with, possibly less participation because when Louisiana only had four directed permits and now have expanded to 22 directed permits, it has created a little different situation there but they've always had a strong blacktip fishery in the West Gulf. And so whenever they don't catch the quota, like this year and I believe a little bit last year, they used a carryover because it is not overfishing -- overfishing not occurring.

So with that said, you know we like the 90 percent. We like the projection if we don't reach 100 or maybe we will reach close to 100 because there are buffers built in on the science and on the management level. We know this. And with blacktip not being overfished and overfishing not occurring in the Gulf of Mexico, speaking for those folks, I know the quota could have been easily doubled but the linkage is what's really the problem. And until they get past the hammerhead issue and the non-sandbar large coastals, and that's sort of a selfmanagement thing on the part of the fishermen, there's not that many of them that are overactive.

So, those are my thoughts on this.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Thanks, Rusty.

I've got a few more people in the queue and we want to get everyone in but I am mindful of time. So I would just ask people to be as focused as you can. I've got Bob, Jason, Sonja, Terri, David, Kirby, and Angel. Did I miss anybody?

All right. So, Bob.

MEMBER HUETER: Thanks. Why does that

do that?

First, I want to second Katie's concerns and make the observation that if we had an SSC, we might have a little bit more transparency to answer these questions. So we might not but we might. We might be able to look at things like how this is relating to the TAC and get the kinds of questions that Katie and Mike are asking.

On the notice options, I really don't have any opinion on that. It's whatever works for the fishery and works for the Agency to prevent overages.

On the threshold options, though, I'm going to agree with Professor Sissenwine and his approach. If it's not too complicated, I would like to see an analysis for each of these sectors, going back to Jackie's presentation, looking at what has consistently been over or what has been an undershoot, establish objectives that match up to those sectors. So instead of one size fits all for the entire fishery, if it's not too complicated in the analysis, and it shouldn't be, the analysis can be done, then come up with criteria that match those particular sectors so that you try to hit that 100 percent target each time.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Thanks, Bob.

Jason.

MEMBER ADRIANCE: Yes, thanks. As far as notification, just like Bob said, I don't think I have any opinion on that. Our fishermen are pretty much used to 24- to 72-hour notice on most things. And I'm going to agree with Bob and Mike on an analysis. I think there needs to be an analysis done to see what are the potential impacts of using different triggers.

So, I'll leave it at that. FACILITATOR BROOKS: Thanks very much.

Sonja.

Thank you. MEMBER FORDHAM: appreciate the presentation and the idea that you're sharing this early. So, I get that. I look forward to the analysis on the questions. I think it's no surprise that I would favor erring on the side of caution. So, I would favor no more than the 80 percent threshold. think that the presentations today support, at any rate if you decide to go higher than 80 percent, I think your presentations are supporting an argument for more caution in the Gulf, with the combination of the overages with hammerheads. So that's kind of the reality. then the potential overages because of the problems with the states.

So, I point that out.

I agree that Mike Sissenwine makes a good point about the building and the buffer against uncertainty at different levels and would argue for a good buffer when we're talking about hammerheads, in particular, when setting that quota.

So I think in general on this issue, I share the concerns. I think they are broad concerns of the conservation community and they are around hammerhead sharks and their vulnerability and status and then the general way in how we're accounting, like Katie said, accounting for the bycatch.

So when we're talking about closing fisheries, you know you've heard a lot of it in the last few meetings, we're concerned about what's getting killed after that. And I understand you're building that into analysis but I'm wondering if it might help because there are still a lot of questions -- I have them and other people in my community have them and, oftentimes, I get told that we're mistaken. So, I'm wondering if it might be helpful for next time to have NIMS do a presentation on how you account for the bycatch mortality after the closures.

I think that would help clear up a lot of confusion on our part and help us form our positions.

And then on the last question, are there other options to consider, I mean looking at the previous presentation, it looked like we're doing pretty well in terms of not going

over quotas except for hammerheads. So to me, it seems like this is a species of concern and maybe there need to be other options considered for hammerheads, including maybe anything from a lower quota to a prohibition, to some sort of bycatch avoidance. But again, that needs analysis and a thorough look at how you account for the bycatch mortality as everyone this is a particular sensitive species.

And then the last question I have is just -- I know this is sensitive -- but when we might be able to have the next hammerhead assessment.

Thanks.

MS. BREWSTER-GEISZ: Thanks, Sonja. So we are looking at the assessment schedule. Right now we're looking to try to figure out what we're doing after 2020 because we're full up until then. Enric Cortes, down at the Southeast Science Center, is working through that prioritization chart, if you remember that presentation a few years ago how the agency has come up with a way of prioritizing assessments.

So hopefully, though no promises, we might have prioritization ability by the next AP meeting. And then in 2020, possibly picking something that reaches the top of that prioritization or picking a species that have little or no data and seeing if there is a way to assess some of them because there are a lot of shark species we've never assessed.

So we're working on it but no promises on hammerheads.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Thanks, Karyl.

Terri.

MEMBER BEIDEMAN: Thanks for the presentation. And just a couple of questions, actually.

Refresh my memory. How would you exactly close the fishery upon filing? I mean how would that functionally work in practicality?

And then I have another.

MS. SCHULZE-HAUGEN: We would go for file immediately and make calls as soon as we get notice that it will file immediately and people are expecting it. I mean usually, it is within that day. So it would be like file immediatelys are either like in the morning or 4:15. That's

why you get a lot of late afternoon calls on those. And like at 11:30 that night has been how I think we've had it done in other fisheries.

MEMBER BEIDEMAN: Yes, so I'm trying to remember. So they have some travel, transit -- I mean they can't fish anymore but they have the opportunity to get in and offload?

MS. SCHULZE-HAUGEN: It's offloaded by that time. I mean this is part of the reason we often have at least a day or two notice because it is really hard to reach people that are out on the water, and they come in and it's closed, and they're in trouble. I mean it doesn't work particularly well but it is something we have had and it's an option.

MEMBER BEIDEMAN: Okay. Well, my statement is that it is kind of impractical; probably not going really going to work very well for most but thanks for clearing that up.

And the other thing, it's just a comment, you know we have a lot of different kinds of sharks and this isn't particularly pertinent to these thresholds and such, but I agree with Mike. I think that the idea of you know how much precaution is built in already, you know then we would have a little bit more comfort in trying to pick what might be safe, without going over, or what would be the implications with going over.

And you know fishermen I talk to are saying there is a lot of sharks out there. We certainly see on the news and all over the media lots and lots of shark activity. So I hope that we are able to do more frequent shark assessments so that we can get timely information.

Thanks.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Thanks, Terri.

I've got three more speakers and would ask folks, to the extent you can ditto things that have been said, that will be helpful.

So, we'll go David, Kirby, Angel.
MR. SCHALIT: I'm agreeing with Mike
Sissenwine and I would just add that the target
and threshold reference point concept, which has
been detailed by the UNFAO, is what I'm making
reference to. I think that this, you know, when
we look at the biological status of a given
species, we can determine if it needs a hard

threshold and so on.

 But Mike I have a question. It seems that what we're talking about here is trying to surgically close a fishery and that would be impossible to do, given all the variables -- the time it takes to file, dealer reports, et cetera, et cetera, et cetera.

So does the Agency have the authority to close a fishery, let's say at 80 percent, and wait until the smoke clears, all the dealer reports are in, and they find there's another 1,000 tons are left or whatever, and then reopen the fishery briefly to conclude that quota season?

MS. SCHULZE-HAUGEN: I mean technically, we could do that. Challenges with that are how much quota is remaining that would justify an opening, for how long, wind, weather, and other fisheries are going on. If you don't have a decent amount of quota, you know you said oh, it's going to open for three days; and then people go because it is only three days, and you get a derby and something bad happens, and it's our fault.

And so that has been an option we have tended to avoid for those reasons. It doesn't mean it's not possible. A thousand ton quota for sharks is not at all even close. So, these are small quotas and those are some of the factors that we think about with that kind of an idea.

MR. SCHALIT: Thanks.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Good answer.

Thanks. Kirby.

MEMBER FORDHAM: Thank you and I'm still pretty new here. So, I'm still trying to get a handle on stuff but I appreciate Terri and David's comments and questions about the immediate closure.

One thing that I was trying to better understand is why there isn't an option for a longer duration in the notice beyond five days. So it seems like there is a push for these shorter timeframes. And I might have missed it when I was out earlier why there wasn't a look at a longer time period. That's my first question.

The second is if there was any thought of doing another component to the thresholds in terms of changing say possession or trip limits.

Just those are my two questions.

Thanks.

MS. BREWSTER-GEISZ: So, in regard to the longer day-notice. So, back in the '90s, early 2000s, a lot of the directed shark trips lasted five days, seven days, sometimes even longer. They would go out on a directed shark trip.

Now with the really small retention limits, there are no directed shark trips that last more than two days. It just isn't worth it in terms of a directed shark trip. There might be fishermen fishing for other species that catch a shark that yes, it's going to be a longer trip. So our pelagic longline fishermen are an example of that. But that's sort of why we're not thinking, at this point, of more than five days but it is an option if people would like us to consider it that we can add in.

The thought about changing the trip limits, we already have that built in. We do that, as Rusty pointed, out fairly regularly now within the Atlantic.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Thanks. Angel.

MEMBER WILLEY: Hi, I just wanted to speak in terms of complementary management in Maryland State waters. We are required to have 48 hours public notice for our fishermen. And so an immediate closure would not be complementary in our state waters.

And then, additionally, we wait to issue our public notice until we've received a notification from the Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Dewey, I see your card up there.

MEMBER HEMILWRIGHT: Thanks. There's been two good presentation that's kind of laid out the groundwork. There is basically not really a what I consider a directed fishery, what used to be.

I'd be in favor of the no action, the five-day notice. I clearly HMS knows where their problem is in different areas, whether it is in the Gulf of Mexico or in the Atlantic, the reporting requirements from the dealers and the state Board of Fisheries. So you know, I'd be in favor of the Atlantic of 90 percent to closure.

I'm not commenting on the Gulf because you know what happens there. You know that data. And so threshold are built in for bycatch already. This is what's left over at the end of the pie for the fishers to catch. So, that's already there.

But it's clearly issues that have to be looked at with state water landings and how they're incorporated into the dealer reporting. And so look at where that's happening at, who's doing the reporting, what's the requirements for them states. And so, therefore, you might have to make 80 percent in certain areas of the landing. But it is clearly, I mean you know what's going on, this isn't rocket science here. So you know just continue down the path.

But I do know I saw where in the South Atlantic, where they opened up I believe for a beeliner opening for two days. There was quota leftover.

So do the best you can do to get close to that 100 percent because if you get it 80 and it's closed, you're leaving 20 percent on the table but your charts clearly show where there might be areas of problems and you already know that, based on the history of managing these sharks for 20 years and comments from around the table.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Thanks, Dewey. So we've heard a couple of people weighing in on the 90 percent, particularly on the Atlantic side but I think the bulk of the comments have really been you've got a lot of good data and you can do analysis. It would be great to see sort of a closer look at this by location, by species, not a one size fits all caution around hammerhead, in particular, balancing the science and the management uncertainty and see how those play off each other and interact. Interest in greater clarity on the dead discard accounting.

And I don't think we heard any particularly strong crosscutting themes on the notice period. A couple of people saying no opinion, a couple around be realistic in terms of what we can really do.

So, good conversation. Thank you.

MS. SCHULZE-HAUGEN: Yes, so a final
point. We are hoping to have this in effect

fairly soon. So this will be out likely with a comment period before the next meeting. So, I will need all of you to read the EA with all of the analyses that you've asked for and give us your comments before the next meeting.

So, thank you.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: So we will go to a break now. We will convene at 10:15 sharp. We are a little bit behind. So, I would ask people to be back at 10:15. If you need to check out of your rooms, this would be the time to do it.

And again, we're going to push through lunch. So if you need to get yourself a snack, more than what Pat has cooked for you, do so now.

(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at 9:59 a.m. and resumed at 10:17 a.m.)

MS. SCHULZE-HAUGEN: So maybe while folks are taking their seats, I have another announcement of another AP member that's been with us for a very long time, Rom Whitaker has just told me that this is also going to be his last meeting.

So, another person that has been with us over the years and we've greatly appreciated his input and we will miss him as well.

Thank you, Rom.

MEMBER WHITAKER: Well, thank you, Margo. I outlasted Rich! We're going at the same time but I've been here, gosh, 20 years I think, just about.

I've really met some nice people in here and enjoyed working with you guys. And the HMS staff, you all seem to get better every meeting on your presentations. But you really seem to have gotten better at is listening to us from the panel, as fishermen, and I guess environmentalists, and commercial guys.

But it's really been a pleasure. If you get down -- hopefully, there'll be something left of Hatteras. So, if you get down to the Outer Banks, please give me a call and we'll drink a beer, or go clamming or something, maybe go fishing. But I've really enjoyed it and I know Margo is going to take care of those yellowfins for me.

Thank you.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: All right, Rich,

we are going to make sure you get the last word at your last meeting. I promise that.

All right, so let's dive back into the agenda here. We're going to be very pelagic longline fishery-focused here for the next couple of hours. A few topics we want to cover. Steve's going to start it off with an overview of the Swordfish Landings in the Pelagic Longline Fishery and, more broadly, sort of taking a step back and looking across the trend to understand what's happening here. What are the drivers? And what, as an AP and as a management agency, can be done.

We'll then have an overview of areabased management and weak hooks in the Gulf of Mexico by Craig.

And then, finally, Tom will share with us an update on the IBQ Program and the three-year review.

So, that's the game plan and Steve, I will hand it off to you.

MR. DURKEE: Great. Thanks, Bennett.

Yes, again, my name is Steve Durkee and, as Bennett set it up, this is kind of more of a forced view of the pelagic longline fishery, specifically as it relates to swordfish as the target species, looking at kind of the characterization of where we are now, trends, what's happened in the past, and perhaps a bit of a look into what's going on, what we can do in the future as well.

So, I've got a long introduction slide here. I just want to kind of set the stage. You know the objective really is to understand where we are and where we've been, but also to provide a lot of background information to facilitate some discussion on ways to revitalize the fishery. Swordfish landings are down recently and trying to find ways to increase it would be helpful.

Some notes, though, is that this presentation compiles some analyses and some summaries from a lot of different data sources. Some you have seen, some you haven't. Some you haven't seen necessarily combined in the way they are here but this is not all new information by any means.

We've probably discussed a lot of this

before, too, in the past AP meetings. And some of the analyses and summaries here might point to some ideas on why swordfish landings are down but not all of those can be address by NMFS. Some of those are outside of our purview and jurisdiction.

And finally, again, this is just to provide some background information to facilitate that discussion looking into the future. More of a forest view of what's going on.

Just to start it off, here's a long-term historical view of where we've been with swordfish landings. This is swordfish retained by year using logbook data, starting in 1995 all the way through 2016. You see a mostly downward trajectory with a peak in 2012 and 2013, and then a drop-off since then.

Now, there are a lot of aspects to this presentation and this project. There are so many different facets that affect swordfish fishing by the pelagic longline fleets that we've broken it up into the following categories -- up here is the bullets. And we'll go through each one of these bullets in order through the presentation.

Some other things that could affect swordfish landing like biology or oceanographic phenomena, things that affect where the swordfish are and their availability to U.S. fishermen are not discussed here, although they are important.

Also some things such as marine mammal depredation or interactions, those can affect landings as well but, again, we're not going to dive into that too much in this presentation.

All right, the first category is landings based on dealer data. So here's a smaller time series. This is based on dealer landings data, again, from 2005 to 2016. You see that peak in 2012 with a lesser peak there in 2013 but then a pretty precipitous decline every year following that, including through 2016.

I would point out that you'll see this peak in 2012 and then to a lesser extent in 2013, I will use that as a reference point for a lot of the discussion in additional slides. So those are two years to keep in mind as we're looking at additional data from different sources.

Okay, so this slide compares total

swordfish pelagic longline landings with the top ten swordfish PLL vessels in any one year.

So for example, in 2013, that first year in this time series, the orange line are total swordfish pelagic longline landings at around 2000 metric tons dressed weight.

The blue line are the landings that come from the top ten pelagic longline vessels that year. It looks to be around 700 and 750 or so. And it goes down through three more years, 2014, 2015, and 2016.

Two things to notice here is that with the landings drop from 2013 to 2014, the top ten vessels in those two years are responsible for about 25 percent of that drop in landings.

I would also point out that in 2013 it looks like the top ten PLL vessels had about 33 percent of total swordfish landings in the pelagic longline fishery, contrasted at 2016, where the top ten vessels had about half of the landings of swordfish caught on pelagic longline gear.

This next slide starts looking into some changes in the target species and what's being retained by pelagic longline vessels. This is vessel data from -- information from three very specific vessels that we've removed any kind of identifying information from them but it is helpful to look at it on a vessel by vessel basis to actually see a switch in target species and retained catch.

Let's start at the bottom, down here. Right around here in 2013 for Vessel A, you'll see that almost 40 percent of their catch was swordfish down there at the very bottom, with a pretty hefty amount of tuna as well, and then a small part of sharks.

Go up one line to 2014 there and you see that blue line shrinks significantly. That's a swordfish catch. So where it was in the upper 30 percent of their total HMS catch, it went down to closer to five percent.

At the same time, that green bar increased in 2014. Those are the sharks.

So this particular vessel moved from a heavily swordfish and tuna retained landings to a heavily shark and tuna landings. And that trend kind of continued in 2015 and 2016 for that

Vessel A at the bottom.

Vessel B saw something similar happen. Again in 2013, right here, that blue bar is swordfish, about half of their landings, their HMS landings were from swordfish, with a little bit of tuna, and a little bit of shark as well.

But then you go up one level to 2014 and you see the swordfish dropped and the sharks increased. The next year had a little bit of a rebound but that trend continued, though, just less emphasis on swordfish and more on sharks, and some of the same emphasis on tunas.

And you can go through Vessel C and see a similar trend as well from 2013 down to 2016.

All right, so this presentation is very heavily focused on the pelagic longline fishery but just for some context, these are landings from the U.S. National Report that we submit to ICCAT of swordfish landings by gear type. The specifics aren't quite as interesting as the last line on this table. And it shows you of the total swordfish landings in any one year what percent came from the project longline fishery.

And you see across the board in this time series, it is over 90 percent each year.

So to a large extent, swordfish landings are guided by the pelagic longline fishery.

All right, the next category is effort and logbook data.

All right, so this slide shows active vessels in any one year from 2006 to 2016. An active vessel is defined as a vessel that caught and landed at least one swordfish on pelagic longline gear. It was somewhat stable from let's say 2007 to about 2012 but then a slow decrease from 2012 through 2016.

Another way to look at effort is the number of sets. And so this is sets from 2006 to 2016 from the logbook data that have a target of swordfish or a mix of species that could include swordfish. And this is across all regions.

You again see here in 2012 and 2013 that peak that we were seeing before in landings and then a drop after that.

All right, so the next slide is going

to make your head hurt a little bit. I'm going to take this same information, the sets overall across all regions and separate it out by region. And here it is.

I've got a key at the bottom that shows the codes for the ICCAT statistical regions for each one of these lines. If you want to see a map on what these refer to, the very last slide in this presentation has a map that shows what each one of these letters mean. But let's focus in on just a few of the top four lines here. I'll just shade out some of the bottom ones.

In red is the Gulf of Mexico region. You see that's pretty high level for most of the time series except for 2010 and 2011, likely related to Deepwater Horizon.

In green is the Florida east coast. It has got a pretty big increase in the first half of this time series up to 2012 and then quickly drops off after that.

In yellow is the mid-Atlantic bight, somewhat stable across the time series except for a peak in 2013 and then a drop after that. And then the south Atlantic bight is the light blue up there. Again, a drop, not quite as extreme since 2012.

Okay, so this looks at swordfish retains using logbook data. So this is not dealer data. This is number of swordfish that were marked down in the logbooks. This is across all regions. Again, that peak in 2012 and 2013. And now we'll break it out by region again to look on a regional basis what's happening with the number of swordfish retained.

And here it is. I've labeled the top blue line, the south Atlantic bight. That's where most -- well, not most of the swordfish come from but the largest share comes from the south Atlantic bight. There's a peak in 2012, and to a lesser extent in 2013, and then a drop after that.

And that's the same thing you see in the green line, Florida east coast, and the red line, Gulf of Mexico, and the yellow line, mid-Atlantic bight. You see that increase in catch across a bunch of regions with decrease since 2012 and 2013.

Also on here note in the yellow line,

that's the mid-Atlantic bight, right around you know Sandy was in late 2012 and you do see there towards 2012 to 2013, again, that mustard yellow line, you have that peak in 2012. Sandy happened later in that year and then landings dropped off and never quite recovered, for whatever reason, perhaps related, perhaps unrelated.

And then finally, here is just a very, very quick estimate of CPUE across all regions. This is just simply the number of swordfish retained divided by a thousand hooks. And this is not standardized. This is just a nominal quick estimate.

I include this quick estimate because this is similar to the trend that they're seeing in the swordfish stock assessment they've just completed through the SCRS.

The next category, domestic economics. The point of this is to kind of look at more of the dollar side of what's happening, the dollar side of the fishery to see if that's impacting landings and perhaps why there was a peak in 2012 and a decrease since then.

Here is a chart of ex-vessel swordfish price by month. It is by month. That's why you see these fluctuations go across in yellow and then a blue linear line just to show kind of a trend of the swordfish prices.

You see a small increase across this time series but this has not been adjusted for inflation at all. So more than likely, swordfish prices are more or less flat across the time series. So, nothing jumps out as a reason. We would have seen high swordfish landings in 2012 and 2013 and low now, based solely on ex-vessel values.

Fuel costs from 2004 to 2016. This is just an average fuel cost across all regions. You see a high price in 2008, some high prices again in 2011 through 2014, which interestingly is right there around with the peak in swordfish landings.

So based on just a very simple look, it doesn't appear that fuel prices affected effort that much in 2012 and 2013.

All right, so this slide is pretty interesting. For the most part, we look at it from a supply side, you know what are fishermen

landing, what are fishermen selling. It would be interesting to see from a consumer side what is the consumer's outlook. What does the consumer want? What's the demand for swordfish?

We don't have any direct measures of that but, assuming that if you're a consumer in the U.S., you get your swordfish from one of three broad sources. It was either caught in the U.S. Atlantic and landed, it was either caught and landed in the U.S. Pacific, or it was imported from a different country. If you add all those sources together, you can get a rough estimate of what consumption is like in the U.S. of swordfish.

So you'll see a decrease from 2000 to around 2004. Then beginning around 2007 or so, there is a thin blue line of exports. It's just not that important for total consumption but you just see a little decrease in the negative amount of exports that we push out. It is somewhat stable across, a little peak in 2011-2012, but then recently an increase in swordfish consumption here towards the end of our time series.

And then getting into just specifically looking at pelagic longline vessel revenue, you will see in that second column exvessel revenue per trip across all species. And again, this is just revenue not costs. You see it decrease from 2013 to 2015 with a slight bounce in 2016.

The third column is per vessel. You see a similar trend, a decrease from 2013 to 2015 with a little bit of a larger bounce in 2016. And the next vessel revenue across all species and all vessels, though, continues to drop the same as the number of trips and the number of active vessels as well in that final column.

All right, so we kind of touch on a little bit with that previous or the domestic consumption slide. There are a lot of imports coming into the U.S. of swordfish. And this next category just looks at specifically of those swordfish imports.

This shows imports relative to domestic production of swordfish. That top yellow line is imports from all countries into the U.S. The gray line near the bottom are

Pacific landings and the orange line is our landings across this entire time series.

And you can see that, for the most part, imports dwarf domestic production from either region and also probably when you add those two regions together. You see with imports there has also been an increase since about 2013 through 2015 of swordfish imported with that yellow line.

Here's a look specifically just at those imports, not just the levels but also the value of them. You see the imports, the levels, the metric tons dressed weight is the reddishorange line that decreased from 2002 until let's say around 2009 and then a somewhat increase since then. And the value held pretty close to that as well. And the value is the blue line in U.S. dollars millions.

Okay, so how did those prices compare to U.S. Atlantic swordfish prices? Across all regions you see the price that a fisherman would get from their vessel, the ex-vessel price in orange-ish red at the top. And for the most -- and then the gray is the imported prices.

You see for the most part across the entire time series the imported swordfish are cheaper than the Atlantic-caught swordfish.

This next slide breaks that same information out but by regions. The blue line is the south Atlantic swordfish. Across the entire time series, those are the most valuable swordfish on a per pound basis.

Below that is the yellow line. That's the mid-Atlantic-caught swordfish, the second most valuable across most of the time series. You've got the gray line, which is the imported swordfish prices, followed by the Gulf of Mexico prices at the very bottom. And for the most part, Gulf of Mexico swordfish seem to be cheaper than imports, with a couple exceptions. You can see in the time series, most recently there in 2011, when they switched places, where imports were less expensive.

All right, so where are these imports coming from? This graph shows the proportion of each country's contribution to imports into the U.S.'s swordfish by proportions. These are not total levels, simply proportions.

So you see the red line Panama is labeled. Near the beginning of the time series in 2008, that peak, Panama was responsible for about a little less than a third of swordfish imports into the U.S.

Starting at around 2011 or so, Ecuadorian imports seem to be one of the most dominant imports into the U.S. by proportion.

So let's look at Ecuador a little more closely. This is absolute levels. This is no longer proportion. This is actually the levels of imports coming into the U.S. from Ecuador from 2007 to 2015. It's been a pretty steady increase.

So if I overlay that with U.S. Atlantic landings, we can see that as U.S. landings, especially since 2012 where that red line had been decreasing, Ecuadorian imports had been increasing since 2012. And sometime around 2013 or so, Ecuadorian imports surpassed U.S. production of swordfish.

All right, the next category is looking at pelagic longline landings of other species, not just swordfish.

There is some concern that fishermen, perhaps, are changing focus from swordfish to other species. So let's lay some landings data across several different species and see what we can find.

Here is five different species or species groups. The yellow line is swordfish -- I'm sorry. The yellow line is yellowfin tuna. The blue line is swordfish. The green line is dolphin. And the orange and red lines at the bottom are the wahoo and then bigeye, albacore, and skipjack all added together.

You can see that yellowfin and swordfish seem to have a somewhat similar trend, decreasing at the beginning of the time series, then increasing toward the end, peaking in 2012, and then they both dropped off.

It doesn't look like swordfish effort was moved over to yellowfin, necessarily, since they both dropped together.

There has been talk that perhaps pelagic longline fishermen are moving effort towards other species that are not managed by HMS, namely, dolphin and wahoo. We see perhaps a

small jump in dolphin landings from 2013 to 2014 but nothing out of the range of what we've seen in the past few years. So I don't there is a large switch from HMS species into Councilmanaged species in the pelagic longline fishery.

All right, regulatory impact. What impact do regulations have on swordfish landings? This next slide will make your eyes bleed. But it is interesting, and I will walk you through it but it's worth taking a look at.

This blue line is something you've seen before. This is the number of swordfish retained by year. You see, again, that drop at the beginning of the time series, a peak in 2012 and 2013, and then a drop since then.

Each one of these red lines is a major regulation, the implementation dates, that could affect effort or landings of swordfish.

The yellow lines are some -- the yellow arrows are natural events. The first yellow line is Katrina, the second is Deepwater Horizon, and the third is Sandy. And I have a gold star for when north Atlantic swordfish were rebuilt in 2009.

I think it is probably more useful if you look at your own computer screens and actually see what all these different text boxes are but a couple to point out would be, most recently, Gulf of Mexico weak hooks, that is the red line in-between the second two yellow arrows.

I know this is an ugly slide. So here is Deepwater Horizon. Here is Gulf of Mexico weak hooks here. You can see that after weak hooks were implemented here in 2011, there was still an increase in swordfish landings. A decrease came a couple years after weak hooks were put into place.

Another place to pay attention to as well is some of the A7 issue, the GRAs, the IBQ program, et cetera, here in 2015. There was a pretty steady decrease before some of those A7 measures came into place as well.

But really the overall take-away from that slide is that there really aren't any regulations specifically we can really track really well with decreases in landings. Obviously, regulations have impacts on swordfish landings and effort but we can't find a real

direct correlation on any specific management measure.

I'm quickly running out of time.
Finally, I have demographics and
social. We've heard a lot about the aging fleet,
the age of the owners. And it won't be
surprising to see how that plays out in the data.

This looks at the ages of pelagic longline vessel owners and you can see in the first category those are owners that are under the age of 25 and the blue column first is the number of owners that are under 25 in 2006. The second red column is that number of vessel owners that are under 25 in 2010. And then the third one is in 2015. You see it decreases.

The number of young vessel owners is decreasing through that time series.

The next set of ages is between 25 and 34, and a similar decrease through the years of the number of vessel owners that are of that young age.

That trend continues until you hit around that 45 to 54 peak, this tall one here. And then all of these columns flip. Instead of having a decreasing trend through here, you flip it and the older owners start going up.

Basically what this shows is that from 2006 to 2015, the vessel owners are just getting older and older and there is fewer younger people entering in, at least as a vessel owner for pelagic longline vessels.

And that is it. I'll open it for questions and discussion. But just as a reminder, under backup slides, this is that map that shows the statistical areas for all those abbreviations. We broke out effort by region. That will be useful, as you look through the previous slides.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Thanks, Steve, that is very helpful.

I guess just I would ask you one question before we open it up, which is just, I know you said at the outset the intent here is to have a sort of forest-level look and at various points, you sort of called out where there weren't obvious correlations.

I just wondered as you sort of have that higher level look, any take-aways or

emerging questions, things that you want to share to give feedback on and your take on things, the Agency's take on things?

MR. DURKEE: Yes, that's the biggest take-away: there's no smoking gun that we see. And this is the first step in a long process of just taking a step back and not necessarily the trees, looking at the forest, as you mentioned, and trying to get an idea of why swordfish landings are down, and provide background information for discussion.

I doubt we're going to figure an out an answer here in the next 20 minutes. This is something to think about, to mull over, discuss in future APs. But yes, no smoking gun is kind of the take-away.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Michael.
MEMBER SISSENWINE: Thank you.

In I think it is slide 7, you pointed out to us that about 25 percent of the decline that I guess occurred after 2013 is attributed to the top ten vessels. What's the significance of that? And I ask that because it looks to me like the top ten vessels also account for about 25 percent of the overall catch, which says there is nothing unusual about them in terms of a decline. Did I miss something in that?

MR. DURKEE: No, not at all. And I'm not trying to guide your thinking by any means. I'm trying to throw some different ways of looking at the data. And I think you're right. Perhaps the top ten vessels in any one year are keeping their effort about the same. What we're seeing is a huge drop in the lower performing vessels.

MEMBER SISSENWINE: Well, it looks to me like what that says is that the decline is pretty homogeneous across the entire fleet. There's nothing special about the top ten or the rest of them minus the top ten but okay.

Probably the more important question I have is a lot of the information you have -- I mean first, let me just comment this is a tremendous effort in compiling lots of very interesting information, so thank you.

I would have been interested in seeing more about what's happened to effort and the number of vessels now versus the period prior to

2006. This seems to basically only have the data since 2006.

And I ask that in a broader context. The Agency, prior to 2006, not a lot before it but in the early 2000s had a major policy initiative that dealt with the issue of excess capacity in global fleets and U.S. fisheries. I mean there were major studies done about the issue of fishing capacity.

And so it seems to me that that discussion about that has been lost and I am sort of curious about how capacity has changed since overcapacity was identified as a fundamental problem compared to today in this fishery and in fisheries throughout the country.

So that's the context of asking the question about the earlier data.

MR. DURKEE: Yes, that would be worth looking into. I can present that.

I think one of the reasons -- well, I know one of the reasons I chose this times series is that in 2012 we came pretty close to hitting our baseline quota. It seems like recent history shows that the fleet is capable of -- perhaps capable of catching the entire baseline quota and that's why I focused in on that time series but there's nothing to prevent us from looking before 2006.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Thanks. Katie, your card was up before. Are you good? Okay.

Over to Rick Weber.

MEMBER WEBER: Steve, just a statement you made going to slide 9. You said as longline goes, so goes the coastal catch. And I would just flip that because you're right, everything went down at the same time and I don't know -- the implication was almost if longline goes up, everything else is going to go up with it. And I can't see that cause and effect but if the fish simply are not there -- I mean trying to figure out why rod, reel, handline, harpoon, and longline all go down, it leads toward the fish.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Thanks, Rick. David.

MR. SCHALIT: Excellent presentation. The word comprehensive comes to mind.

I have one comment and I suppose it's a question. On slide 28, Ecuador, I could add

this piece of information, we have seen a similar trend on bigeye coming from Ecuador, which would make sense.

The comment I have in connection with this is I'm assuming that there has been a change in the contribution other species, other than swordfish, are making to the profitability of the pelagic longline fishery. And there is a certain amount of that information in the SAFE report but it's still unclear, in my view, and that trend would be interesting to look at.

Thanks.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Thank you.

Marty.

MEMBER SCANLON: One thing that you don't have here is you have on the owner, you know the ages of the owners but the owners don't operate the vessels. So it would be interesting to see age of the captains themselves and how that has risen also. You know there is no capable captains coming along. You know it has been more and more difficult to find crew, more and more difficult to keep crew through the time period that it takes to train them, which is another problem that we're having.

Another thing is that I talked about there is there has been short of a shift in the industry, too, as a result of -- you know I touched on it basically when Chris Oliver was here is about bad publicity. You know we get negative publicity at the wrong time of the year and it affects the swordfish price dramatically and people just won't go swordfishing because there is no value to them.

So I think that's something that we also have to look at is what the effects of this outside, basically, interference is in the industry, as far as economics go and what can be done about that, to counter that. So I think that is an important element that we're going to rebuild the swordfish stocks. I mean there's no sense in trying to rebuild stocks because if we make progress and then we have some outside source that tells the public that the fish -- you know misinforms the public that the fish are being over caught.

We still get questions when I go out about save the swordfish, give swordfish a break,

that campaign. That's still very much alive to this day. We have never, ever put that to rest.

Today the consumer, a lot of the consumers, not just the -- maybe almost to the point of a majority of the consumer believes that swordfish are overfished to this day. So I think that's a big portion of rebuilding the swordfish industry is to better promote the swordfish industry and its effort to fish sustainably.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Marty, quick follow-up question for you. The sort of negative PR that you're speaking to, is that sort of just a general condition that's out there or do you think it would be possible to actually point to specific events, much as Steve tried to look at management actions, for example?

MEMBER SCANLON: Well you had the Give Swordfish a Break campaign. That was a major one just off the top of my head. But we see MSC, you know Monterey Bay Aquarium, they'll red flag a species worldwide. And they don't never give specifics. They just say it's being overfished but they don't say who is at fault and they don't give any credit to those that are doing the effort to prevent that at all. And the consumer just sees that and the next thing you know, we're getting \$2 a pound less for our fish at the wrong You know we're catching fish and now our market's destroyed. And they do that deliberately.

I mean that is a blatant attack on the domestic fisheries in this country by these outside certifiers, whether it be MSC or it be Monterey Bay.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Thanks.

MR. DURKEE: Can I just jump in for one second? Not to disagree with you by any means and you see it on this -- that's what this graph is trying to get at, domestic consumption, what the consumer actually wants. And you do see it pretty flat since the early 2000s. Perhaps the recent increase we're seeing is some hope for optimism. I don't know but definitely noted.

MS. SCHULZE-HAUGEN: Just a quick response on the age of the crew and captains. I don't think we have that data. We've heard that comment before. I believe it. But the data that we have is on the permit holders.

MEMBER SCANLON: One thing you do have, though Margo, is you do have probably a list of the owner-operators. You know the guys that do own the boats and do operate the vessels. I'm sure there's still a strong majority of the owners also operate their own vessels at this point.

So I don't know what the percentage of that is, but that is something that you probably would have.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Bob and then Rom.

MEMBER BOGAN: I think I remember
seeing something yesterday about the pelagic
longline is down by half. I thought it went from
200 vessels -- I'm trying to look for it. In
just a few years, it went from 200 vessels to
like 100 vessels. So to me, it is like obvious,
unless I'm seeing it wrong.

MS. SCHULZE-HAUGEN: No, you're right. You're referencing what you saw in the pelagic longline closed area presentation, where from 2001 I think we had 200 boats to 104 in 2015.

 $\,$  MEMBER BOGAN: That seems kind of obvious to me why the catch would go down.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Rom.

MEMBER WHITAKER: Yes, Rom Whitaker. It was in page 8 or slide 8, I would just -- they took three boats and their swordfish landings went down while their shark landings went up. And I'm not even sure -- I guess my question would be were these -- was this because they were targeting sharks or were sharks targeting them, I guess would be the question. And if so, you know, it's certainly a factor in there.

In one of the charts, the Ecuadorian imports, is there just a lot more effort there now? Maybe one of you guys could answer but I was just curious.

MR. DURKEE: I definitely hear some thoughts on Ecuadorian imports. I don't have any answers to that.

I do want to just go back to this slide you're mentioning here. I don't know why it's switching. I just want to present it for you guys to at least consider. But I should point out though that I can't come up here and give actual landings from vessels. So this is the proportion of HMS landings across. You'll

see it goes from zero percent to 100 percent.

So if a vessel goes from swordfish -from a heavy swordfish to a heavy shark year, it
could just be a drop in swordfish only that looks
like a bigger increase in shark, if that makes
sense.

These are just proportions and percentages. These are not actual levels. But I don't have any answers for the Ecuadorian question.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: And Steve, are these presumably fairly representative of the vessels that are out there?

MR. DURKEE: No, not necessarily. These are just some ones that jumped out since species switching.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Okay, thanks. Rich.

MEMBER RUAIS: Yes, just a quick point to totally agree with Marty on the damage that "Give Swordfish a Break" made and to say that you know bluefin has suffered the problem even worse. Not only do we have the distinction of probably being the poster child for bad fishing for a long period of time but we got stock ID confusion. get lumped in with -- as we're improving and getting better, we got the Pacific problem to deal with, which is at an extreme low point. no one draws -- if we get the number of articles across my email a day from New York Times, from every major newspaper across the world talks about don't eat bluefin; don't buy bluefin. doesn't matter whether it comes from the Atlantic. It doesn't matter whether it comes from the south Atlantic. It doesn't matter whether it comes from the Pacific.

And we tried to use FishWatch and I don't know if it's made a material difference but we were very successful in getting FishWatch to improve the description of Atlantic bluefin tuna. And hopefully, over time, that's all you can do is chip away in a strong marketing program that we've been arguing for for quite a while, hopefully with some Saltonstall-Kennedy money or some other money.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Terri.

MEMBER BEIDEMAN: Well now you're seeing in graphic terms all the things that we've

been saying around the table for quite a while. And you know, Mike, I love that we can look at this data and I guess I can't resist the opportunity to say that because we submit volumes of data, you were able to crank the microscope down and really take a look at what's going on.

But we've been warning you. We've been throwing out the red flags for quite a while about the aging issue, about the catches, the imports.

So we now have the situation with this comparability in the Marine Mammal Protection Act we didn't talk about that much at this table but it certainly has been a source of discussion in the past. And you know with the resources the Agency has, how they are going to take care of looking to see if we can actually level the playing field.

Eight-five permit holders actively are in the longline fleet. Like I said, you know my analysis is a couple years' old but we catch for every bluefin, and we talk about bluefin a lot --for every one bluefin that the pelagic longline fleet interacts with, we catch 100 food fish and most of them feed Americans.

So this is a food security issue, if this fleet goes off the brink and we've been mentioning it for quite a while. And we need assistance, as has been brought up by others around this table to recognize that we know there's limits to what you can do.

But as we look at the imports coming and this comparability, I really encouraged our HMS division to do what they can to enlighten some of the people that are going to be tasked with doing that and take a look at some of these importers and see. Are they comparable? What kind of gear are they using? Are they doing anything that's close to comparable?

And zero mortality rate goal is what we've been held to. And though we may not have completely achieved it, we have done a whole lot in reducing bycatch over the years and we're competing with countries that can't hold a candle to us.

You know I'm very pleased to see this information on the thing but I'm saddened to see it at the same time. So, I appreciate the

presentation but it is confirmation of things that we've been talking about a long time.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Thanks, Terri.

All right, I've got a couple other people in the queue and then we should be pushing to our next presentation.

So I'll go over to Andre and then Jeff.

MEMBER BOUSTANY: Yes, I just wanted to defend my colleagues at Seafood Watch, the Monterey Bay Aquarium.

All U.S. fisheries are listed as either a best choice or a good alternative for swordfish. So I think they do recognize that you are a cut above what other nations are doing, with most foreign swordfish being listed as avoid. So you know in that case, they are definitely I think in agreement with most of the people in this room.

But that brings up a good point that there should be some labeling mechanism to allow consumers to be able to tell the difference between those two because I know a lot of the times once these things get to market or on a plate or whatever it is, no one knows where they're from. So, thanks.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Jeff.

MEMBER ODEN: Anyway, I heard earlier someone mention there that it's sort of homogenous trying to figure out what specifically caused all this decline. Well, from my perspective, it is just essentially is someone within our North Carolina Fisheries Association likes the term death by a thousand cuts. I mean it essentially is.

I mean I saw a cost analysis there. For instance, fuel is going down but bait is going through the roof. You know it's just demand different things.

We've got A7 here. I think that's kind of being forgotten. I mean their area is not a great producer but it certainly did contribute. And you know it's just a myriad of little incursions that have curtailed our abilities.

And in my case, I given up lately over the 100 percent observer coverage. I'm not going to -- with a small boat, I'm not dealing with it.

5

And secondly, I think probably in the last few years one of the greatest things in our winter fishery it's been a horrific weather. I mean Marty will tell you that. Even Marty stays in. And I mean that's been a big contributor from what I've seen.

But anyhow that's --

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Thanks. We need to push. Marty, can you make that fast?

MEMBER SCANLON: One thing that we should remember is that like in the Pelagic Longline Take Reduction Team, when we're looking to minimize interactions, there are two things that come to mind. And the two most important elements of avoiding is communication protocol and the ability to move. And it holds true with our targeted species also is that the communication protocol amongst the fleet are where the fish are being caught and the ability to go to areas that are now inaccessible to us, to get the ability to reopen those areas so when the swordfish are there, we can go there and target them. So that's an important thing to look at there, as far as that is concerned, too, is our ability to better access to the swordfish.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Thank you very much. And as Margo just said in my ear, that is a great segue to the next topic, which is looking at area-based management.

MS. SCHULZE-HAUGEN: Just part of what we were trying to do was provide a whole series of information, data points that we have, but ultimately to the view of where can we put our best resources to helping where we can. Not everything is within our purview. Fuel prices -- out of my hands, but there are some things.

And we also are trying to fold in kind of some of the input that we got from you all in the spring in priority areas. And so this is one some of the bigger ticket items that need some more discussion, more thought, kind of the next step. Sorry if I stole your thunder.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Steal away. Craig, it's all yours.

MR. COCKRELL: All right, thanks, Bennett and thanks, Marty, for the transition there.

Yes, so I'm doing a presentation here

on pelagic longline closed area and gear restricted area issues and options. You know this is kind of a quick background of some of the issues that we've heard over the past couple of years and then some of the options that we've been brainstorming in the division.

So, background issues. You know a lot of the time/area closures were created in '99 and 2000 and 2001. Specifically in '99, we created the June Northeast closure for bluefin tuna. And then in 2000 and 2001, we created the East Florida Coast, DeSoto Canyon, Charleston Bump areas for juvenile swordfish, billfish, and sharks, and also protected resources interactions.

And since that time, we've had little to no data on the catches and catch rates from these areas.

And then in 2015, we established gear restricted areas via Amendment 7. So, it was the Cape Hatteras area. And the Cape Hatteras area does have qualified access criteria. So it's not closed to all pelagic longline vessels but closed to those that don't meet that qualified access criteria.

We also had the Gulf of Mexico gear restricted area. And that is close to all pelagic longline vessels from April to May.

And in Amendment 7, we did commit to a review of the GRA efficiency of both the Gulf of Mexico and the Cape Hatteras areas.

And since A7, we've had this increased individual vessel accountability but we've had decreased PLL effort and swordfish landings.

Also another agency priority is revitalizing the U.S. swordfish fishery. And also when we were here in the spring in 2017 or well, this year, area-based management was identified as a priority.

And here, I've pulled out some of the area-based sections that we had in the dot exercise. And as you can see, pretty much every group here identified some areas of priority related to closed areas and gear-restricted areas.

I will mention the last one here was a write-in from an AP member and wasn't one that was provided by the Agency.

So here's just a time line, real quick. I won't hit the things that I've already hit but, as you can see, in 2000 we also implemented VMS for compliance with the time/area closures and GRA boundaries.

And in 2008, we issued an EFP for research in the East Florida Coast and the Charleston Bump, a three-year study that was to investigate catch rates and bycatch rates between the closed areas and the open areas.

In 2017 we talked about it, now we've authorized one year of research for essentially the same thing in the East Florida Coast.

So here's just a quick map. This is the gear-restricted areas and closed areas that are managed right now for the pelagic longline fleet. Closed areas are in pink and gearrestricted areas are in blue.

So some of the options that we've been brainstorming here in the division for at least the current closed areas are -- well, one option is just to maintain status quo and maintain the time and areas for the current closed areas.

One is to continue to issue EFPs. And so that would just be collaboration between pelagic longline vessels and researchers to evaluate the catches and catch rates in the closed areas.

Another one that we were thinking about is establishing a research fishery. And so this would be similar to the shark research fishery, where we would have an annual application period where vessel owners would apply to be a part of the research fishery. And then with observer coverage, they would be able to go into those closed areas and get data collected.

So the next one, observer-based access, this is similar to an alternative that we analyzed in Amendment 7, and this would be granting access to PLL vessels that also had an observer onboard. And that would be across the fleet.

Another thing would be conditional access. So this would be, we would establish some sort of performance criteria for each area and then those vessels that met that criteria would have access to the areas.

So the next one here, bycatch caps, so this would be just caps either for vessels or areas. You know we definitely have been flexible in the thought process for this one. And those caps could be for a variety of different species as well.

And then lastly, just you know kind of a bookend here is opening portions or entire areas that are currently closed.

And then part of this presentation is to know or to have you guys provide us feedback with anything that we might have missed.

So just some pros/cons that we came up with for the potential options here. So status quo, you know we've heard from folks around the table here that you know yes, they're great at limiting bycatch of fish that really we don't want caught on pelagic longline but they may limit swordfish landings as a con. And it's viewed by the industry folks as unnecessary, given other bycatch reduction measures.

Exempt fishing permits, you know a pro. It is pretty limited in their scope. And it would get us the data collection that we would like to get out of these areas. And it gives us the ability to set terms and conditions on monitoring and catching reporting.

A con there would be observer costs and increased coordination with researchers, getting researchers that are actually interested in the closed area issues.

As far as a research fishery, a pro here I think the big thing is it would give the fleet an opportunity to try to get into the research fishery. So, it wouldn't preclude any folks from applying.

A con would be you know observer costs and increased inseason monitoring costs.

Observer-based access, again, this would be -- a pro would be just controlled access for the entire fleet. But a con, again, would be increased observer costs and it could preclude smaller vessels that can't take observers.

Conditional access. This would allow a subset of the fleet, provided they met the criteria. But a con would be administrative burden on our end as far as trying to determine which are the appropriate conditional access

measures. And you know not all vessels would qualify for the areas.

Bycatch caps, a pro here would be a more targeted approach to limiting bycatch of certain species but a con would be it would be difficult to quantify the appropriate caps for certain areas, given that we don't have the data from those areas.

And then open portions of the entire area, a pro would be it would be the least restrictive but a con would be it would be very controversial and we really wouldn't know what we're getting into once we open those areas.

So just a little bit on the current GRAs. So, we have the Gulf of Mexico GRAs and the two areas that we set up to try to reduce the interactions with bluefin tuna and pelagic longline vessels. And these are closed to all vessels, again, from April 1st to May 31st and there are no performance metrics.

The Cape Hatteras area, you know we have identified this as a very high concentration of bluefin interactions by a small number of vessels. So, we went forward with the conditional access measures and we actually this year, 101 out of 108 active vessels were qualified for access for the area.

And this area is closed from December 1st to April 30th.

So some of the things, this is kind of a combined slide here, some of the things we've been thinking about for current gear-restricted areas would be adjustments to the time and boundaries of the areas. So a pro here could be we could -- it would be responsive to any changes in bluefin interactions with the gears, whether they have shifted in time and space. And it also may give flexibility to the fleet and would be more reliant on the individual accountability measures.

A con would be you know if we did any kind of incorrect adjustments. We could have either negative economic impacts to the fleet or increased bluefin interactions if we make the wrong shift.

If we modified any of the -- the second one here, if we modified any of the changes or the performance metrics, a pro here

would be it would provide some flexibility to, again, changes in the fishery that have happened since Amendment 7 and it could increase avoidance, depending on how we make those changes.

A con would be you know the fleet physically having time to adapt to those new changes of the new metrics. And it may not work in the Gulf of Mexico, again, because those interactions are spread across many vessels. And also just increase administrative burden of figure out what metrics really would be the best to go with.

And then the last one here is just considering adding an additional performance metric for electronic monitoring and that would basically just be on-time hard drive submission.

So a pro would be consistent with the goal of analyzing reporting data streams. But then again, just a con would just be, again, to increase the administrative burden of adding new metrics and criteria and evaluating those from year to year.

So, next steps. Yes, this was, again, meant as an informative presentation and really to get the discussion rolling here on whether there are any options that we should consider for either the current closed areas or the gear-restricted areas.

And with those options, should we pursue regulatory changes? And again, a likely step after that would be an issues/options or a scoping document with a public comment period.

And the last question: Should we steer away from regulatory changes? And should we pursue non-regulatory changes as far as data collection and/or research in the areas?

So, with that, we'll take questions and comments.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Okay, thanks, Craig. So again, just I think what would be helpful at this point is just get an initial flavor, an initial reaction to some of the ideas that HMS folks had put out there just for them to chew on.

Anyone want to jump in on that?

Terri, then over to Marty and then Katie.

MEMBER BEIDEMAN: Well, okay. We've

5

talked about this also forever, it seems. And you know I think that the lesson learned here is to make sure that you have provisions to do research into areas that are closed, while you are closing them, so that you don't have to go through this again and that's, I'm sure, putting it mildly, of trying to get critically needed science. This is supposed to be a management process that is grounded in science. And having closed areas for decades with no information is not very scientific.

So, I'm going to say that there's probably a lot of things but you were willing to put as a preferred alternative in Amendment 7 allowing people to go in the closed areas and fish normally, not NMFS trying to fish, and have observer coverage 100 percent and collect the data, and still have the opportunity, if things did not look good, to discontinue that.

And for political, more likely, than scientific reasons, you opted not to do that. And that would have provided some of the science that some of these research projects are having to go through. It's a pretty high bar that's being set for the U.S. and it's pretty expensive. And your average Joe isn't likely to be able to afford all those bells and whistles. I think a human observer aboard can collect the data and verify and document what's there.

So you know I think that's the least burdensome and you don't have to mess around with trying to add more metrics.

So, that's my opinion. That's been my opinion for a while.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Thanks, appreciate it.

Marty.

MEMBER SCANLON: Yes, you've already demonstrated through the A7 process the ability to produce 100 percent observer coverage in an area that you wanted to have the data collected from.

And being the case, I've been in favor of doing the same thing in the area of the Charleston Bump area, as well as under A7, where we identified in the Gulf of Mexico areas that do not have any bluefin interaction, what time of the year, and what areas in the Gulf that they do

not have bluefin interaction.

So I would prefer that we have some sort of access and for the NMFS to use that observer coverage to look at those areas. I mean to continually just observe blindly like we are, you know every quarter we get an observer, and basically doing the same thing over, and over, and over again, you know to utilize our observers and that type of science to better benefit the industry and move it forward. And looking into those type of areas, you know the Gulf of Mexico where there is no bluefin interaction and the Charleston Bump area in specific.

Thanks.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Katie.

MEMBER WESTFALL: Thanks. First, very grateful that the Agency is exploring this. I think we're very ripe for this conversation, given all the changes in the fishery.

Just a few high-level comments. In general, we support more tailored, accountable approaches that focus on precise output controls to manage the mortality of bycatch species, of protected species as opposed to broad sweeping input controls like large closed areas, which can be very blunt and don't allow the flexibility for the fleet to catch their target species.

I think in order to have an informed discussion on this, we do need additional analysis and research on how some of these closed areas, particularly the ones closed primarily to rebuild swordfish are performing and needing updated conversation goals and conserving species that are currently on the overfishing and overfished list, as well as alternative ways that we can achieve that conservation and maybe, in some cases, more efficiently.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Great. Thanks, Kate. That's helpful.

Okay, well, we will, I'm sure, be coming back to this. And I can imagine a very good discussion at the spring meeting.

So, I think Craig's going to stay up here and talk about weak hooks in the Gulf of Mexico.

MR. COCKRELL: All right. Yes, I'm going to keep going here. I've got a really quick presentation here on weak hooks in the Gulf

of Mexico.

 So just a little bit of background here. Weak hooks were implemented in 2011 to reduce catches of bluefin tuna in the Gulf of Mexico, a primary spawning ground of bluefin tuna.

In 2011, we had a large year class that was approaching maturity and was expected to enter the Gulf of Mexico to spawn for the first time. And so you know that's why we thought it was important to get these measures in place.

At that time, the western Atlantic stock was overfished and overfishing was occurring.

From 2008 to 2010 there was a study on weak hooks, weak circle hooks and that research indicated that bluefin tuna catches could be reduced by more than 50 percent.

And the results for other species were mixed. Some target species, catches would decline and specifically, white marlin catches would increase.

One thing to note here, though, as far as statistical significance, the bluefin tuna caught and the wahoo caught were the only two reductions, at least on this table, that were statistically significant in that study.

And so where we are now, we implemented, again, the weak hooks in May of 2011. In 2015 we implemented the gear restricted areas, individual bluefin quotas with Amendment 7, and then also required bluefin reporting with VMS after each set.

Fishermen have given us anecdotal reports of losing marketable target catch, especially swordfish as a result of the weak hooks. And at this year's meeting, AP members noted that we should reevaluate weak hook requirements. And a specific suggestion was to remove the requirements because they're redundant with IBQs. And we should also require weak hooks only when bluefin are present in the Gulf of Mexico.

So, next steps. Should we reevaluate the weak hook requirements, we realize that the first step would probably be doing a detailed evaluation of catch and catch rates of bluefin tuna and target species and bycatch for discussion at the 2018 spring AP meeting.

This could include consideration of seasonal impacts of bluefin present in the Gulf of Mexico and the need for weak hooks, in addition to the Gulf of Mexico GRAs and IBQs. And we're asking if there are other factors that we should consider related to weak hooks.

And are there other management options NMFS should consider for weak hooks in the Gulf of Mexico, outside of the things that I've discussed in this presentation? And should we pursue non-regulatory options -- research and data collection, again, on weak hooks in the Gulf of Mexico?

And just a note here that any weak hook measures that we may consider would be outside of the options that are being considered by PLTRT while they're developing things in the Atlantic Ocean.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Thanks. Any comments or feedback? Could you put those questions back up there?

Any feedback from AP members on any of these questions?

Marty, then Andre.

MEMBER SCANLON: Well, the weak hooks are really a redundancy of what you're trying to accomplish in the Gulf. The IBQs make the weak hook irrelevant. So I mean that's the control. The control is the IBQ. There's no need, any longer, for the weak hook in the Gulf of Mexico, as a result of that.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Andre?

MEMBER BOUSTANY: I would just say yes to the questions that you put on the last slide in regards to should NMFS reevaluate weak hook requirements.

We have a few years of data now, since the weak hooks have been implemented and including a year or two of IBQ. So to look at the various effects of those I think would definitely be worth the effort in time for the spring meeting. So if you do have the time, I think that would be a very good thing to do.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Thank you.

MEMBER BOUSTANY: Just real quick on that, especially as some of those trends we saw, especially in swordfish catch you know down 40

percent or whatever, with a larger sample size you may end up getting different trends. And if that was not a significant amount, you may have a large enough sample size now to actually find significant results in some of those things and that would be informative.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Terri.

MEMBER BEIDEMAN: Well, I believe at the time when it was put in, there was no really good reason to have it after July in the year, other than it was easy for enforcement. And that's not really a -- no offense to enforcement but there's not need to have it after July anyway.

Now we've come in with more closed areas and IBQ. It certainly is very redundant and unnecessary. I laugh every time I think about the description of rubber hooks, which is what they used to say.

But you know if you want people to catch swordfish with hooks in the Gulf of Mexico, you're going to have to remember that the so-called strong hooks, circle hooks had a 30 percent reduction in the retention of swordfish. They were terrific for eliminating turtles and that's why they were implemented but they caused a significance reduction, it was documented in studies from the banks, and I always suspected that it probably had an even higher impact on the coastal fleets because it really wasn't tested there. It was just implemented everywhere. Expediency, I understand.

But yes, I think it's definitely overkill.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Thanks.

MS. SCHULZE-HAUGEN: So just one thing I'd asked folks to think about when we talk about things being redundant with the IBQ and the IBQ is controlling is that I think is a valid point and something we will certainly consider.

But if we were to remove some of the other measures that have the effect of reducing bluefin interactions, then someone could have real problems with managing their IBQ. And if IBQ is the only controlling thing, then that may become a new problem, where suddenly we have real problems and people are out of the fishery because of a disaster set or some bad decisions

that now these other measures are helping avoid.

So I would think about that, if that's really you want to move to just one measure that really you're on your own, you're in or out.

So think about that as we think about this.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Let me go over to Rich and then, Marty, I'll let you weigh in on that.

MEMBER RUAIS: Yes, just quickly, just prior to Margo's comment I agreed with all three prior comments. I think this is an issue between Blue Water and the scientists. And if the study can be done and it shows that, that ought to determine which way it wants to go.

In terms of Margo's comment, we're assuming that in the future, if progress continues on bluefin, that the entire western Atlantic quota will be going up until it's more closer to its MSY, which is theoretically 3,060 metric tons, which gives us almost 800 more tons or 1,000 more tons to go. And we assumed that the IBQ program will get a piece of that, some sort of proportional piece. Maybe somebody can argue about that in the future. Not me.

But in any case, ABTA's Executive Committee supports what Andre is saying, Terri is saying, and Marty is saying, and to an extent, Margo's comment.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Marty.

MEMBER SCANLON: In response to what you just said there, Margo, during A7 one of my complaints against the agency was that you didn't give us any increase or any additional measures, or ability, or tools to reduce our interactions with bluefin. You just simply gave us an IBQ and said it's on you to reduce your interactions.

So you said this here but during the A7 process that's not how you conducted it. You basically left the onus on the fishery fleet itself to reduce their interactions.

MS. SCHULZE-HAUGEN: So I hear you and I remember that comment. Part of the reason we implemented GRAs in conjunction was because of that high interactions or consistent interactions across particular areas. Those were deliberately included as an insurance so that people didn't have problems as they were transitioning.

And so I wouldn't quite agree with that statement. And so the removal of them, if that's ultimately where we end up, would mean then it solely is the IBQ that is controlling.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Jeff.

MEMBER ODEN: Just one quick comment. White marlin, I believe, are overfished. And a 52 percent increase, that's all the more reason to, when possible, allow these guys a flexibility to fish with the proper hook there to catch swordfish.

Anyhow, I can certainly understand when the bluefin are around, I would be in agreement with that. Actually a friend of mine in our area is actually doing it now during the winter off our coast. And I'm sure he'd love to do a study with somebody, if they'd like to climb on his boat. He's using those hooks and he's having fair success with it. But you know, he's seen an awful lot of straightened hooks but he is at least fishing and surviving under the current climate there.

But anyway, I just thought I'd throw that in.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Thanks. We'll take one more comment on this. Michael.

MEMBER SISSENWINE: It's really questions about slide 3, which has the experimental results. And so just to be clear, the last row on white marlin/roundscale spearfish, that 52 percent is not significant statistically.

MR. COCKRELL: That's correct.

MEMBER SISSENWINE: And also just logically, it's hard to logically think of a reason why a weak hook would be more likely to catch a fish than a strong hook.

So you know I take it as it's not significant and, therefore, I don't put any importance to it.

I am curious, though, about the minus 41.2 percent. What you said indicated that that's not significant either. Am I correct?

That implies that if that number is not significant and the wahoo number and the bluefin numbers are, that the catch rate in this experiment of swordfish was substantially more variable than for wahoo and bluefin. And if

that's true, it makes wonder how representative the experiment is of the actual fisheries that target swordfish.

If the weak hook thing is going to be removed anyway, then that's an interesting comment that probably is not important in terms of the future.

But again, you would expect that the catch rates on swordfish would be less variable than some of the others and higher and, therefore, more likely to be statistically significant if you have a similar sort of reduction.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Makes sense.

Thanks.

All right, I think we should push to the last presentation in the set, which Tom, you're going to talk to us about update on the IBQ program and the three-year review.

MR. WARREN: Thank you. My name is Tom Warren. I'll be giving you a brief update on the IBQ program, as well as the three-year review.

This is a summary slide of a lot of data of pelagic longline bluefin tuna catch from 2012 to 2017. It shows both landings and dead discards and compares those data to the base quota, as well as the adjusted quota for the years.

The topics to focus on are those in the highlighted cells and, in particular, the difference between the years prior to 2015 and the years subsequent to 2015, including 2015. And you recall 2015 was the year of implementation of Amendment 7 and the IBQ program, as well as some of these new GRAs.

The percentages and the quotas are a little messier with respect to take home messages because they are kind of apples and oranges with respect to how dead discards were included or not in the quotas. And the differences in the percentages on the right-hand column reflect both differences in catches, as well as kind of differences in the magnitude of the quota.

So that being said, look at the third column over, total catch, and you'll see the difference between 2014, where the total catch is 208 metric tons versus 2015, which is 63 metric

tons. And these catches do not include the Northeast Distant Area.

And the difference in total catch is due both to the difference in the amount of landings, as well as the dead discards. And these dead discard calculations were done the same method from 2012 through 2016. That is, using observer data and logbook data; the observer data on numbers of bluefin discarded extrapolated based on logbook effort data.

So the take-home message is a reduced catch with the onset of Amendment 7 in 2015.

This shows pelagic longline landings of bluefin by month from 2014 to 2017. A similar overall pattern by month with 2014 showing higher levels of landings. Notable are spikes in June for the last couple of years of 2016 to 2017. This 2017 data is only through July. And also a notable spike in November of 2015. That's due in part by high Northeast Distant Area landings.

Some of these other spikes, the June spike in 2016 was due to a highly concentrated area off New England.

Individual bluefin quota debt by month from 2015 to 2017 showed different patterns every year. Essentially, though, these patterns most likely reflect landings patterns. 2015, the blue line, shows a spike in the summer months and another spike in November. And the 2016 year in red shows a large spike in quota debt during the summer months. And, in contrast, lower overall levels of quota debt in 2017.

Of note, the difference between 2015 and 2016 are the different rules in play. During 2015, the first year the program vessels weren't required to account for their debt or have a minimum amount of bluefin to go fishing. So that debt could accrue throughout the year. So that dynamic has some interaction here also.

This table summarizes leasing data, 2015, 2016 and 2017 through July, showing number of distinct shareholders leasing, the number of lease transactions, total pounds leased, and the average price per pound for leasing. And this is a weighted average.

The overall trend is increasing numbers of shareholders leasing over time, that is from 2015 to present, higher numbers of leased

transactions, increasing pounds leased, and then a decrease in average price for those leases.

I'll now jump to the three-year review. The three-year review is a Magnuson-Stevens requirement or not a three-year per se but a formal review of a catch year program is a Magnuson-Stevens requirement.

Amendment 7 chose to implement a review after the first three years of the program, so as to not have too much time pass before potential change are made and a full formal evaluation completed.

This time line we presented at the May AP meeting, so it may be familiar. The time line is driven in part by the availability of data. So, the years in review, 2015, 2016, and 2017 are those in question. The 2017 data won't be fully available until well into 2018. So that, in part, sets the time line. So in giving a brief progress report now, we'll show some preliminary data next spring, with the draft documents a year from now, and then the final document in the subsequent spring.

Also noted for your reference is the fact that a potential framework action or a proposed final rulemaking that relates to the IBQ program is a separate time line and basically a separate process running in parallel.

So, so far we showed you the guidance for conducting catch year reviews in May. We showed some draft metrics, essentially potential ways to evaluate whether the program objectives are being met and solicited input and presented that time line.

Since that time, we've developed a draft outline of the three-year review program document based on the guidelines, developed a spreadsheet of data elements and sources that we'll need to pull this together, and started the data compilation.

The next three slides show the outline for this document. First, there's a background section, including a purpose and need; and overview of the fishery, need for catch share; IBQ program objectives; and some of the key features of the program and events -- what quota distributions have occurred, what regulatory changes, et cetera.

And then the second portion of the document will be heavily the data focus, a description of methods. There are standard performance indicators that NMFS uses across the board to evaluate catch share programs. So there'll be figures and summaries also -- excuse me -- figures and tables.

And then we'll get into some data on related topics that are not inherent to the catch share program, per se, but closely linked, such as electronic monitoring, maybe some information on the repose vessels, the Deepwater Horizon repose project, and where relevant, the purse seine fishery because the vessel are leases to and from the purse seine fishery.

And then the last portion of the document will be the analysis of the objectives and conclusions. And this, of course, is pending completion of the data. So, we can't get started on this yet.

And then any suggested modifications to the IBQ program. Do we want to recommend tweaks, or substantive changes, or no changes, such as modifying allocations, allow permanent sale of IBQ, share caps, or cost recovery recommendations?

And as I understand it, the guidance leaves some flexibility with respect to whether there are or are not any suggested modifications. So, this would be a jumping off point for then discussion with you all and next steps.

Thank you.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Thanks, Tom. Any questions on the three-year review? Please, Michael.

MEMBER SISSENWINE: Yes, thank you, Tom. It looks like you know you are using a very reasonable and straightforward approach that should provide some good information.

I just wanted to comment, though, that in this case, I mean the fishery isn't about bluefin tuna, even though that's what the IFQ is. It's about a pelagic longline fishery that is targeting other things.

So I assume in the analysis and the economic metrics and so forth will more broadly look at the performance of the fishery, a multispecies fishery, not just the landings of

bluefin. Is that correct?

5

MR. WARREN: Yes.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Thanks. Pat? MEMBER AUGUSTINE: You pretty well

covered my concern. A question now.

Will this be available when, as early as May 2018? It seems like you've done a tremendous amount of work and there's still a lot to go. You're projecting to completion?

MR. WARREN: Let me backtrack here.

Preliminary data, so what data that we have tabulated and available we'll share, consistent with our philosophy of sharing as we go, to give you a flavor for what's been compiled.

MEMBER AUGUSTINE: Thank you.

MR. SCHALIT: A very good

presentation, Tom. I have a question about a comment you made and also about slide number -- what is it -- page 3, actually.

On page 3, you mentioned that there is a spike in landings of bluefin in 2017 and also 2016. Do you have any idea where those landings -- where those fish were caught?

MR. WARREN: The 2016 landings were east of the June closure area. The 2017 landings I haven't analyzed where those are from, whether it is a diffuse area or concentrated.

MR. SCHALIT: I have one more thing. You made reference to something I wasn't sure I understood. Are you saying that some of the changes that you might want to make to the IBQ program are frameworkable and some aren't and so there would be two parallel regulatory processes? Is that what I'm hearing?

MR. WARREN: I was referring more to concurrence proposed rulemaking can occur independently. But with respect to your question, yes, some arguably would be frameworkable and others more substantive. We'd need an amendment.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Thanks. I've got Rich, then Shana, then Jeff -- no, then Marty.

MEMBER RUAIS: I was going to defer to Marty, since it's more of his issue but David actually asked some of the questions that I was wondering as well.

So we could see a -- I mean you don't

see this as requiring a full-blown amendment, you see it something as less than that in order to meet the commitment you made in Amendment 7 that in three years it would be reviewed and, in that document, you provided some options on what it might be. It might be an extension. It might be a taking of a quota. It might be allowing them to sell based on some generation time or something like that. You made a number of thoughts that were prevailing at that time.

So the first question is just that.

Are we looking at another amendment for that?

MS. SCHULZE-HAUGEN: So what we're trying to lay out is that there is statutory requirements to do a formal review. A formal review and that is part of what this going to be.

We also made commitments in Amendment 7 to revisit a number of management measures that are independent from the statutory formal review requirements. And so what we're anticipating is a couple of simultaneous tracks, where we're going to do the formal review and meet the statutory requirements but also we'll be looking at all of the things that we said we would look at in Amendment 7. Those are going to be happening at about the same time.

I think we probably, for some of the measures that we know we need to do, such as you know permitted sale considerations, those are likely FMP amendments -- I don't really see that they wouldn't be -- and whatever recommendations may come out. I mean I think there's a high probability that we'll be looking at an amendment.

MEMBER RUAIS: Yes, I just want to follow-up that there was also, during the public hearing process at least, I don't know if it made it into the document, I know those other options that I referred to did make it into the document because I've read it carefully but we have a lot invested, general category, harpoon category, angling category, we all have a lot invested in the IBQ program in terms of that's where it originated.

Part of the promise was that we might be considered at that point in time, as well, in any distribution that might or resolution I should say of the IBQ system.

The second point I wanted to make, this might be a source for your -- of a quota for potential disaster sets in some portion. Some portion of it might be -- you know it would address a lot of people's concerns around the tables that a disaster set could be tapped for that.

Thank you.

MS. SCHULZE-HAUGEN: Okay.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Thanks, Rich.

Shana.

MEMBER MILLER: Thanks. And thanks,

Tom, for this presentation. My comments -- and I'm moving into comments, not questions. I hope that's okay.

My comment is more general both to all the presentations that we saw in this segment, as well as the IBQ flexibility presentation yesterday, that all the discussion is on lifting regulations. And it was my same comment when we did that dot exercise at the last meeting. you know all these regulations were put in place for a reason. And you know to me, the burden of proof should be on those reasons going away. know the 2017 swordfish assessment shows that the population is right at BMSY. We're not in like -- we're not way above BMSY, as people might have thought was going to happen. And the preliminary management advice is actually to decrease the swordfish quota. So obviously, we're way below our quota.

But still, it seems kind of premature to be talking about rolling back all these regulations at this point. You know we have the IBQ program which, as Mike pointed out, it's a big decrease in bluefin but what about target catch? You know swordfish catch has been relatively consistent since the IBQ went into force.

To me, it is a smashing success. We have this three-year review that is going to go underway. We had the final rule earlier this year to change the way it's distributed in season to increase flexibility. Yet, here we are talking about increasing flexibility through this accountability, you know changing from a trip level accountability. To me, the concern about going to end of year accountability is all of a

sudden everyone is scrambling to get IBQ and it's all gone.

And so I just I think that's really a dangerous way to go forward. Maybe quarterly. You know at least it gives you some time to figure out what you're dealing with and make adjustments as needed.

And then for the closed areas, same thing. You know there is this EFP that is going to be very carefully scrutinized to look at the East Florida Coast closed area. And if there's any talk of reopening the other closed areas or changing the boundaries, it seems like some similar scrutiny is required. I mean there are reasons why each of those closed areas went into place.

And you know if, like I said, the swordfish, the assessment isn't as great. So even if you're looking at the juvenile swordfish, you know that is something that needs to be looked at carefully. And yes, EFPs are expensive but, in some ways, it's the cost of doing business.

I'll stop there. But I just am a little concerned by all this focus on weakening the protections that have been put in place after a lot of analysis and a lot of discussion.

Thanks.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Thanks, Shana.

Marty, I'll give you the last -- oh.

Marty and then over to Jeff.

MEMBER SCANLON: Well I would certainly ask the industry's help in any questions that may come up through this process, as far as developing this further here. And that's really all I have to comment on right there.

You know if there are some verifying question you have to ask of somebody that's in the fleet or may have some basically inside information on why there might be some peaks, or this, or how we can help, we are certainly available to contribute to that.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Jeff.

MEMBER ODEN: To Shana's concern on the end of year accountability, you know I understand there would be concern there. But you know you could put a 75 percent cap and if we, as

a fleet, came close to that quota prior to that time, then we would have to be accountable.

But you know to me it just makes a whole lot more sense and it's just pretty daunting to somebody to find themselves in a deep hole early in the year and have to go buy quota just to go fishing.

And again, I've got quota I haven't used in three years. I'd be glad to give it to somebody. And to me there is a lot of us that haven't touched it and to me, I think there can be an accounting then to where these fishermen aren't -- I understand and totally agree with IBQ and that we do need to be held individually accountable but you know as a fleet, not as an individual.

And I feel like if there is a little versatility allowed for these guys who do have perhaps a disaster set early on and it's not such a daunting hill to climb to try to get out of debt and knowing or hoping, perhaps, that somebody can help them along at the end of the year, that's my reason for having brought it up before.

Anyway, thanks.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: All right. Well, thanks to HMS folks for all of these presentations. I think this has been really helpful conversation.

Just to hit a few points that I heard from the initial presentation on the swordfish landings, you know a lot of suggestions on other pieces of information to look at, more analyses to do in a couple of areas where maybe Agency assistance would be helpful, whether it's on imports or publicity, labeling mechanisms. So, just I think a lot of good ideas put on the table.

On the area-based management, a couple of people speaking fairly strongly for the concept of pushing towards access with 100 percent observers, a call to sort of focus on the output side of things, rather than the input of closure.

And then a couple of comments around we really need to look more carefully at these options, do some analyses that will help us. Shana's cautions around sort of the scrutiny I

think falls into that as well, sort of looking carefully at why were these controls put in place and, therefore, what does it mean to remove them.

On the weak hooks piece, definitely a pretty strong call to revisit those because of their potential redundancy, the potential for research, opportunities if removing it, and then the potential. Maybe that's better for white marlin harvests.

And then lastly on the three-year review, I think just a couple of suggestions there to just look at the broader economics and then reach out to industry where you need help to understand what you're seeing better.

So, I think that's all.

We want to turn, at this point, to our last topic. But before we do that, I just want to see, for anyone who is here, from members of the public, anyone who will wanting to be making comments, public comments? Anybody?

PARTICIPANT: Some of are actually going to be rushing to planes. So can public comments towards the end?

FACILITATOR BROOKS: I'm not seeing any. We weren't planning on taking them now. Just seeing if there was anybody.

So, I'm not seeing anybody raising their hand. So I don't think we have any public comment as of right now.

So at this point, Sarah, you're already here. So last topic before Margo's summary is taking a look at the bluefin tuna, the general category January fishery.

MS. MCLAUGHLIN: I'm Sarah McLaughlin from Gloucester. We don't have that many slides. So you might actually want to pop open the background document, rather than this presentation.

So we've prepared a background paper to provide context for the discussion. Just to clarify, we're not proposing anything right now and this is meant to provide a summary of the history on this issue.

The paper describes the evolution of the General category management since 1995, when we first implemented time period subquotas to increase the likelihood that fishing would continue through the summer and for scientific

monitoring purposes. And I want to take a few minutes to just mention some of the highlights of the paper here. So, you can look along in the paper.

You'll see there that the allocations were set annually at first in '95, '96, and then formalized in 1997 and were based on the historical catches from 1983 to 1996. So you may remember it used to be June to August with 60 percent, 30 percent for September, and 10 percent for October to December, including New York Bight.

The paper summarizes the request that we've had for changes over the last several years. For instance, in 2003, there was a request to extend the fishery past December 31 to January 31, if quota remained available. And this was during the time when we managed the bluefin fishery on an offset year of June to May versus a calendar year. And we implemented that change to extend to January 31 in December 2003 to increase fishing opportunities and to optimize yield for the fishery overall.

In the 2006 FMP, we reverted management back to a calendar year basis and we formalized the December and the January allocations at 5.2 and 5.3 percent. So, you'll see that in that pie chart in the paper.

Another key action was the 2009 proposed rule to allow the January fishery to run until May 31 or until the available quota was reached, whichever came first.

And we had an extended comment period on that proposed rule and we wound up delaying final action until after the 2010 CITES meeting, where bluefin was considered for an Appendix 1 listing, which would have meant restrictions on international trade, as well as a 2011 request to list bluefin under the Endangered Species Act.

Are you getting a lot of feedback? No, a little bit. Okay.

Neither of those, the CITES or the ESA listing request resulted in listing actions for bluefin. So in 2011, we issued the final rule. And based on public comment and other considerations, we modified the proposed rule -- the proposed measure. So instead of it being January 1 through May 31, it was January 1

through March 31, or until the subquota was reached, whichever came first.

That's the current state of the January time period.

And then Amendment 7, which was finalized in 2014 gave us the flexibility to move quota from the December time period or others back in time to the January subquota. And we've done that for the last three years, moving all or a portion of the December quota back in time to the January time period.

So we're requesting feedback, at this point, in light of various considerations, such as the availability of U.S. quota, the impact on the stock, community impacts, et cetera. And changes would need to be consistent with the Atlantic Tunas Convention Act and the Magnuson-Stevens Act and should take into consideration a lot of what we talked about yesterday, the highly variable nature of a bluefin fishery. And that's true for rulemaking changes, as well as changes to how we do our inseason management.

So again, the status quo is that the January fishery runs until March 31st or until the available subquota is met, whichever comes first.

We could continue to transfer quota inseason, consistent with the regulatory determination criteria that we do for transfers and bag limit adjustments, such as the availability of bluefin on the fishing grounds, landings to date, effects on accomplishing FMP objectives, effects of catch rates in one area affecting opportunities to have a reasonable opportunity to harvest quota in another, et cetera.

And we could reconsider the request to extend the closure date of January period to May 31st. And again, that request was for the available quota to be fished until it runs out or May 31st, whichever comes first. So whether it was April 3rd or April 27, that kind of thing. Either way, unused quota would continue to roll forward to the subsequent time period.

And then we're asking, again, are there other options? In the past we've heard things like why not just manage it without time periods and see how it goes. Like you saw from

the presentation yesterday, in times of high availability and effort, that could mean the quota going pretty quickly.

So we welcome your ideas and one thing is in the -- I found a small typo in the paper and I apologize the pages are not numbered but at the end of the background section, there is a paragraph about the inseason actions this year. And there is a March 2016 that should say March 2017.

And you can always contact us in Gloucester for more information but we welcome the discussion now.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: And Sarah, I'm sorry, can you just say again what is sort of the thinking around a time line for moving forward with any potential change here?

MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Yes, we don't have something planned for this upcoming winter fishery but --

FACILITATOR BROOKS: This is a preliminary sort of --

MS. SCHULZE-HAUGEN: Well, it's a follow-on. If you remember, there was some back and forth. I see the tent cards up already. And so it's just the next meeting and trying to provide for everyone that hasn't lived General category bluefin tuna, the full story of management and over the next discussion.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Great. All right, so let's work our way down the line off to my left. So, we'll go Rich, Mike, George, and then Anna, is that your card? Okay.

MEMBER RUAIS: Thank you, Sarah. That was very good. I reverted to creating a cheat sheet because I knew we were going to be running out of time here and I wanted to be as fast as I could be to get through the points. Well, it's actually only seven points. I was going to make it 27 points but I shortened up, not one for every year but --

First of all, anytime you attempt to -- well first of all, we don't want to see any further changes right now to the sub-allocation periods at all. I think we've seen enough today and I think there's a bit of history missing in the paper. If you all recall, whether it was 2003 or what the year was, this committee, at the

recommendation of Bill Hogarth, the administrator of National Marine Fisheries Service, plus the former head of North Carolina DMR brokered a deal or suggested a sharing and we agreed to it.

And that sharing was 10.5 metric tons. That's now risen to 81 metric tons adjusted quoted this year and they're over that, up to 107 tons. So, they basically got 90 to 100 extra tons already this year without any changes in the fishery whatsoever.

Anytime you lengthen or add to a subquota period, it has got to come from someplace else, typically, unless you have a long-term history. And it's dangerous to rely on a -- there is no such thing as a long-term history, in terms of high migratory fish. I guess there is on a grand scale but on a small scale, things can change very fast.

The other point I wanted to make was in a fishery where it's fully subscribed, like this bluefin fishery has been since the 1960s, it's not wise to -- I think that's a basic precept of management that scientists would back me up on that you don't allow new fisheries to develop or further dependencies to develop where you already have quite a history of dependency made up. And in this case, you can go back to the 1600s and see the dependency that's developed in New England. And I won't go into the details, which I would have if I had more time.

Another even more important rule is I challenge and I disagree with the notion that using the criteria for taking fish out of reserve and allocating it to someplace else is consistent with the Magnuson Act. I think that would require a legal determination that your discretionary criteria overrules MSA National Standard number 8.

And I just want to read you just a couple of quick quips, direct quotes out of Amendment 8 that they backed that up. And they're this: MSA 8 acknowledges "importance of fishery resources to fishing communities." It provides "sustained participation of such communities." And as I've said, in New England, the home of the Northeast fishery, the place where it developed, we've got 400 years, not quite 400 years of history, but documented

history that can be shown.

Another quote directly out of Amendment 8: "The importance of fishery resources to fishing communities." We've already talked about the lack of alternatives to the bluefin fishery in New England in comparison to the alternatives that are before the Southern fishery, the additional alternatives that are there.

Amendment 8 requires you to minimize adverse economic impacts on such communities. That isn't what this closure did to us on August 17th to September 1. It hurt New England and it probably cast some question about whether or not -- a serious question about whether we're going to get the October fishery and the November fishery that most people wanted to see.

Let's see, just a couple more points.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Rich, I do want
you to go quick. There's lots of people in the
queue.

MEMBER RUAIS: Okay. The biggie is, I don't think this is consistent with National Standard 8. I think it's in direct conflict with it and I think it's challengeable that you use that kind of discretionary criteria. With me, it trumps. You're trumping national standards with the use of discretionary criteria to move quota around.

Okay, I've got a lot more quotes but I know I'll get a second shot because you told me I could have the last word.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: That is true. Mike.

MEMBER PIERDINOCK: Well, I'll try to also be straight and to the point. You know the Stellwagen Bank Charter Boat Association and our members who fish the Stellwagen waters west of Gulf of Maine and the charter boat captains, recreational anglers, and a lot of the General category of members that we also have, as Rick said, we don't have any alternatives. Bluefin is our only alternative. We don't have yellowfin. We don't have bigeye. We don't have mahi. So, when the bluefin disappears, it disappears.

What also needs to be taken into consideration here is that we've had, the charter boat fleet has had a two-third reduction in our

bookings as a result of the cod closures north of the 42 latitude line. This bluefin tuna fishery we rely on heavily to make it by. So without it, it has a significant impact.

We're not happy about the closure in August. The early closure that's going to likely occur at the end of this month, we're not happy about that either. And you know why those fish are there, why they're not there I think has to do with the abundance of menhaden that was locally in the waters, and water temperature, and so on.

So we need to manage it either status quo or do something to protect the fact that we can remain open.

Our typical fishing season is we have an early run with the herring run. We get the big guys coming through. They keep going up to Canada, we get them. And then it used to be slow in the summer but that didn't occur this year. And actually right now is when it would kick in and we'd be fishing until November-December, you know harvesting the bluefin.

But something is going on different this year. So to manage these quotas so it doesn't have a detrimental impact at us at the other end is critical, not only have the ability to fish for bluefin but, as it's indicated, we're so dependent upon that, with the cod closures, with the cutbacks, with black sea bass, and I could go through all the different species that we're not allowed to land or the significant reduction at this point. This is the only thing that we're hanging on by a thread.

So, status quo and making sure that -the members were looking for two. We actually
didn't talk about this yesterday. I was
surprised nobody brought it up around the table.
What do we recommend for next year? Two giants
per day, per vessel. They didn't want to see,
didn't want to see, two, three - they didn't want
to see three, or four, or so on, then hopefully
they can manage it that everybody from North
Carolina on north can have a whole season.

I also mentioned this yesterday is you know there are a lot of fish out there. I don't know, maybe they were offshore the last few years; now, they're near shore. Is it time to

try to increase our quota through ICCAT? Because I'd like to have us to be able to harvest the fish, the North Carolina guys, and down south be able to harvest the fish because we're all seeing plenty of it but this seems to be the new mode of operation that what we see on the water is inconsistent with the stock assessment.

So hopefully we can see some change there, too. Thanks.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Thanks, Mike. George.

MEMBER PURMONT: Thank you.
Acknowledging, obviously that the Carolinian
fishery that the winter fishery, quota fishery
has been managed with varying degrees of success,
I think that thing that kind of cross-hatches a
number of people is that when you have a closure,
the closure is in fact a closure, that it is in a
timely manner that when you put the brakes on,
you don't exceed the quota. I think you need to
get a better handle on it.

I do think that your suggestion to allow the General category to remain open from the first of January until the subquota is reached makes good sense. I wouldn't put the addendum of the 31st of May in there.

I strongly believe in the concept of quota-driven fishery, not calendar operated or oriented, which is to say that I don't see any reason why the traditional New England fisheries -- and in here, when we go back to the traditional New England fisheries, I was there when the gun went off. I was basically there when Peter, one of the first disciples, was in the General category and I'm not talking about Weiss.

So to say that -- to hearken to say that the fishery is something that's been there a long time, that's true but relevant history is relevant history and it's more timely in the context of recent, rather than ancient.

And I think that, again, you're doing an excellent job but if you put the brakes on, make sure that when the car stops the passengers don't go beyond the line.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: George, I just want to clarify. You're speaking to keeping it open from January 1 until subquota is reached,

without any kind of endpoint.

MEMBER PURMONT: Without any calendar interference or interjection.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Okay, thanks.

MS. MCLAUGHLIN: I want to make sure
I understand that because it would be -- how does
it interact with the June to August quota, then,
if there's still quota available?

MEMBER PURMONT: You fish until your quota's caught. There's no reason why you can't chew gum and carry on a conversation, why two fisheries can't coincide. I don't see why the Carolina fishery, with its landings in wherever, cannot at the same time operate while the New England fishery is taking place.

MS. MCLAUGHLIN: And this is important -- that we don't manage by geography at all.

It's all time periods. So the January fishery is open, whether there is Massachusetts boats off North Carolina or things are happening in Virginia. You know what I mean?

So I think what it would operationally look like is the unused quota would just be rolling forward and available. But I don't know that we could -- I think just for very bureaucratic Federal Register purposes, we need to say that the January fishery is closed or runs until May 31st because then the June 1 period begins. It's very boring.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: So then it would go with the status quo from January 1 until the March 31, right? Until May. Until May, okay. Okay, got you. Thanks.

MEMBER BECKWITH: Thank you. First of all, I want to actually thank Sarah for creating the background document. I thought it was excellent. I thought she caught the discussions that we had during the May meeting quite well.

I know that one of the goals for you guys is to make sure that we're heard. And in reference to our concerns as a Council, I feel like our concerns were heard and well represented. So I do appreciate that.

Specifically, of course, the South Atlantic Council would support the extension of the May 31st date or until the quota is caught. We like what is currently happening with the flexibility of being able to transfer that

December quota over to January. We think that's working well, given the history of the last few years. Of course in 2015, we would have had some additional quota available to fish the beginning of April. 2016 worked exactly the way it was supposed to. And in 2017 we did have a small transfer from the Reserve category, which we certainly appreciated but you know that is sort of a separate issue.

I did want to touch on a couple of things that Rich said. I understand that he doesn't actually want to see any changes currently to the sub-allocations. And that's again, not what the ask is at the moment. So, I don't understand why that keeps being brought up. That's not the ask.

We are simply asking for additional flexibility so our subquota can be fully utilized, I there is a subquota remaining.

To his comments about Hogarth brokering a deal, to me it always seems like there's an inappropriate claiming of ownership to a nationally-owned resource. And I continue to think that we need to step carefully around that.

While National Marine Fisheries has recently put out an allocation review policy that clearly states that while historic use of fisheries is to be considered, it is not to be considered as sort of the main point.

So take all that into consideration.

I didn't really understand the concerns about the Magnuson-Stevens inconsistencies with Standard 8 and moving around quota from the Reserve category because we certainly benefited from that this year but it seems like the October-November time frame benefits from that on an annual basis. So it's either good for the goose and the gander or it's not. So I'm not sure where those arguments come from.

But needless to say, yes, we would like to see this move forward in consideration and we certainly would like to see that opportunity to fish that January subquota extended until the quota is reached or May 31st day. So, we appreciate your time.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Thank you. Andrew.

MEMBER MARSHALL: I'd just like to echo Rich and his sentiments here of keeping it as a status quo right now. There was a lot of talk about doing economic impact surveys. And there's a huge impact on the northeast when we have early closures. And I'm really interested to see down the line captains start logging the actual -- you know how much money is spent in the northeast.

So I would just urge you to keep the status quo.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: All right, I've got David, Randy, Rom, and then Andre.

MR. SCHALIT: My bona fides are that I fished for one season I brought my vessel down to North Carolina to Beaufort, Morehead, Hatteras, and Wanchese. And in another season, I went down to Wanchese and learned how to use green stick for about three weeks.

So I have some sense of what's going on down there, but as a New England fisherman. But I still needed some context. So I requested some data from the Agency about two months on landings going back five years. And I want to share with you the observations I made from that data.

Basically what I asked the agency for was five years of landing segmented by two-week periods, rather than one-month periods and, secondarily, segmented by Cape Lookout.

As you know, the geography of North Carolina is bisected. The coastline is bisected by Cape Lookout, which is this peninsula that juts out into the Atlantic. And south of Cape Lookout, we have Morehead/Beaufort. And north of Cape Lookout, there is Hatteras, Ocracoke, Wanchese, and Oregon Inlet.

So my sort of preliminary observations were that bluefin arrive to these areas at different times. So when I looked at the data, I could see it. It was obvious. Looking at bluefin landings from south of Cape Lookout, that is Morehead/Beaufort, there were none in 2011, none in 2012, none in 2013. In 2014, there were some landings in the first half of December. That was it.

In 2015, the fish showed up in the second half of November and departed the second

half of January.

In that five-year series there were no years in which we had landings in March -- in February or March.

So in my view, when we're talking about extending the season, we're really not talking about Morehead-Beaufort at the moment. We're talking about what happened north of Cape Lookout, where we have fish arriving in the first or second half of January, typically, and staying through the end of March. Of course, we don't know what would happen after the second half of March because nobody's been fishing after that time.

So the way I see it is that these are two different bodies of fish that come from two different places and they arrive and leave at different times and the trend seems to be fairly clear. But as I say this, I must also say that bluefin never do the same thing in two consecutive years. All right?

So but it's also my observation, having fished in April off of Hatteras Point, that my observation would be that large medium and giant bluefin tend to depart from that area in early to mid-April, leaving juveniles. And I think the reason why they are leaving, why these giants are leaving is very obvious. It's because the water is heating up. And unless we have another trend like global cooling instead of global warming, this is more or less a constant event every year.

What we have also in April that we've observed is that there is an overlap of juvenile bluefin and yellowfin coming like around mid-April, approximately.

Now, I believe that juvenile bluefin have a higher tolerance for warm water than do giants. This is just my own observation. And maybe that's the reason why we tend to see the juveniles there far longer than the giants.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Okay, hold on for one second. Just because of time, would you be able to sort of just --

MR. SCHALIT: That's why I asked you if we would be rushed. This is an important issue for me.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: I'm not rushing

you but I want you to just take what you're saying and put it in the context of the options that are on the table.

MR. SCHALIT: Okay, I will be happy to do that.

The backbone of that fishery is the Wanchese fisherman. The Wanchese fisherman, more often than not, is a subsistence fisherman, commercial fisherman who has several fishing permits. That differentiates the Wanchese fisherman from the New England fisherman, who only fishes for bluefin tuna. If he's not fishing for bluefin tuna, most of these fishermen don't fish for anything else. But in Wanchese, you can't survive without having several permits.

So when a Wanchese fisherman is thinking about going fishing, he might take a look at king mackerel and bluefin and say where can I make more money on that tomorrow. Where am I going -- how much fuel will I burn catching those king mackerel as compared with bluefin tuna, and so on.

So these guys I know, they have told me that they believe that a one-fish bag limit is a dead loss to them. Coincidentally it happens to be the same thing that northern bluefins say -- northern fishermen say.

But my point here is very simple. If we wanted to experiment with this idea of extending the fishery, we are basically looking at a fishery that is bracketed in time because those fish are only going to remain there for so long and we don't know how long that will be. But any hard-boiled commercial fisherman -- any hard-boiled commercial fisherman -- will tell you that he wants to catch the fish when they are there, not when they are going to maybe be there.

So if we wanted to actually have quota going into April or possibly May, we might be considering lowering the bag limit earlier in the season, in order to make that -- in order to facilitate that. And if we did that, what we're doing is we're creating negative economic consequences for that fisherman, that commercial fisherman because it means that he will to do more trips in order to catch the theoretical same number of fish he expects to catch and that means he'll be burning more fuel and so on.

So I see this experiment -- if we go into this with eyes wide open, we would ask people like Andre, who actually fished there in April off of Hatteras Point, and see what's realistic. I don't think we can -- as I say, there are no hard and fast rules regarding bluefin migratory patterns but there is one very -- there is one constant here and that is the water temperature rising in April and the fact that yellowfin show up is an exemplification of that fact. And I rarely ever hear of yellowfin being caught in the same body of water at the same time as giant bluefin.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Okay.
MR. SCHALIT: That's it.
FACILITATOR BROOKS: Thank you.

Appreciate that.

Let's go over to Randy, and Rom, and then over to Andre.

MEMBER GREGORY: First of all I'd like to concur with a lot of the things that Anna said earlier. As everybody has mentioned the winter fishery is highly variable and that's the reason that we've asked for this extension in the time period.

First of all, I think one of the major issues that nobody's mentioned yet is vessel safety. We can -- often these fish don't show up until the last few days in March and we would not want to create a hazard situation. It is a very rough place, at times. Inlets are very shallow. And you know if the guys knew that there was going to be a few more days in the season that we weren't bumping up to the end of March, that they'd have that ability to go out and maybe not fish on some days they shouldn't be fishing.

The other thing is that this is a U.S. quota and this would also increase opportunities for everyone. There are plenty of boats from all over the United States that come to North Carolina to fish in the wintertime. So that actually increases some of the opportunities for some of the folks that may not have opportunity.

There are fish in April. Our recreational citation program has lots of years of data where fish have been released and we have that information, if the agency would like that. But the fish are there. If there is some quota

left, we'd like to keep fishing past March 31st.
Thank you.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Thanks, Randy.

Rom.

2.2

MEMBER WHITAKER: Yes, Rom Whitaker, Hatteras Charter Boat. I would also concur with Anna's remarks. They were very eloquent as to the history.

And we have had some very vigorous discussions around this table, as you all well know. And I only have to point out National Standard 4. But anyway, we're not going to get into that. We're not, as far as I know, asking for more. We're asking for an extension to March 31st -- I mean to May 31st. Let me make that clear, May 31st. And it's for the reasons Randy gave -- weather. You might not have yellowfins up in your area now but they may be there next year you know with the weather patterns.

So we want the flexibility to be able to catch that quota on into April. And normally they are gone by the end of April but you never know. Bluefins change every year. They used to be thick as fleas in Hatteras for December, January, and February. But those fish don't come back there anymore, for whatever reasons.

Let's be thankful that you are doing a great job and we're catching the quota. I mean we were sitting here just a few years ago wondering how we were going to catch them; not who was going to catch them but how we were going to catch them. So, I'm thankful that we're utilizing the quota, whether they are getting caught below me or above me, I think is great. We're utilizing a fishery.

And I agree with Mike that maybe it's time that at ICCAT we can prove that hey, we got more fish over here than this stock assessment is showing and let's get some more pounds so everybody can be happy.

And that's pretty much -- I won't get into the history of all the arguments or discussions we've had around the table but we would like that flexibility. Thank you.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Thanks, Rom, very

46 much.

Andre.

MEMBER BOUSTANY: Yes, it's a little

bit off topic but I just wanted to address the point that a couple of people have brought up about the availability of fish and how potentially what you're seeing on the water is different than what the scientists, quote, unquote, are seeing.

Yes, that happens a lot. There is a lot of data that go into stock assessments and goes from Gulf of Mexico all the way up to almost Greenland. And you're seeing a very small part of that.

I would just like to remind everyone that it was just a few years back that you all were seeing decreasing or steadily low catches and the stock assessment was going up. So, it's a double-edged sword. And you know it's pretty common that that does happen and you can't always attribute low catches due to shifts in distribution and higher catches to increased abundance. So distribution and abundance both go both ways.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Good and important point. Thank you.

Rich, I see your card up. Is that because you have something you want to say or you really just want the last word?

MEMBER RUAIS: No, I have something to say but I will be quick.

First of all, several have made the point that we're not looking for more quota, we just want more time. Well, the events have kind of contradicted that. You started off at 10.5, you're now up to 107 metric tons. I don't know how you can even with a straight face make that argument that you don't consistently ask for more quota. Whether it's in this room or elsewhere, you're asking for quota and you're getting it.

The second point, and there's only two points that I wanted to make, was I left out the most important part of National Standard 8, which says to address the sustained participation of fishing communities that will be affected by management measures, the analysis should first identify affected fishing communities and then assess their different levels of dependence on and engagement in the fishery being regulated and should assess the likely positive and negative social and economic impacts of the alternative

management measures over both the short and longterm on fishing communities.

And I don't know how anyone can argue that the impact upon New England of a declining, shifting traditional fishery to the south is not going to impact and hurt the northeast fishery more than a fishery that doesn't even really exist right now except at a level.

And the last final point is that scientists always complain that not having enough data is the hardest part of their job. Well for managers, international and domestic, shortage of allocation is always the hardest part and I hate it because it requires you to fight and argue with other fishermen, which I really hate to do. The time to be increasing quota and, if I were still here, I would support it, when the U.S. quota increases, as Mike says. And I think that's not that far away. I could be wrong. Shana probably has some information. She has the scoop on swordfish, as she always does. So she probably has the scoop on the bluefin stock assessment finale. We can't get at it.

But anyway, if it is improving to some extent, we could be seeing quota that can be shared in different ways.

And then I'll just allude to the IBQ program. If there are changes there, it could be that quota becomes available there. And that's when you look at new fisheries in allowing another more financial dependence. You don't take away from people who already have that dependence to start a new dependence when they're not missing anything.

Thank you. And thank you, everybody. FACILITATOR BROOKS: Thanks. So no surprise, kind of oh, do we come in at the last minute.

MEMBER HEMILWRIGHT: Yes, I just have one quick question and Brad can probably answer it. How many permits are issued in the general category and how many actually catch a fish?

So when you talk about dependence and I've heard around the room about commercial fishing, how many of this are dependent upon this 100 percent of the year for their intake and how many are part-timers? And so when you hear the context given around a room about comments, some

of them depend on it greatly. Others, this is just a hobby for them, not that the economic impact of what they do is not great, fuel, boats, and all that, but the ones that depend on it up and down the coast is probably a small minority compared to everybody else that goes fishing that are not catching but fishing.

So I was just curious about what's the percentage of the people that actually have permits that actually catch something. And it doesn't have to be just a given year.

MR. MCHALE: So when you look at the permitted universe, there is probably about 2,300 to 2,400 General category permitted vessels up and down the seaboard. In addition to those 2,400, you have the 3,500 Charter/Headboat vessels. Now granted, that's up and down the seaboard, through the Gulf as well. They're not all bluefin tuna fishermen but, as you know, down in North Carolina as well as up in New England a lot of those charter guys go for bluefin when they're available.

So out of that we'll call it 5,500, give or take, usually on average there are 500 or 600 unique vessels that are landing and selling bluefin tuna in any given year.

But what becomes very difficult, and I understand the point you're raising as well as the point that Richard is raising, is that aspect of dependence, where we don't require folks to submit, say, where their income is generated from as a prerequisite for permits. So it becomes very difficult to then look at permit information and make a determination of who is say dependent or a full-time commercial fisherman versus folks that may have other sources of income and that are just participating in that fishery, other than just looking at potential success. then, that's obviously biased as well because you could have really good fishermen on either side of that fence.

MEMBER HEMILWRIGHT: Yes, something else. If HMS is able to clean up the issuing permits to vessels that do not have safety decals that are selling, that's a lot of vessels that are taking away from the people that have all the permits and are by the regulations and also take away from the people who are not submitting the

40 percent in the General category, give or take a little bit, who are not accounting their landing of the fish.

You're taking away from the people right there that are trying to follow the law and doing it and you have got others that are not doing it and it is not a small amount. So, you know maybe by tightening up that thing and taking their permits away, and making them justify, it gives more fish to the people who are abiding by the regulations.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Thanks, Dewey. Mike.

MEMBER PURMONT: Mike Purmont.
There's no doubt there is a dependency up in New England. Just go to our marinas. Go to Green Harbor and you'll see if the tuna bite is on Gloucester or any one of them, there's no boats there. If the tuna bite isn't on, they're all back at the dock because we don't have any other options.

One thing you're not taking into consideration also is is that from a recreational standpoint where there are trophy quota, it gets shut down early. How many recreational vessels are out there and the economic impact of all those vessels on the water?

And we see them on the weekend. You go out there, there is hundreds of boats fighting to get a few giants that may be present. And we've been fortunate this year that the fish have been so close to shore because the water temperature has been right and the menhaden have been there as the food source that 100 yards -- throw a rock 100 yards off of Chatham and you can catch giants and almost put a hotdog out there to catch them because they've been so numerous in the area. And that's the way it's been this year.

So whether you're experienced or not, people are hooking up to them and that's a great thing. And once again, you are all to be -- and you, too -- you're disappearing on us. I wish you weren't. A lot of people around this table, whether it's National Marine Fisheries Service or others have done a lot. Where we were in the past and where we are today, you are to be commended for that. And now we're trying to

address how North can work for North Carolina and how it could work for us. And I just find offense for anybody to say that it is not impacting us because it is. And just take the QuanTech interviewers and just talk to them and they'll tell you how that works.

So, thanks.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: Andrew.

MEMBER MARSHALL: Sorry, real quick. I just wanted to agree with Dewey. We're looking for a little bit more on the compliance of safety. A lot of us made significant investment to be in the fishery and you see some people out there right now that just are out there, no compliance. But in terms of weekend warriors, there really isn't any more weekend warriors anymore. It's a full-time fishery for a lot of people. And there is a big economic impact.

So, thanks.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: All right. Well, no surprise, kind of a split decision here on guidance that you're hearing from folks around the table but that's again, probably something that isn't too surprising.

But we did hear some common themes around if there can more quota to be gotten through ICCAT, that's a good thing to do. And if pushing at the safety compliance narrows the field a little bit, that also has some benefits to folks around the table.

So I think I will just leave it at that and hand it off. Let me just double-check again. Anybody wishing to make public comment?

Okay if not, I think I hand it off to you, Margo, to do your summary right on time.

MS. SCHULZE-HAUGEN: All right, yes,

I've got ten minutes until one o'clock.

So my usual caveats with this. This has been taken in real-time, literally. This morning people went over and typed up the notes here. I have not seen it so, I will be seeing it with you. It is not intended to be the full transcript. We will have that later. This would be high level take-aways trying to just capture the treetops of the discussion.

And I am guessing we are going to see lots of photos of ourselves, as pictures were being taken. So, here we go. On the overview -- request for update on the mobile reporting app. We got some of that information later in the meeting. And then looking for information on the whitetip listing process; a follow-up on connectivity between Seafood Import Monitoring Rule and the IUU provisions of the Shark Conversation Act. We'll be following up on that issue, getting the right folks to weigh in.

A lot of concerns about illegal domestic landings of HMS and questions about research on Atlantic bluefin tuna with the effects of Deepwater Horizon.

Several questions regarding the Cape Cod Commercial Fishermen's Association EFP. So that is something that we'll be turning to after this meeting.

Bye Rich. No, that's okay.

So on upcoming rulemaking, the Charter/Headboat permit rule, general support for the approach splitting the Charter/Headboat into a sale/no sale. Consider the potential impacts on the trophy category, particularly trophy north.

Continued concern about differences in reporting requirements across fisheries and the suggestion to require proof of a captain's license for a Charter/Headboat permit.

So stay tuned on that, as well as this one: upcoming rulemaking on IBQ accountability. A question about IBQ lease during the year, details in IBQ update presentation. You can read that.

If there are no conservation concerns, NMFS should make accountability more flexible. So I think we heard that.

Regarding carryover of IBQ, some pros and cons; some support; and then questions about implications at an ICCAT for a carry forward like that.

Implications on flexibility in accounting it could have on the need for inseason transfers to the longline category early in the year.

And comments that trip level accountability may prevent vessels from quick turnaround when target species fishing is good and it can be difficult to find additional IBQ to

lease. Leasing is a cost. Vessels can be hesitant to lease early in the year. But also a statement that the accounting period should not be too long so that vessels don't go too far into debt.

And so, again, we'll be looking to have that proposal out soon.

On enforcement updates, need to differentiate between fisheries regulations and Coast Guard requirements. Question about the boat lettering and inspections. Interest in high seas boarding inspection initiatives. I think we can follow-up on that.

Clarification/discussion on violation penalties. The Mid-Atlantic Council August Briefing Book. But then also I think we'll make sure we get out information on the website. More people can find information. It sounds like they're posting things a lot more frequently.

The fileting EFP request, need to consider enforcement there. And then acknowledgment of animal mistreatment by Florida anglers and the FWC and NOAA OLE responses. Interest in how OLE is using social media and what people are posting about what they're doing.

Request to consider a tournament permit versus registration and making sure they get information about the regulations. Also request to streamline different inspections by different parts of the Coast Guard, although I didn't hear that that was necessarily going to happen in near term. And then the request that Coast Guard and OLE keep the public updated on the use of drones.

On the Caribbean, again, this will be one that we'll circle back on in the spring, since folks couldn't be here. Questions about the process for removing species like Caribbean reef or sharpnose. Questions about scalloped hammerhead DPS in the Caribbean.

And then need to research the genetics of sharks. You know the Caribbean sharpnose I think may occurring far beyond the Caribbean. See if they're the same stock. And then also a request to follow-up on more information on this protocol and see how that overlaps with NMFS and shark species that we have in common.

Final Amendment 10. So this should

publish today, the notice of availability. So that should be available to you.

Questions and concerns about historical data. When we're focusing on more recent information, we don't want to lose sight of the original rages or historic rages.

Questions about significant findings, the interplay within regulatory measures. And it's difficult to get permits for some development activities because habitats might be EFH in the future. So request to designate carefully.

Also a suggestion that we conduct targeted sampling off areas of Tarpon Springs, particularly for dusky sharks and that we could include those in the next EFH update.

And then concern about stormwater runoff preventing activities specifically to Plymouth and whether these are being folded into the EFH consultations.

For the pelagic longline closed area, research EFP. Comments the study design is thoughtful; provide important data but that we need to have really close monitoring and keep a tight leash.

We've committed to providing updates at AP meetings, at a minimum. And that, as we've been hearing, recreational sector has great concerns and that credibility is really important.

Using EM to verify dusky shark mortalities versus captain reports. That interpretation will be really important. So, we will be following up on that, certainly.

On the HMS tournament study, the expenditure and economic impact estimates will be available in the spring. We should have some updates for you then.

Clarification that the registration fees include the Calcutta fees. And the tournament study folks in all tournaments that target HMS, whether HMS are a primary target or not.

For MRIP and LPS priorities, we looked to have that final implementation plan the end of this fall and desire for a report on time line for LPS redesign, re-estimation, expansion. I think we can certainly turn back to that in the

spring, if we have more information by that time.

Preference expressed for solutions that minimize reporting burden, kind of the onestop reporting. And that states are doing a good job with the APAIS survey and adding an offshore stratum worked well with Louisiana creel study. Maybe we can get some input from Jason on that in the spring as well.

And then the addition of an offshore stratum to APAIS will be easier in some states than others, due to the geography.

That we should consider electronic reporting options that collect more targeted effort data but that electronic reporting needs to be made as easy as possible to minimize burden, improve compliance.

We should look to combine shark data collection efforts with outreach efforts to get better species ID and that states with distributed access sites should consider marine fuel sources for distribution of catch cards.

Continuing on recreational issues, tournament registration, there was a suggestion that automatic regulation compliance information be sent when they register.

And then on circle hooks in billfish tournaments, general opposition to the exemption for high-speed trolling for blue marlin because the requirement has some benefit for the overfished species and most of the fishery has adapted. General support for allowing J hooks with lures that have natural components completely encased.

And just on that one, I think this issue as well we were missing some of the voices that are interested in this issue. So I think we'll be circling back, no pun intended, on that as well.

For bluefin tuna management in review, look at school bluefin catch by mode; charter versus private and by state. And then look back to 2003-2005 to see what data showed. Yes, it is interesting to see patterns over the years. We'll certainly do that.

Acknowledgment this was a challenging year for managing fisheries and it will likely continue to be a challenge.

And then continued concern on General

category catch reporting and consider linkages to reporting to permit issuance, consider requiring vessel report before landing and providing a confirmation number. And then should show discard information as well as landings. I think we can follow-up on that.

Need concerted outreach effort by the Agency, although those complying should not pay the penalty for those who don't. Need sensible, I think, approach to provide more and more quota. The category was not sensible to provide more quota when there is low self-reporting.

And then a question about whether compliance rates could be shown for the angling category. A point would be whether we should show compliance rates for all categories. That would be something that we could do as well.

LPS estimates for 2017 may be showing one or more good year classes. That would be great. We'll need to push for increased U.S. quota, address the needs of various categories. And then questions about the purse seine fishery future and potential quota reallocation.

From the public comment yesterday, request the division and the AP consider the Cape Cod Commercial Fishermen's request. Like I said, we'll be turning to that in the next couple of weeks. And request to reform the online permitting system for private anglers getting permits. Request the shark ID video be extended to the commercial fishery and include the protected species handling and release workshop requirements so they can watch a video instead of having to travel.

For eDealer, people like see commercial landings updates of tunas and people are interested in seeing recreational updates and concerns. There would be duplication as a result of fishermen reporting in two different logbooks.

Question on compliance rates for dealer reporting and what kind of enforcement is available to ensure compliance. We talked about that.

How much effort has there been to have state systems be streamlined? Quite a bit. And any feedback on hammerhead sharks? So we'll look into that and report back on that as well.

And then questions on duplication.

So linked to this, some of these issues, the upcoming rulemaking on commercial shark closure thresholds and advanced notice. A lot of requests for specific analyses, which we will do, including buffers and the linkages between the science and management uncertainties.

Consider establishing different criteria by different groups, based on the information and the history that we have.

And then more information on how bycatch is accounted for in setting in the quotas. I think we can follow-up in the spring on that.

And then specific concern on hammerheads. And then issue with overharvest appears to be in the Gulf on hammerheads.

No support for immediate closure, although some support for status quo. But considering the overall comments, state concern that states would have different regulations that we need to account. Maryland specifically has at least 48 hours, whereas Louisiana has 24 to 48. So these are all things we'll look at.

And then for the threshold, some support for 90 percent in the Atlantic and not closing, if we project we won't reach 100 percent by the end of the year. Some support for no more than 80 percent, particularly where we've had problems. And a question about reopening if remaining quota is available.

For the swordfish fishery trends, interest in looking over a longer time period. And then questions about whether other gears experience a drop, whether that is related to swordfish availability.

And then in addition to swordfish, we have imports coming in of bigeye from Ecuador.

See what we can do to look at the age of the vessel crew, not just owners.

And then it's poor public perception of swordfish is an issue and marketing is something that could be done to help. On this point specifically, someone did mention it, but there is the call for SK grants proposals. And marketing is specifically called out in that as a priority area. And so I would encourage folks to take advantage of that opportunity to get some resources to put to some of these issues.

If you have questions on that or need that, I can get it to you.

And countries that export swordfish to the U.S. don't abide by the same conservation requirements. It's not just one issue that are keeping the swordfish landings down but many facets and flexibility in fishing would be helpful.

I think also on this we can follow-up with the Office of International Affairs that is running the marine mammal import rule and the linkages to seafood import and all of that. I think we'll try and get them back in the spring.

On area-based management, suggestions that any new closure should include provisions for data collection and support for the observer-based access option, particularly for Charleston Bump.

Support for moving forward towards more individual accountability measures and away from area closures. And we should show an analysis of options at the next meeting.

For weak hooks, statements again that it is redundant with IBQ and Gulf of Mexico GRAs and lack of support for needing weak hooks after July and a request for additional analyses and consideration at the next meeting.

For IBQ program update three-year review, we'll be looking at the whole fishery not just bluefin in the IBQ context. Concerns about liberalizing longline regulations, including IBQ accountability in closed areas.

General category interest in IBQ program for quota implications. Industry offered to help with information and the process in future regulatory actions that may follow the three-year review.

We did not try to capture what you literally just said but we will. And so we'll add some bullets here and send that out to you all so that you have that for your reference.

Some noteworthy dates on here, some photos. Look at that. I'm glad I'm not in any of them.

So a reminder, 2018 shark specs comment period closes September 21st and the ICCAT annual meeting is November.

And I've talked on this side with a

number of you about the new shortfin mako assessment. It's not yet final but I would encourage all of you to pay very close attention. Preliminary information is the assessment is quite grim and we'll need your attention moving forward as we end up negotiating at ICCAT and then implementing domestically. So a particular shout out on that one. I forgot to mention that earlier.

And then reminders. If you could please send your receipts by September 15th, return your table tent and name badges so we can reuse them.

And the cookies, yes, thank you Pat for keeping us well-fed as we powered through lunch.

Please fill out the surveys. We really do look at them and value your input.

And before I let you go, a huge thanks to all the HMS staff for pulling all of this off. I appreciate your appreciation. I appreciate them even more.

So thank you, everyone, HMS staff.
And safe travels, everyone. I think
most of the folks that were headed south have
already left. But for the rest of you, safe
travels and we'll be in touch. Thank you.

FACILITATOR BROOKS: And I just have to note, Rich left his card up and it just seemed so appropriate.

(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at 1:06 p.m.)

## **a.m** 1:22 4:2 40:16,17 **A7** 50:39,41 59:40 61:32 66:39.45 71:32 71:39 abbreviations 51:36 **abide** 108:4 **abiding** 100:10 abilities 59:45 ability 25:32 32:4 35:25 60:16,19,21,25 63:26 66:39 71:35 88:27 95:35 able 4:19 7:27 8:19 9:11 9:34 10:9,12,39 13:44 19:44 20:18,20 21:17 21:37,40 33:14 35:12 36:34 58:5 59:22 62:35 66:26 89:2,4 90:48 93:44 96:20 99:43 aboard 66:28 above-entitled 40:15 109:31 absolute 24:40 49:10 **ABTA** 7:1 **ABTA's** 71:26 abundance 88:10 97:20 97:20 **Academic** 1:34,38,45 access 60:25 61:22,24 62:39,41,45,48 63:39 63:40,44,48 64:25,27 67:3 81:41 105:20 108:17 accidentally 23:28 accomplish 15:2 69:28 accomplishing 84:32 account 29:27 34:40 35:7 52:24 74:36 107:21 accountability 61:33 64:39 79:46,47,48 80:46 102:30,35,46 108:20.32 accountable 67:21 81:2 81:15 accounted 29:20 107:11 accounting 29:40 30:13 34:28,29 39:40 81:12 100:2 102:42 103:3 accrue 74:38 **ACCSP** 21:26 accurate 8:1 11:38 achieve 67:36 achieved 6:32 58:42

acknowledges 86:42 Acknowledging 89:13
acknowledgment
103:22 105:45
Act 58:12 83:39 84:17
84:18 86:35 102:7
action 38:42 75:25
83:29,35 actions 23:7 55:16
83:43 85:8 108:36
active 19:45 22:6,18
44:33,35 47:38 64:26
actively 58:19
activities 104:10,18
activity 36:33 actual 16:25 23:9 56:47
57:8 73:2 92:8
adapt 65:7
adapted 105:31
add 36:43 38:19 47:11
48:5 53:48 66:32
86:11 108:40
added 49:36 addendum 89:26
adding 65:15,21 105:5
addition 14:28 18:30
19:4 69:5 99:15 105:9
107:36
additional 14:15 42:45
42:47 65:15 67:29
71:34 87:8 91:4,17 102:48 108:26
additionally 27:20
38:31
address 11:8 42:4 79:5
97:1,41 101:1 106:21
addressed 31:30
addressing 15:24 adequate 4:48 20:39
<b>Adjourn</b> 3:39
adjust 17:28
adjusted 46:30 73:28
86:6
adjustments 64:33,42
80:7 84:30
administration 1:2 29:9 administrative 63:46
65:11,21
administrator 86:1
<b>Adobe</b> 23:13
<b>ADRIANCE</b> 1:28 23:15
33:38
advanced 3:17 25:2 107:3
advantage 107:47
adverse 87:11
advice 6:3,5 79:29
Advisory 1.7 20 20

```
6:44
Affairs 108:10
affect 19:26 42:20,26
  42:28.32 50:18
afford 66:27
afternoon 7:43 36:1
age 51:6,11,21 54:19
  55:45 107:38
agency 9:19 19:11
  33:20 35:22 37:8
  41:12 53:4 58:16
  61:35,48 67:17 71:33
  81:34 92:23,27 95:47
  106:8
Agency's 52:3
agenda 4:13 5:10,25
  7:6 41:4
ages 51:8,18 54:17
aggregate 9:17
aggregated 29:19
aging 51:5 58:9
ago 35:22 96:29
agree 5:6 33:23,42
  34:17 36:24 57:20
  72:1 81:13 96:36
  101:10
agreed 71:11 86:4
agreeing 36:42
agreement 22:16 59:18
  72:14
ahead 9:1
aim 4:26
albacore 7:40 49:35
alerts 11:4
alive 55:1
allocated 30:1
allocating 86:34
allocation 91:26 98:13
allocations 76:24 83:5
  83:27
allotted 5:9
allow 13:4 27:23,41
  28:10 59:21 63:44
  67:26 72:9 76:24
  83:30 86:24 89:23
allowed 8:37 9:1 15:22
  81:18 88:32
allowing 66:15 78:7
  98:30 105:31
allows 9:22 14:41 15:19
allude 98:27
alternative 59:13 62:39
  66:14 67:35 87:43
  97:48
alternatives 87:5,7,8,42
amendment 3:31 14:20
  15:5 25:47 26:18,24
  26:30 61:20,29 62:40
```

65:3 66:14 68:31 73:35 74:12 75:8 77:41 78:1,3,12,17,25 78:34 84:5 86:41 87:3 87:10 103:48 amendments 78:30 Americans 58:25 amount 4:48 22:12,15 37:20 43:36 47:19 54:9 70:3 74:4,37 77:8 100:7 analogous 18:10 analyses 31:5 40:4 41:42 42:2 81:33,47 107:4 108:26 **analysis** 30:29,34,38,47 31:11 33:25,31,32,43 33:44 34:4,34 35:7 39:33 58:21 59:36 67:30 76:17,45 80:27 97:43 108:22 analyst 11:26 analyze 30:30,37 analyzed 62:40 77:27 analyzing 65:19 ancient 89:41 and/or 20:31 26:4 27:30 27:41 65:37 **Andre** 1:34 19:28 20:41 59:7 69:25,33 71:27 92:13 95:3.19 96:47 **Andrew** 1:47 91:48 101:8 anecdotal 68:34 **Angel** 2:19 33:6 36:41 38:24 anglers 87:40 103:23 106:29 angling 78:42 106:14 animal 16:27.29 103:22 animals 16:21 Anna 1:30 85:33 95:21 Anna's 96:7 announcement 6:20 40:20 annual 30:5 62:32 91:37 108:47 annually 83:6 answer 4:32 33:13 37:32 52:13 56:37 98:40 answers 56:41 57:9 anticipating 78:20 **anxious** 30:27 anybody 4:28 33:6 82:20,26,27 101:3,33 anybody's 6:12 anymore 36:6 96:26

**Advisory** 1:7,20,39

acknowledge 6:25

	1	1	1
101:17	area-based 3:23 60:29	25:38 26:8 27:8,10,20	103:36 104:48 105:37
anytime 21:2,5 85:42	61:38,41 81:39	27:27 32:3 38:23,33	105:41 106:47 108:13
86:11	108:14	38:45,48 39:17,31	backbone 94:6
anyway 59:28 70:14	areas 16:32 28:28	45:24,36,39,45 47:9	backed 86:41
72:23 73:5 81:25	38:44 39:12,24 51:35	48:20,30 49:16 50:23	background 8:48 41:36
96:12 98:24	60:20,21,39 61:13,18	57:34,35,40 68:12	42:8 52:10 61:3,7
<b>AP</b> 4:17 6:1,21,36 22:21	61:20,31,43,44,45	69:19 71:19 84:17	68:2 75:42 82:37,39
26:19,36 35:25 40:20	62:10,10,15,15,17,18	90:45 92:34 102:12	85:7 90:36
41:12 42:1 61:47	62:21,23,23,28,36,48	107:25	backtrack 77:10
68:37 69:1,23 75:14	63:3,9,25 64:2,7,8,13	Atlantic-caught 48:27	<b>backup</b> 51:34
104:27 106:25	64:16,33,34 65:28,29	ATMOSPHERIC 1:2	<b>bad</b> 16:6 37:23 54:30
<b>APAIS</b> 105:5,10	65:37 66:4,10,15,46	attack 55:31	57:24 70:48
apologize 85:6	66:48 67:4,11,25,31	attempt 85:42	badges 109:12
app 102:2	68:31 70:16 71:46	attention 50:38 109:3,5	bag 84:30 94:24,40
apparent 32:12	80:8,12,15 81:34	attribute 97:18	bait 18:38,43 59:37
appear 46:44	92:39 104:14 108:32	attributed 14:14 52:21	balance 31:44
appears 107:16	arguably 77:39	August 18:22 19:31	balancing 39:37
Appendix 83:36	<b>argue</b> 34:20 71:25 98:3 98:14	83:9 87:12 88:6 90:7 103:15	Bank 87:37
apples 73:39	98:14 arguing 57:43	AUGUSTINE 1:29 4:34	banks 40:42 70:28
<b>application</b> 10:47 12:48 13:3 20:12 62:33	arguing 57.43 argument 34:11 97:35	4:39,42 77:4,16	bar 43:43 44:3 66:24 bars 15:14
applied 31:21	arguments 91:39 96:42	authority 37:8	base 16:31 73:27
applies 9:8	arm 6:8	authorized 62:12	based 14:11 16:3,28,35
applies 3.0 apply 8:7 18:12 62:34	arrive 92:39 93:17	automated 10:45 11:3	16:35 17:29 23:47
applying 20:14 63:36	arriving 93:9	20:30	24:30 25:42 26:32
appreciate 5:29 6:15	arrows 50:20,30	automatic 13:23,27	39:25 41:15 42:36,37
34:2 37:36 58:48	articles 57:29	105:24	46:35,43 74:10 75:37
66:36 90:43 91:46	artistic 23:11	automatically 11:16	78:8 83:7,45 107:8
95:17 109:21,21	asked 11:35 26:36,39	availability 42:29 75:15	108:17
appreciated 6:4 40:25	26:41 40:4 70:37	84:14,31 85:2 97:3	baseline 53:23,25
91:8	77:46 92:27 93:45	104:1 107:35	<b>basic</b> 86:22
appreciation 109:21	95:24	available 18:25 25:32	basically 7:30 8:6,38
approach 33:24 64:4	asking 30:25,26 33:17	26:4 27:1 75:18 77:6	9:30 10:7 14:34 15:4
76:38 102:21 106:10	53:16 69:6 84:45	77:12 80:43 83:17,31	24:44 38:39 51:27
approaches 67:22	91:17 96:13,14 97:37	84:25,40 90:8,24 91:4	53:1 54:29,37 65:17
approaching 68:8	asleep 28:34	98:29 99:22 104:2,37	67:7 71:40 75:27
appropriate 10:37	aspect 99:29	106:42 107:30	80:40 86:8 89:33
13:36 31:16 63:48	aspects 42:18	Avenue 1:21	92:27 94:30
64:6 109:30	assess 35:30 97:45,47	average 12:8,9,16,17	basis 7:21 8:8 9:15,18
appropriately 28:22	assessed 35:31	12:28 46:38 66:26	9:19 10:14,29 12:17
approximately 93:36	assessment 29:30,35	74:44,45 75:2 99:24 avoid 37:26 59:17 71:1	13:25,45 15:47 17:3
<b>April</b> 61:28 64:19,29 84:42,42 91:5 93:23	29:46 30:4,37,44	avoid 37:26 59:17 71:1	17:16 24:42 26:11
93:33,36 94:39 95:4,9	35:13,16 46:16 79:25 80:18 89:7 96:38	avoiding 25:33 60:15	27:19 43:29 45:33 48:32 83:25 91:37
95:44 96:21,22	97:15 98:23 109:2,4	aware 18:28	bass 88:30
APs 52:15	assessments 35:23	awful 72:20	batch 9:40
Aquarium 55:20 59:11	36:34 97:8	4	<b>Bay</b> 55:20,34 59:11
area 6:4,13 16:26,27,34	assistance 58:29 81:35	В	BAYS 7:39 17:4,46
16:34,37,40 56:21	associated 12:41 14:11	<b>B</b> 44:2	18:17,35 26:10
59:41 61:1,2,21,21,27	Association 59:33	back 4:5 5:34 6:2 9:48	beach 6:8,10
62:46 63:31 64:10,21	87:37 102:15	10:6 11:35 16:46	beat 6:6
64:27,28 66:41,44,45	assume 4:45 5:12	17:13 29:3 31:15	Beaufort 92:16
67:13 72:15 74:2,20	31:20 76:45	33:26 38:4 40:10 41:3	beautiful 4:9
74:23 77:26,28 80:11	assumed 71:22	41:10 52:7 56:42	beautifully 22:26
84:33 93:25 96:18	assuming 19:17 29:45	67:41 69:22 79:33	<b>BECKWITH</b> 1:30 90:34
100:38 104:21 107:46	47:6 54:5 71:17	83:25 84:8,10 85:24	beeliner 39:18
108:21	assurance 7:47	86:23,27 89:31 92:24	beer 40:43
area- 41:14	<b>Atlantic</b> 14:19 16:43	96:26 97:13 100:20	began 25:37
	I	1	I
- <del></del>			

beginning 47:16 49:2 49:39 50:14 91:4 **begins** 90:29 **BEIDEMAN** 1:31 35:36 36:4,16 57:47 65:48 70:8 **believe** 19:31 32:30 39:17 55:47 70:8 72:7 89:27 93:37 94:24 believes 55:5 **BELLAVANCE** 1:32 **bells** 66:27 **belly** 16:25 **Ben** 4:28 benefit 67:9 105:29 benefited 91:35 benefits 91:37 101:29 **BENJAMIN** 1:35 Bennett 1:22,27 19:29 41:22,24 60:46 best 32:18 39:20 59:13 60:34 65:12 better 20:45 22:7 37:39 40:34,36 55:8 57:27 60:25 67:9 82:8,14 89:21 105:19 beyond 31:18 37:41 89:45 103:43 **biased** 99:39 **biq** 9:25 17:24 45:18 55:7 60:5 63:33 79:36 88:18 101:18 bigeye 7:40 49:35 54:2 87:44 107:37 bigger 17:20 57:5 60:40 biggest 32:19 52:4 **biggie** 87:22 **bight** 45:21,24,36,39,45 46:1 83:12 **Bill** 86:1 **billfish** 61:13 105:26 biological 36:47 biology 42:27 bisected 92:32,32 **bit** 4:9,18,21 6:39 7:43 8:6 10:22,32 12:3 14:42 15:24 20:21 23:18 25:5,35,36 29:10 32:31 33:12 36:26 40:9 41:29 44:6 44:6,9 45:1 47:34,40 64:14 68:2 83:41 85:46 97:1 100:2 101:11,29 106:45 **bite** 100:17,19 black 15:9 88:30 **blacknose** 26:18,34 32:14

blacktip 32:20,28,38 **BLANKINSHIP** 2:24 **blatant** 55:31 **bleed** 50:8 blindly 67:5 **blood** 6:48,48 blue 43:7,39 44:3 45:24 45:36 46:27 47:17 48:17,29 49:33 50:11 51:11 62:18 71:13 74:27 105:28 bluefin 3:25,28 7:41 18:34 26:28 28:41 57:22,32,32,40 58:22 58:22,23 61:10 64:17 64:23,36,44 66:47 67:1,12 68:4,5,17,24 68:31,32,42,47 69:3 70:43 71:18,36 72:13 72:46,48 73:25 74:9 74:14,24,37 76:42 77:1,22 79:36 82:32 83:19,36,39,44 84:20 84:31 85:28 86:21 87:6,42,45 88:2,23,28 92:39,42 93:20,25,35 93:37 94:12,13,18,21 95:7,13 98:22 99:19 99:21,26 102:12 105:39,40 108:30 **bluefins** 94:26 96:23 blunt 67:26 **BMSY** 79:26,27 **board** 38:47 44:26 76:5 boarding 103:12 boat 6:13 59:48 72:18 87:37,39,48 96:6 103:11 boats 56:4,22,28 90:19 95:39 99:3 100:18,29 **Bob** 19:28 22:22 33:5,7 33:36,39,42 56:11 Bob's 24:28 **bodies** 93:16 **body** 95:12 **BOGAN** 1:33 56:12,23 **bona** 92:14 **Book** 103:16 bookend 63:8 bookings 88:1 boring 90:29 bottom 43:32,35 44:1 45:5,12 47:48 48:38 49:35

bounce 47:31,34

80:13

**boundaries** 62:5 64:34

**BOUSTANY** 1:34 20:42

boxes 4:35 50:27 bracketed 94:31 **Brad** 2:36 18:7,21 98:40 **brainstorming** 61:6 62:20 **brakes** 89:19,43 break 5:13,18 40:8 45:32 54:48 55:18 57:21 **breaks** 48:28 **BREWSTER-GEISZ** 2:26 28:39,45 29:21 29:36 30:36 35:15 38:3 brief 7:33 25:16 73:21 75:19 **Briefing** 103:16 briefly 15:26 37:13 bringing 6:48 **brings** 59:20 brink 58:27 broad 34:24 47:8 67:24 broader 11:30 29:26 31:19 53:3 82:12 broadly 41:9 76:46 **broke** 51:36 broken 42:22 brokered 86:3 brokering 91:21 **Brooks** 1:22.27 4:3.31 4:37.41.43 5:6 6:17 7:5 17:38 19:27 20:41 22:20 23:12 24:21,46 28:26 29:16 30:6.18 30:45 31:48 33:1,36 33:47 35:34 36:37 37:32 38:24,35 39:28 40:7,48 51:39 52:17 53:29,43 54:13 55:10 55:35 56:11,25 57:11 57:17,46 59:3,27 60:8 60:26,43 65:40 66:35 67:15,38 69:20,33,45 70:7,35 71:7,30 72:5 72:25 73:14 76:33 77:3,42 79:10 80:29 80:44 81:26 82:24 85:14,21,30 87:19,33 89:10,46 90:4,30 91:47 92:12 93:42,48 95:14,16 96:3,45 97:22 98:36 100:12 101:8,20 109:28 brought 58:29 81:23 88:38 91:15 92:15 97:2

22:3 59:9 69:34,46

96:48

**buffer** 14:39.48 15:19 30:42 31:29,41 34:18 34:20 **buffers** 30:11 31:27,42 32:36 107:5 build 31:27 **building** 34:18,34 built 21:10 31:41 32:36 36:25 38:21 39:3 **bulk** 39:31 **bullets** 42:23,24 108:40 **Bump** 61:12 62:8 66:45 67:13 108:18 bumping 95:34 bunch 4:11 45:46 burden 8:19 19:7 63:47 65:11,21 79:23 105:3 105:16 burdensome 66:31 bureaucratic 90:26 burn 94:20 **burning** 94:48 **business** 80:23 **buy** 19:19 27:14 57:32 81:6 buying 24:6 **buys** 24:10 bycatch 34:29,41 35:6 35:8 39:3 58:43 62:9 63:1,17,21 64:3,4 67:23 68:48 107:11 **Bye** 102:18 C

C 44:13 cage 20:21 calculates 14:22 calculations 28:40 74:6 **Calcutta** 104:40 calendar 83:20,25 89:28 90:2 call 8:41 20:19 22:25 24:17 40:42 81:42 82:5 99:23 107:44 called 51:45 70:23 107:45 calls 20:27,35,36 35:44 36:1 campaign 55:1,18 Canada 88:19 **candle** 58:44 **Canyon** 61:12 cap 80:48 capable 53:24,25 54:21 capacity 53:7,9,12 Cape 61:21,21,31 64:21 92:30,33,34,36,42 93:8 102:14 106:25

catching 32:18 53:25 83:14 84:16.21.21 clears 37:10 caps 63:1,2,5 64:3,6 55:28 63:27 94:20 85:44 86:9 91:12 climate 72:22 76:25 98:28 **captain** 104:32 96:28 99:7 climb 72:17 81:20 categories 42:22 changing 26:23 37:48 **captain's** 102:27 close 8:12 14:22,24 captains 54:19,21 106:16,21 38:20 49:26 79:46 15:28,39 16:13 17:35 55:45 87:39 92:7 category 3:28 42:35 80:13 22:30 25:27 27:21,30 characterization 41:28 capture 101:44 108:38 44:31 46:18 47:43 28:33,36 29:37 30:41 49:22 51:10 78:41,41 **Charleston** 61:12 62:8 car 89:44 30:41 32:35 35:40 card 38:36 53:30 85:33 78:42 82:33,45 85:28 66:45 67:13 108:17 37:4.9.28 39:20 48:16 97:24 109:29 87:41 89:23,35 91:7 chart 35:21 46:24 83:28 53:22 58:39 61:27 charter 6:13 87:37,39 cards 85:25 105:21 91:34 98:42 99:14 81:1 100:32 104:24 87:47 96:6 99:21 care 40:45 58:16 100:1 102:23,43 109:3 106:1,11,15 108:33 105:40 closed 15:13 24:34 career 6:2 Charter/Headboat carefully 78:40 80:10 cats 5:42 25:43 26:12 36:12 80:21 81:46 82:2 caught 15:20 32:21 99:16 102:20,21,28 39:22 56:21 61:1,23 43:21 44:35 47:8,9 **charts** 39:23 56:35 91:24 104:12 61:23,44 62:10,15,17 **Chatham** 100:35 Caribbean 8:30 10:19 54:46 60:19 63:18 62:21,23,28,36 63:9 68:25,25 77:24 90:10 cheaper 48:27,39 103:35,38,40,42,43 63:31 64:18,28 65:28 Carolina 21:33 23:48 90:37,46 95:12 96:34 **cheat** 85:35 66:4,10,15 67:25,30 24:2 59:33 86:3 88:44 cause 19:44 53:39 check 5:19 11:16,47 67:31 70:15 80:8,11 13:27 16:26 20:10,29 89:3 90:13,20 92:16 caused 59:31 70:26 80:12,15 90:27 92:32 95:41 99:20 caution 34:6,11 39:36 23:30 40:10 104:21 108:32 101:1 cautions 81:48 checking 12:4 closely 21:26 49:10 Caroline 6:2 caveat 29:6 **checks** 9:24 10:45,46 76:10 Carolinian 89:13 caveats 101:38 11:18,22,41 13:11,23 closer 15:48 16:15 CARR 1:35 4:29 cells 73:31 **chew** 65:45 90:11 39:34 43:42 71:20 **closes** 108:46 carry 7:4 18:29 90:11 **Center** 35:20 child 57:24 **chip** 57:42 closing 15:17,48 16:5 102:39 certain 27:34 39:12 54:8 64:5,7 **choice** 59:13 carryover 32:31 102:37 34:30 66:5 107:26 case 18:44 19:25 21:15 certainly 36:32 56:34 choose 10:4 **closure** 3:17 14:28 23:3.4.21 59:17.46 58:14 59:42 70:16.40 **chose** 53:21 75:8 15:15.37 16:12 25:2 **Chris** 5:34 54:29 66:43 71:26 76:41 72:12 80:33,42 91:8 25:13,22,24,45 26:24 Christopher 2:14 86:27 91:35,43 104:34,48 26:27,40,45 27:4,5,7 **chronically** 12:38 20:3 cases 10:25,33,35 105:44 27:38 28:1,2,6,6,8,10 **circle** 68:16 70:23 15:20 19:21 23:31,46 certifiers 55:33 29:4 37:38 38:29,48 cetera 37:6,7,7 50:40 103:36 105:26 67:37 61:10 77:26 81:44 circling 105:37 cast 87:14 75:48 84:15,36 84:38 87:12 88:5,6 citation 95:45 catch 16:26,27,32,33 chain 11:10 89:17,18,18 107:3,17 **CITES** 83:35,42 16:34,39 18:37 22:35 **chair** 6:11 108:15 26:32 29:41.47 30:28 **challenge** 86:32 105:47 claiming 91:22 closures 26:5.14 27:25 clamming 40:43 32:29 38:13 39:5 challengeable 87:25 34:41 61:8 62:5 88:1 88:29 92:6 108:21 43:31,34,40,41 45:45 Challenges 37:16 clarification 23:16 52:25 53:34 56:24 challenging 105:45 104:39 coast 19:46 32:7 45:17 58:21,24 61:17 62:9 **chance** 14:31 Clarification/discuss... 45:43 61:12 62:7,13 62:27 67:27 68:35,47 **change** 7:21 11:35 103:14 72:16 80:11 99:5 103:10,30,33 68:47 69:48 70:21 14:20 16:48 17:8,10 clarify 16:47 82:41 22:5,16 26:34,39 28:7 coastal 29:19 32:16,17 72:10,37,46 73:9,25 89:47 73:46,47 74:3,12 75:6 54:5 75:11 79:43 clarifying 28:30 53:34 70:30 83:21 85:17 86:18 clarity 39:39 75:30,44 76:5,9 79:37 coastals 32:44 **class** 68:7 79:37 84:33 94:36,46 89:8 96:23 coastline 92:32 94:47 96:21,30,31,32 changed 11:36 26:3 **COCKRELL** 2:28 60:45 **classes** 106:19 53:12 98:42 99:10 100:36 **clean** 99:43 67:46 72:33 100:37 105:21,40 **changes** 9:25 11:32 cleaned 23:45 cod 88:1,29 102:15 106:1 15:25 16:47 17:17 clear 21:16 23:25 34:42 106:26 25:20 27:48 28:12 codes 45:6 catches 58:9 61:17 72:29 93:19 96:16 43:24 64:35,48 65:2,5 coincide 90:12 62:27 68:4,17,20,21 clearing 36:19 73:43 74:1 83:8 97:14 65:8,31,35,36 67:19 **clearly** 28:35 38:43 Coincidentally 94:25 collaboration 62:25 97:18,19 75:48 76:23,23 77:32 39:6,13,23 91:27

colleagues 59:10 collect 7:37 66:17,28 105:13 collected 62:37 66:41 collection 9:26 21:30 63:24 65:37 69:13 105:18 108:16 column 47:27,32,38 51:11,13 73:42,46 **columns** 51:24 combination 30:24 34:12 **combine** 105:17 combined 28:13 41:45 64:31 come 14:43,44 24:2 33:32 35:23 36:12 43:8 45:38 56:46 60:14 70:15 78:32 80:34 86:12 91:39 93:16 95:40 96:25 98:37 comes 4:16 10:46 17:15 45:38 53:46 57:33,34,36 84:25,41 comfort 36:26 coming 4:5 10:30 47:42 48:45 49:12 54:2,21 58:32 67:41 88:18 93:35 107:37 commended 100:48 **comment** 3:34 17:42 18:5 26:33 30:21,33 30:46 31:17,19,33 36:21 40:2 52:43 53:47 54:4 55:47 65:33 71:11,16,29,43 72:6,26 73:6 76:40 77:19 79:16,20 80:36 82:29 83:33.45 101:33 106:24 108:46 comment/question 20:43 commenting 39:1 comments 5:26 25:17 26:17,22 37:37 39:26 39:32 40:5 65:39 67:20 69:21 71:12 79:13,14 81:45 82:20 82:20,23 91:20 98:48 102:45 104:22 107:19 **commercial** 1:31,42,44 1:47 2:7,9,11,13,15 3:16 5:45 11:40 25:1 25:30 27:21,46 29:22 29:23,25 30:2 40:38 94:9,34,35,44 98:44 99:35 102:15 106:26

106:31,36 107:2 commercials 17:46 commission 21:25 38:34 **commit** 61:29 commitment 78:3 commitments 78:17 committed 104:26 committee 1:39 6:45 71:27 85:48 common 97:17 101:25 103:47 communication 60:15 60:18 **communities** 86:43,45 87:4,11 97:42,44 98:2 community 34:25,37 84:15 comparability 58:12,33 comparable 58:37,39 **compare** 13:45 48:19 compared 5:37 53:14 94:21 99:6 compares 42:48 73:27 comparison 87:6 competent 7:1 competing 58:44 compilation 75:40 compiled 77:15 compiles 41:42 compiling 52:44 complain 98:10 complaints 71:33 complementary 38:26 38:29 complete 13:5 31:6 **completed** 46:17 75:12 completely 58:42 105:33 completeness 11:19 **completion** 76:19 77:9 complexes 16:20 compliance 8:2,4 11:41 13:11 19:35,37 20:29 62:4 101:11,15,28 105:16,24 106:14,16 106:40,42 compliant 19:48 20:1 **complicated** 9:46 33:24 33:31 complying 106:8 component 37:47 components 105:32 comprehensive 53:46 computer 6:6 9:31,36 50:26 con 63:19,28,37,41,46

64:5,11,41 65:6,20

concentrated 74:22 77:28 concentration 64:22 concept 36:44 81:41 89:27 **concern** 19:6 35:2 49:25 77:5 79:47 80:45,47 102:25 104:17 105:48 107:14 107:19 concerned 30:27 34:32 60:24 80:25 concerns 33:11 34:24 34:25 79:5 90:41,42 91:32 102:10,34 104:3,29 106:38 108:30 concerted 106:7 conclude 37:13 conclusions 76:18 concur 95:21 96:6 concurrence 77:37 condition 6:35 55:13 conditional 62:44 63:44 63:48 64:25 conditions 63:26 **conduct** 104:13 conducted 71:39 conducting 75:30 confirmation 59:1 106:4 conflict 87:24 confusion 34:43 57:25 conjunction 71:44 connect 9:39 connection 54:4 connectivity 102:5 cons 102:38 consecutive 93:21 consensus 24:26 consequences 12:35 23:4 94:44 conservation 34:25 67:36 102:34 108:4 conserving 67:33 consider 27:47 28:12 28:23 31:46,47 34:46 38:19,40 56:45 65:27 69:7,9,16 70:40 102:22 103:21,26 105:12,20 106:1,2,25 107:7 consideration 4:46 12:33 13:40 24:16 30:3,9 69:2 84:18 87:47 91:30,42 100:23 108:27 considerations 78:29

83:46 84:13 considered 21:30 35:3 69:17 78:46 83:36 91:28,29 considering 13:18 25:15,18,20 26:26,46 28:19 65:15 94:40 107:19 consistent 26:28 65:18 71:45 77:13 79:38 84:16,28 86:34 87:23 consistently 33:27 97:35 consolidate 10:8,22 Consolidated 25:48 consolidating 21:14 constant 93:31 95:8 constantly 11:27 12:4 21:44 constraining 32:22 consultations 104:20 consumer 47:2,3,6 55:3 55:5,25,40 consumer's 47:3 consumers 55:4 59:22 consumption 47:13.18 47:23,41 55:39 contact 85:11 **CONTENTS** 3:9 context 31:31,37 44:18 53:3.16 82:40 89:41 92:22 94:2 98:48 108:30 continually 67:5 continue 20:37 39:15 62:24 82:48 84:27,43 91:23 105:47 continued 27:37 43:48 44:10 102:25 105:48 **continues** 47:36 51:22 71:18 Continuing 105:22 contradicted 97:32 contrast 32:15 74:31 contrasted 43:19 contribute 59:43 80:43 **contribution** 48:46 54:6 contributor 60:5 control 7:47 9:24 69:29 69:30 controlled 63:40 controlling 70:39,45 72:4 controls 67:22,25 82:2 controversial 64:12 convene 40:8 Convention 84:17 conversation 25:6

30:22 39:46 67:18,33 81:29 90:11 102:7 conversations 4:21 convert 13:35 cooked 40:14 cookies 4:30,35 5:22 109:14 **cooling** 93:30 cooperate 21:37 22:2 coordinate 12:36 21:11 coordination 63:29 copies 14:4 **copy** 10:27 correct 16:18,19 17:28 72:33,43 77:1 **correction** 17:14,15 correctly 24:28 correlation 51:1 correlations 51:46 corresponding 13:48 14:7 **Cortes** 35:19 cost 46:38 59:36 76:25 80:22 103:1 costs 46:37 47:29 63:28.37.38.42 council 1:30,43 2:10,16 19:43 90:41,45 103:15 Council- 50:4 counter 54:39 counting 29:32 **countries** 47:47 58:44 108:3 country 47:11 53:15 55:32 **country's** 48:46 **couple** 5:26 7:3 17:40 29:9 35:37 39:29,43 39:44 41:5 48:40 50:28,36 58:21 59:4 61:4 74:17 78:21 81:34,39,45 82:11 86:40 87:18 91:10 97:2 106:27 course 32:6,20 76:18 90:44 91:3 93:11 courtesy 20:19 **cover** 41:6 coverage 59:47 62:35 66:17,40 67:4 covered 4:15 77:5 **CPUE** 46:9 Craig 2:28 41:16 60:44 65:41 Craig's 67:43 **crank** 58:5 create 8:40,43 9:31

21:19 95:30 created 8:23,25,34 23:9 23:13,34,36 32:27 61:8,9,11 creating 85:35 90:35 94:43 credibility 104:29 credit 55:24 creel 105:6 crew 54:22,23 55:45 107:39 criteria 27:29,34 33:33 61:22,25 62:46,47 63:46 65:22 84:29 86:33,37 87:26,28 107:8 critical 6:46 88:27 critically 66:7 cross-hatches 89:16 crosscutting 39:42 **curious** 53:12 56:38 72:41 99:8 current 5:7 26:20 62:21 62:23 64:14,32 65:28 72:21 84:3 currently 29:7 63:9 67:34 90:47 91:13 curtailed 59:44 **cushion** 14:42,48 cut 5:27 16:22 59:15 cutbacks 88:30 **cuts** 59:34 Cypress 1:21

D

daily 10:14 15:45

damage 57:20 dangerous 80:4 86:14 darkened 15:14 database 7:29 9:43 10:11,21,24 11:25,32 15:30 date 23:24 25:23,43 84:32,38 90:46 dates 50:17 108:42 daughter 6:2 daunting 81:5,20 David 2:14 17:40 33:6 36:41 53:44 77:45 92:13 David's 37:37 day 4:6 15:46 18:23 28:42,44,47 29:4,13 29:13 35:47 36:10 55:2,6 57:30 88:40 91:46 day-notice 38:4

days 6:9 12:28,29 14:29

days' 14:33 15:38 dead 39:40 73:26,40 74:5,6 94:25 deadline 4:47 11:43 deal 8:26 32:23 57:28 86:3 91:21 dealer 3:13 7:8,12,15 7:17,23 8:1,8,16,31 8:46 9:6,11,31,44 10:17,30 11:6,8,19,23 11:35 12:10,28,45,47 13:48 14:2,3,8,44 15:10,11 16:22,30,35 16:46,48 17:14,18,21 17:31 18:9 19:14,15 19:18,19 20:8,11,30 21:1,31 22:44 23:5,21 23:27,33 24:3,5,8 25:35 27:11,13 37:6 37:10 39:8 42:36,37 45:29 106:41 dealers 7:16,16,27 8:19 8:25,37 9:16,35,48 10:1,34 11:40 12:1,17 12:19,20,24,38,38 13:31 16:33 19:48 20:5,18,24,28 21:16 21:40 22:14.33 23:1 25:39 26:2,9 27:11,12 27:15,18 38:46 dealing 59:48 80:6 **dealt** 53:6 death 59:34 debt 74:24,30,32,36,38 81:21 103:5 **decades** 6:24 66:10 decals 99:44 **December** 27:39 64:28 83:11,16,21,26 84:7 84:10 91:1 92:45 96:24 decent 37:20 **decide** 28:36 34:9 decided 28:32 decision 101:21 decisions 70:48 decline 42:40 52:20,26 52:37 59:31 68:21 declining 98:4 decrease 27:5 44:38 45:46 46:23 47:15,19 47:30,33 50:36,41 51:19 75:2 79:29,36

decreased 48:14 61:34

25:45 28:9.48 29:9

95:36

37:21,22,41 38:6,6,11

38:17 39:18 95:29,33

**decreases** 50:46 51:15 decreasing 49:18,39 51:17,25 97:14 dedicated 11:25 dedication 6:25 deem 13:3 **deep** 81:5 **Deepwater** 45:16 50:21 50:32 76:12 102:13 **defend** 24:1 59:10 defer 77:44 defined 44:35 definitely 25:5 29:40 55:43 56:39 59:18 63:3 69:42 70:33 82:4 degrees 89:15 delaying 83:34 deliberately 55:30 71:46 Delisse 23:9 demand 47:4 59:39 demographics 51:4 demonstrated 66:39 depart 93:25 departed 92:48 depend 99:1,4 dependence 97:45 98:31,33,33,43 99:30 dependencies 86:25 **dependency** 86:26,28 100:15 dependent 88:29 98:45 99:34 depending 4:20 12:42 65:4 depends 9:43 depredation 42:32 derby 37:23 describes 82:44 describing 31:26 description 57:40 70:18 76:3 design 8:27 104:22 designate 104:11 desire 104:46 **DeSoto** 61:12 **Destin** 6:8,13 destroyed 55:29 detailed 36:45 68:46 details 86:29 102:32 determination 84:29 86:36 99:34 determine 17:34 36:48 63:47 71:15 determines 14:13 detrimental 88:26 **develop** 86:25,25 developed 7:29 75:35

75:37 86:28.47 developing 69:18 80:35 development 104:10 develops 31:34 **Dewey** 1:43 38:35 39:28 100:12 101:10 difference 57:38 59:22 73:32,47 74:3,4,33 differences 73:41,43,44 102:25 different 7:36 10:28 11:15 13:31 14:21 15:7,44 18:30 21:27 23:20 28:46 30:10,10 31:15 32:27 33:45 34:19 36:21 38:44 41:43 42:20,47 47:11 49:28,30 50:27 52:30 59:39 63:5 70:2 74:25 74:34 88:24,31 92:40 93:16,17,18 97:5,45 98:26 103:29,30 106:39 107:7,8,20 differentiate 103:9 differentiates 94:10 difficult 13:42 17:27 54:22,23 64:6 99:27 99:33 102:48 104:9 diffuse 77:28 digging 11:20,27 dip 17:25 direct 18:31 47:5 51:1 86:40 87:24 directed 14:14 29:25 32:25,26 38:5,7,10,12 38:40 directly 5:14 10:20 87:2 disagree 55:37 86:32 disappearing 100:43 **disappears** 87:45,45 disaster 70:48 79:3,6 81:19 discard 29:47 39:40 74:6 106:5 discarded 74:9 discarding 23:42 discards 29:18,21,27 29:32 73:27,40 74:5 disciples 89:34 discontinue 66:19 discrepancies 16:45 discretionary 86:37 87:26,28 **discuss** 52:14 discussed 25:12 41:48 42:30 69:11 discussion 25:19 28:18 41:37 42:9,45 51:33

52:11 53:11 58:14 60:41 65:26 67:29,42 69:1 76:31 79:19 80:27 82:40 85:13,29 101:45 **discussions** 5:36 90:37 96:10.43 disposition 24:17 **Distant** 74:2,20 distinct 74:42 distinction 57:23 distributed 79:43 105:20 distribution 78:47 97:19,20 105:21 distributions 75:47 ditch 13:8,12 ditto 36:39 dive 41:3 42:34 diversity 5:37 divided 46:11 division 2:25,27,29,31 2:33,35,37,39,41,43 2:45 3:36 18:32 58:34 61:6 62:20 106:25 **DMR** 86:3 dock 100:20 docks 16:30 document 65:33 66:29 75:22,37,42 76:2,17 78:5,38,39 82:37 90:36 documented 70:27

documents 75:21 doing 5:3 8:14 10:43 11:21 13:23 15:33 16:2 17:15 18:10 22:6 24:41,42 34:48 35:18 37:47 39:10 55:24 58:36,38 59:15 60:48 66:44 67:7 68:46 72:15 80:22 89:42 92:4 94:43 96:27 100:6,7 103:25 105:4 dollar 46:20,20 dollars 48:18 dolphin 49:34,48 50:1 dolphinfish 18:45,48 19:5 domestic 46:18 47:40 47:46 48:4 55:32,39 98:12 102:11

domestically 109:7

dominant 49:8

dot 61:41 79:21

double 16:26

86:48

documenting 11:31

double-check 101:32 double-edged 97:16 doubled 32:41 doubt 52:12 100:15 download 9:35 downward 42:15 **DPS** 103:40 draft 75:21,31,36 dramatically 54:32 draws 57:29 dressed 13:37 16:23 43:6 48:13 drink 40:43 drive 65:17 driven 75:15 drivers 41:11 drones 103:34 drop 6:14 43:13,15 44:47 45:23,25,40 47:36 50:13,15 52:34 57:4 107:34 drop-off 42:17 **dropped** 44:8 46:5 49:41,44 drops 45:20 **DRYMON** 1:36 **DUBECK** 2:30 22:43 23:6 due 17:11 22:33,34 74:4,19,22 97:18 105:11 duplicate 13:24,25,26 13:33 17:26.26 19:10 19:20 23:40 **duplicates** 21:5 24:19 duplicating 21:2 **duplication** 19:8 23:17 23:20,26 24:20 106:38,48 duration 37:41 **Durkee** 2:32 41:22.23 52:4,28 53:18 55:36

E EA 40:3 ear 4:23 60:27 earlier 4:22 37:44 53:17 59:28 79:42 94:40 95:22 109:9 early 5:27,30 34:3 38:5 53:5 55:41 77:6 81:6 81:19 88:6,17 92:6 93:26 100:25 102:43 103:2

56:39 57:14

dusky 104:15,31

dynamic 74:39

**dwarf** 48:4

ease 8:18 easier 8:46 9:11 32:23 105:10 easily 32:41 east 32:7,22 45:17,43 61:11 62:7,13 77:26 80:11 easy 70:11 105:15 eat 4:33 57:32 echo 92:2 economic 64:43 76:46 87:11 92:4 94:43 97:48 99:2 100:26 101:18 104:36 economics 46:18 54:38 82:12 Ecuador 49:9,12 53:48 54:2 107:37 Ecuadorian 49:7,18,20 56:35,40 57:9 **eDealer** 9:42 10:10,19 26:11,40 106:35 effect 28:7,9 39:48 53:39 70:42 effective 14:29 25:23 25:45 26:6.14 28:2 effects 54:36 69:41 84:32,33 102:13 efficiency 61:30 efficient 22:13 efficiently 67:37 effort 13:8,12 20:44 21:2,3,10 22:12 44:31 44:40 46:45 49:42,46 50:18,48 51:36 52:33 52:44,47 55:9,25 56:36 61:34 69:42 74:10 85:2 105:14 106:7.44 **efforts** 19:23 21:13 29:27 105:18,18 **EFH** 104:11,16,20 **EFP** 62:6 80:9 102:15 103:20 104:22 EFPs 62:24 80:21 egregious 12:43 eight 12:15 Eight-five 58:19 either 14:14 26:27 30:7 35:48 47:8,9 48:5 59:13 63:2 64:43 65:28 72:43 84:43 88:8,13 91:38 99:40 **electronic** 3:13 7:7,12

7:15,23 8:15,17,24

9:15 10:40 15:10,11

17:31 19:30 65:16

76:11 105:12,14

electronically 7:20 19:39 21:22 27:18 element 54:40 elements 60:15 75:38 eliminating 70:25 eloquent 96:7 **EM** 104:31 email 20:30,34 57:30 **emails** 11:3 emerging 52:1 **emphasis** 44:11,12 **emphasize** 7:39 8:15 **enables** 18:37 encased 105:33 encourage 107:46 109:3 encouraged 58:33 Endangered 83:39 endpoint 90:1 **ends** 13:32 enforcement 12:37,40 20:4,38 70:11,12 103:8,21 106:41 engagement 97:46 England 74:23 86:29,45 87:6.13 89:30.32 90:15 92:21 94:11 98:4 99:20 100:16 enjoyed 40:33,44 enlighten 58:34 **Enric** 35:19 ensure 13:11 29:28 106:42 enter 10:36 68:9 entering 51:30 entire 33:30 48:2,26,30 52:38 53:25 63:8,41 64:9 71:18 entity 24:5 entry 17:12 24:26 environmental 1:35,37 1:48 2:18 30:37,43 environmentalists 5:45 40:38 erring 34:6 errors 17:12 **ESA** 83:42 **especially** 49:17 68:36 69:47.48 Essential 3:31 essentially 16:22 23:40 24:11 59:32,35 62:12 74:26 75:31 establish 27:28 33:28 62:45 established 25:38 61:19

107:7 estimate 15:33 46:9,13 46:14 47:13 **estimates** 18:19,22 104:36 106:18 et 37:6,7,7 50:40 75:48 84:15,35 etcetera 11:24,36 evaluate 27:29 62:27 75:32 76:5 evaluating 65:22 evaluation 68:47 75:12 event 93:32 events 50:20 55:15 75:46 97:31 **everybody** 4:4 5:48 11:9 88:43 95:22 96:40 98:35 99:6 evolution 82:44 ex-47:27 **ex-vessel** 46:24,35 48:22 exact 5:9 exactly 35:40 91:5 **example** 18:33 24:1 38:15 43:3 55:16 exceed 14:37 89:20 exceeded 29:30 exceeding 27:39 excellent 53:45 89:43 90:37 exceptions 48:40 **excess** 53:6 **excuse** 22:23 76:6 Executive 71:26 exemplification 95:10 **Exempt** 63:22 exemption 105:27 exercise 61:42 79:21 exist 98:8 existed 7:22 8:16 9:4 existing 8:21,35,39 18:40 21:17 25:26 expanded 32:26 expansion 104:47 expect 17:9 18:2 73:8 expected 68:8 expecting 17:24 35:46 **expects** 94:47 Expediency 70:32 expended 22:12 expenditure 104:36 expensive 48:43 66:25 80:21 experience 107:34 experienced 100:40 **experiment** 72:47 73:2

experimental 72:29 exploring 67:17 **export** 108:3 **exports** 47:17,20 **expressed** 5:40 105:2 extend 83:16,21 84:38 extended 83:33 91:45 106:30 extending 93:6 94:30 extension 78:6 90:45 95:24 96:14 extent 15:22 21:36 22:2 24:45 25:33 36:39 42:43 44:28 45:40 71:28 98:25 extra 14:10 86:8 extrapolated 74:10 extreme 45:25 57:28 eyes 50:8 95:2

face 97:34 facets 42:20 108:7 facilitate 41:36 42:8 94:42 Facilitator 1:23,27 4:3 4:31,37,41,43 5:6 6:17 7:5 17:38 19:27 20:41 22:20 23:12 24:21,46 28:26 29:16 30:6.18.45 31:48 33:1 33:36,47 35:34 36:37 37:32 38:24,35 39:28 40:7,48 51:39 52:17 53:29,43 54:13 55:10 55:35 56:11,25 57:11 57:17,46 59:3,27 60:8 60:26,43 65:40 66:35 67:15,38 69:20,33,45 70:7,35 71:7,30 72:5 72:25 73:14 76:33 77:3,42 79:10 80:29 80:44 81:26 82:24 85:14,21,30 87:19,33 89:10,46 90:4,30 91:47 92:12 93:42,48 95:14,16 96:3,45 97:22 98:36 100:12 101:8,20 109:28 fact 10:31 75:25 88:14 89:18 95:9,11 factor 30:9 56:34 factors 37:29 69:6 fair 72:19 fairly 38:22 40:1 57:12 81:40 93:18 **fall** 104:46 falls 82:1

familiar 75:14 fantastic 5:43 far 11:14 19:36 20:17 32:17 33:38 54:38 60:24 63:32,47 65:36 68:23 75:29 80:35 93:41 96:13 98:19 103:4,43 fashion 8:4 10:17 11:40 13:10 fast 60:9 85:37 86:18 95:6 fault 37:24 55:23 favor 34:5,6 38:42,48 66:43 features 75:46 **February** 93:4 96:25 fed 21:19 federal 7:16 8:32,45 9:34 14:7,30 18:36 19:38 21:21,30,31 23:35,36,44 25:46 26:7,8,15 27:11,13,17 27:22,24,38 28:1,11 28:33,37,42,45 90:26 federally 25:39 26:1 27:14 feed 58:25 feedback 25:6 28:29 31:8 52:2 63:11 69:21 69:23 83:40 84:12 106:46 feel 81:17 90:41 feels 30:26,33 fees 104:40,40 **fellow** 5:48 fence 99:41 fewer 25:27 51:29 fides 92:14 field 58:18 101:29 fifth 27:28 fight 98:14 **fighting** 100:29 figure 4:13 35:17 52:12 53:40 59:30 65:12 80:6 figures 76:6,7 file 23:34,35,42,44 28:47,48 35:44,45,47 37:6 files 29:4,13 **fileting** 103:20 filing 14:29 15:38 25:46 26:7,15 27:48 28:5,8 28:10 35:40 fill 9:37 10:2 26:32 109:17 filled 22:31

94:29 95:1

establishing 62:30

	1	1	1
final 3:31 14:45 39:47	99:35	103:22	four 19:35 21:2 32:25
47:38 75:22,26 79:42	fishermen 14:31 18:29	fluctuates 12:3	45:11 88:42
83:35,44 98:9 103:48	18:35,35 26:26 32:45	fluctuations 46:26	fourth 27:6
104:45 109:2	33:40 36:30 38:13,15	<b>FMP</b> 25:48 78:30 83:24	frame 10:28 91:36
finale 98:23	38:28 40:37 42:29	84:32	framework 31:21 75:25
finalized 25:48 84:6	46:48 47:1 49:25,46	focus 6:47 12:20 14:18	frameworkable 77:33
finally 17:19 41:17 42:7	68:34 81:12 94:13,27	45:10 49:26 67:22	77:40
46:8 51:4	98:15 99:19,40	73:30 76:2 80:25	frequency 31:13,35
financial 98:31	106:39	81:42	frequent 36:34
find 13:1,47 16:45	Fishermen's 102:15	focused 33:4 44:17	frequently 103:19
17:29,45 20:6,10	106:26	53:26	friend 72:14
37:11 41:39 49:29	fishers 39:5	focusing 7:45 104:4	fuel 46:37,38,44 59:37
50:48 54:22 70:4 81:5	fishery-focused 41:5	fold 60:37	60:35 94:20,48 99:3
101:2 102:48 103:18	fishes 94:12	folded 104:19	105:21
finding 11:28	fishing 6:9 11:24 16:38	folks 4:6,11,25 7:11	fulfilling 6:45
findings 104:7	16:44 17:36 18:20	8:29 10:18 20:25 29:8	full 5:15 19:31 26:38
fine 31:10	27:22,46 31:40 38:13	32:40 36:38 40:19	35:18 75:11 85:28
finish 14:31	40:44 42:21 53:9	63:15,20,36 65:44	101:42
fins 16:24	57:24 63:22 72:21	70:37 81:27 95:43	full-blown 78:1
first 5:28 7:6,34 10:43	74:37 81:7 82:47	99:30,35 101:22,30	full-time 99:35 101:17
10:46 11:16 22:23	83:22 84:31 86:43	102:9 103:37 104:41	fullest 25:33
26:47 28:4 33:10	87:4 88:16,22 93:13	107:46 109:25	<b>fully</b> 31:44 75:17 86:20 91:18
37:45 42:35 43:3	94:9,13,17 95:36 96:1	<b>follow</b> 11:5 14:2,8 20:25 22:4 23:2 30:21	0
45:18 50:20 51:10,11	97:42,44 98:2,45 99:6		functionally 35:41
52:6,43 67:16 68:9,46	99:7 102:47 108:7	30:32 100:5 108:36	fundamental 53:13
74:35 75:9,42 78:11	FishWatch 57:37,39	follow-on 85:24	further 32:8 80:35
82:46 83:6,32 84:2,26 84:41 85:42,43 89:24	fits 33:30 39:35 five 14:29,33 15:38	<b>follow-up</b> 55:11 78:36 102:5 103:13,45	85:44 86:25 <b>future</b> 19:24 41:31 42:9
89:34 90:34 92:45	25:45 27:36 37:41	106:6 107:12 108:9	52:15 71:17,25 73:7
93:9 95:20,26 97:29	38:6,17 43:42 49:30	followed 48:37	104:11 106:23 108:36
97:43	92:24,28	following 9:8 42:22,41	<b>FWC</b> 103:23
fish 3:31 18:37 36:6	five-day 25:13 26:20,25	102:8 104:34	105.25
53:39,42 54:44,45	28:5 38:43	food 58:24,26 100:34	G
55:9,27,28 58:24	five-days' 25:28 26:6	force 79:39	game 41:20
60:19 63:17 66:16,16	26:15	forced 41:25	gander 91:38
72:10,37 77:24 86:16	five-year 93:2	FORDHAM 1:37 24:25	<b>GARFO</b> 9:9 10:5 12:46
86:33 87:38 88:8,28	flag 55:20	34:1 37:34	20:7 21:29
88:46 89:3,4 90:9	flags 58:8	foreign 59:16	gathering 8:47
91:4,44 92:47 93:9,16	flaps 16:25	forest 42:10 52:8	gear 43:22 44:20,37
94:14,32,36,47 95:28	flat 46:32 55:41	forest-level 51:44	58:38 61:1,19,26
95:36,41,44,46,48	flavor 12:7 65:43 77:14	forever 66:1	68:30
96:25,38 97:3 98:42	fleas 96:24	forgot 109:8	gear- 62:17 65:28
100:3,10,31	fleet 51:5 52:38 53:24	forgotten 59:41	gear-restricted 61:44
fished 16:37 84:40	58:20,24,27 60:18	form 34:43	62:15 64:32
92:15 93:23 95:3	62:17,43 63:34,41,45	formal 75:6,12 78:15,15	gears 64:36 107:33
fisheries 8:11 14:21	64:38,43 65:6 67:27	78:19,22	general 3:28 34:23,27
16:5 17:34 25:27,34	71:40 80:40 81:1,15	formalized 83:7,26	55:13 67:21 78:41
25:43 26:11 29:41	87:48	former 86:3	79:16 82:33,45 85:27
34:31 36:3 37:19	fleets 42:21 53:7 70:30	forms 27:20	87:40 89:23,35 98:41
38:34,47 53:7,15	flexibility 64:38 65:1	forth 76:46 85:25	99:14 100:1 102:20
55:32 59:12,33 73:2	67:26 72:9 76:28	fortunate 100:31	105:27,31,48 108:33
86:2,24 89:30,32	79:18,44,45 84:6	forward 17:29 34:4	generated 99:31
90:12 91:25,28 98:30	90:48 91:18 96:20,44	64:24 67:10 80:4	generating 18:19
100:45 102:26 103:9	102:41 108:7	84:44 85:16 90:24	generation 78:8
105:46	flexible 63:3 102:35	91:42 102:39 108:19	genetics 103:41
fisherman 16:38 48:21	flip 51:24,25 53:35	109:6	geography 90:17 92:31
92:21 94:7,7,8,9,11	Florida 23:32 45:17,43 61:12 62:7,13 80:11	found 17:13,25 32:3	105:11
94:11,16,34,35,44,45	01.12 02.7,13 00.11	85:5	<b>George</b> 2:9 85:32 89:11
II	ı	I	ı

grateful 67:17 103:40 106:46 98:44 102:36 89:46 hearing 77:35 78:37 hammerheads 22:28 Georgia 1:21 **GRAVES** 1:39 101:22 104:28 getting 15:20,47 18:22 gray 47:48 48:24,36 22:39 29:31,42 30:16 34:33 47:25 51:28 **grayed** 15:12 34:13,21 35:1,4,33 hearken 89:37 55:27 57:27,39 63:30 greater 39:39 107:15,16 heating 93:29 64:13 70:2 83:40 greatest 60:2 hand 5:28 24:48 41:21 heavily 43:46,47 44:17 96:33 97:37 102:8 greatly 40:25 99:1 82:28 101:32,34 76:2 88:3 green 43:43 45:17,43 handgear 6:41 106:29 heavy 57:3,3 giant 93:25 95:13 49:33 92:19 100:16 handle 37:36 89:21 hedges 31:23 giants 88:39 93:28,39 Greenland 97:10 handline 53:41 hefty 43:36 handling 106:32 **GREGG** 1:40 held 48:16 58:41 81:14 93:41 100:30,36 **GREGORY** 1:41 95:20 hands 60:36 give 4:5,48 5:15 6:5 **Hello** 25:8 12:7,14 15:37 17:31 grim 109:5 hanging 88:34 help 13:11 23:17 34:35 happen 16:7 23:17 18:33 22:27 23:8 24:3 ground 68:5 34:42,43 80:33,42 24:35 44:2 79:28 81:22,47 82:13 40:4,42 52:2 54:48 grounded 66:9 93:12 97:17 103:32 55:17,21,24 56:47 **grounds** 84:31 107:42 108:35 happened 22:27 41:29 57:21 63:33 64:38 groundwork 38:39 helped 9:25 32:15 46:4 52:47 65:2 93:8 71:34 77:14 80:30 group 15:32 26:12 helpful 4:25 8:29 32:1 81:9 99:24 100:1 27:30,42,44 29:19,20 happening 30:13 39:9 34:39 36:40 41:40 61:43 41:11 45:33 46:20 given 10:40 11:23 43:29 51:40 65:42 groups 7:13 14:22 15:7 78:26 90:20,47 12:11 14:24 16:39 67:39 81:29,35 108:8 19:10 20:5,33 25:23 15:8 16:19 49:31 happens 9:9 13:8 15:41 helping 60:34 71:1 36:47 37:5 59:46 107:8 30:40 37:23 39:2 **HEMILRIGHT** 1:43 **HEMILWRIGHT** 38:37 63:21 64:7 67:19 **Guard** 103:10,30,33 94:25 97:7 happy 17:36 88:5,7 68:34 91:2 98:48 quess 5:17 20:16 30:23 98:39 99:42 99:11.26 40:37 51:41 52:21 94:4 96:40 herd 5:41 gives 63:25 71:21 80:5 56:30,33 58:3 86:17 Harbor 100:17 herring 18:38 88:17 hard 8:47 10:6 21:24 100:10 **guessing** 101:46 hesitant 103:2 36:11,48 65:17 72:35 giving 11:12 15:41 guidance 75:29 76:27 hey 96:37 73:21 75:19 101:22 95:6 hi 25:8 38:25 high 12:13 16:4 24:36 glad 81:9 108:43 **auide** 52:29 hard-boiled 94:34,35 harder 30:46 global 53:7 93:30,31 quided 44:29 31:38 45:14 46:34,39 hardest 98:11.13 **Gloucester** 19:2 82:35 guidelines 31:22,23 46:39 64:22 66:24 harpoon 53:41 78:41 85:12 100:18 75:37 71:45 74:20 78:32 goal 15:2 28:14 58:40 **HARRIS** 1:42 **Gulf** 3:24 9:10,17 16:44 85:1 86:16 101:44 19:46 21:24.47 22:28 harvest 25:32.40 26:9 65:19 103:11 24:32 27:9,12,23,26 27:35 84:35 89:2,4 goals 67:33 90:39 high-level 67:20 harvesting 28:16 88:23 **qold** 50:23 32:19,22,29,39 34:12 high-speed 105:28 **GOLET** 1:38 38:45 39:1 41:15 harvests 25:33 82:9 higher 22:46 24:39 goose 91:38 45:13,44 48:37,39 hate 98:13,15 26:35 34:9 51:48 Hatteras 40:41 61:21 **gosh** 40:30 50:29,32 61:26,30 70:29 73:10 74:15,48 61:21,31 64:21 92:17 64:15 65:9 66:46,48 gotten 40:36 101:26 93:38 97:19 92:36 93:23 95:4 96:6 government 20:47 67:11,44,48 68:4,9,42 highlight 10:42 qoviobs 6:6 69:3,5,9,13,28,31 96:24 highlighted 73:31 **hazard** 95:30 **GRA** 61:30 62:5 70:21 87:39 97:9 highlights 83:2 99:18 107:16 108:24 he'll 94:48 grab 9:47 10:7 highly 1:6 2:24,26,28 head 6:12 45:1 55:19 gum 90:11 2:30,32,34,36,38,40 grade 16:21,21 grand 86:17 gun 52:5,15 89:33 86:3 2:42,44 74:22 84:19 headed 109:25 granted 99:17 95:23 Н headway 13:30 hill 81:20 granting 62:41 historic 91:27 104:6 Habitat 3:32 hear 7:10 8:41 19:21 grants 107:44 21:7 56:39 71:42 graph 30:39 48:45 **habitats** 104:10 **historical** 42:12 83:8 95:11 98:47 101:25 half 10:31 43:20 44:4 55:39 104:4 graphic 57:48 45:19 56:14 92:45,48 103:31 history 12:37 20:23 heard 25:36 34:31 **GRAs** 50:39 64:15,15 93:1,10,12 25:16,36 39:25 53:23 39:29,41 51:5 55:46 69:5 71:44 73:36 **hammerhead** 24:29,32 82:43 85:46 86:14,16 108:24 29:19 30:17 32:43 59:28 61:4 63:15 86:26,48 87:1 89:39 81:30 84:46 90:40,42 grasped 5:44 34:26 35:12 39:36 89:40 91:2 96:8,42

107:9 hit 15:34 16:1,10,11 23:28 33:34 51:22 62:2,3 81:30 hitting 53:22 **HMS** 3:13,36 7:8,13,30 8:16,24,26,30 10:5,7 10:13 11:45 13:17 16:26,27,34,39 18:29 18:31,41 19:3 21:38 21:43 23:39 25:26,48 26:8 38:43 40:34 43:41 44:5 49:48 50:4 56:48 58:34 65:44 81:27 99:43 102:11 104:35,42,42 109:20 109:23 **hobby** 99:2 Hogarth 86:1 91:20 hold 20:12 23:1 27:11 27:13,15 58:44 93:42 holders 55:48 58:19 holding 22:44 **holds** 60:16 **hole** 81:6 home 73:38 86:46 homogeneous 52:38 homogenous 59:30 hook 68:38,45 69:16,29 69:31,36 72:10,36,37 73:4 **hooking** 100:41 hooks 3:24 41:15 46:11 50:29,33,34,36 67:44 67:48 68:3,16,16,29 68:37,41 69:4,7,9,13 69:26,39 70:18,21,23 70:23 72:18,20 82:4 105:26,31 108:23,25 hope 25:31 36:33 55:42 79:14 hopefully 7:10 35:24 40:40 57:41,44 88:42 89:8 hoping 28:17 39:48 81:21 Horizon 45:16 50:22,32 76:12 102:13 horrific 60:3 hotdog 100:36 **hour** 5:15 hours 10:23 38:28 41:6 107:22 **houses** 10:12 **HUDSON** 1:44 19:29,34 19:41 20:17 32:2 **HUETER** 1:45 22:23,38 22:41 23:2 33:8

huge 52:34 92:5 109:19 human 66:28 hundreds 100:29 hungry 5:19 hurt 45:1 87:13 98:6 hypothetical 15:41 18:1

IAC 6:32.37 IBQ 41:18 50:39 69:30 69:40 70:16,38,38,44 70:45 71:23,36 72:4 73:19,22,35 75:26,45 76:22,25 77:32 78:43 78:48 79:18,35,38 80:1 81:13 98:27 102:30,31,32,37,48 108:24,28,30,31,33 **IBQs** 68:41 69:5,28 ICCAT 1:39 6:44 44:20 45:6 89:1 96:37 101:27 102:39 108:47 109:6 ID 57:25 105:19 106:30

idea 6:12 31:20 32:9 34:2 36:24 37:30 52:9 77:23 94:29 ideas 42:3 65:43 81:37

ideas 42:3 65:43 81:37 85:4

identified 53:13 61:39 61:43 64:22 66:46 identify 14:1 17:27 97:44 identifying 43:28

IFQ 76:42 illegal 102:10 imagine 67:41 immediate 28:10 29:4 37:38 38:29 107:17

immediately 28:33 29:1 29:5 35:44,45 immediatelys 35:47

immediatelys 35:47 impact 50:6,7 70:29 84:14 88:4,26 92:4,5 98:4,6 99:3 100:26 101:18 104:36 impacting 46:21 101:4

impacting 46:21 101:4 impacts 33:45 50:47 64:43 69:3 84:15 87:11 97:48 102:22

impetuous 6:38 implement 9:2 75:8 implementation 50:17 73:35 104:45

implemented 7:15 15:5 15:11 50:34 62:4 68:3 68:29,30 69:39 70:26 70:31 71:44 82:46 83:20 implementing 109:7 implication 53:37 implications 36:28 102:39,41 108:34 implies 72:44 import 102:6 108:11,12 importance 72:40 86:42 87:3

86:42 87:3 important 8:13 9:29 10:42 30:9,12 42:30 47:18 52:41 54:40 60:14,23 68:11 73:6 86:31 90:16 93:46 97:23,40 104:23,30 104:33

**imported** 47:11 48:8,24 48:26,36

importers 58:37 imports 47:41,44,45,47 48:4,6,11,12,40,42,44 48:46 49:5,7,8,12,18 49:20 56:36,40 58:10 58:32 81:36 107:37 impossible 37:5

impressed 5:43 impressive 24:23 improve 6:40 25:31 28:14 57:40 105:16

impractical 36:17

improvement 6:34 improving 57:26 98:24 improvise 4:8 in-102:42

in-season 30:5 inaccessible 60:20 inappropriate 91:22 inception 6:22 incidental 14:15

in-between 50:30

include 7:41 27:34 44:43 46:14 69:2 74:1 104:16,40 106:31 108:15

included 26:22 71:47 73:40

includes 7:44 including 16:24 35:4 42:41 69:40 73:33 75:43 83:11 107:5 108:31

income 99:31,36 incomplete 13:2,3 inconsistencies 91:33 inconsistent 89:7 incorporate 8:20 18:14

21:38 incorporated 21:32

32:5 39:8
incorporating 21:16
incorrect 64:42
increase 13:21 26:42
27:3,7 41:39 45:18,45
46:29 47:22 48:7,15
49:14 50:35 55:42
57:5 65:3,11,21 68:22
71:34 72:8 79:44
82:47 83:22 89:1
95:38
increased 43:44 44:9
61:32 63:29,38,42
64:44 97:19 106:20

increases 95:42 98:18 increasing 26:24 49:19 49:40 74:46 75:1 79:45 98:16 incredible 22:15 incursions 59:44

independent 78:19 independently 77:38 indicate 13:46 24:14 indicated 6:20 68:17 72:42 88:28

indicates 16:38 indicators 76:4 individual 3:25 9:36 21:34 22:1 61:33 64:39 68:31 74:24

81:16 108:20 individually 81:14 industry 6:23 54:28,38 55:8,9 63:20 67:10

82:13 108:34 industry's 80:33 inflation 46:31

inform 30:48 information 11:10 12:8 18:2 29:47 30:4 36:35 41:36,46 42:8 43:26

43:28 45:2 48:29 52:11,42,45 54:1,9 58:47 60:32 66:10 76:11,39 80:41 81:33 85:12 95:47 98:20 99:33 102:3,4 103:17 103:18,28,45 104:5

105:1,24 106:5 107:9 107:10 108:35 109:4 informative 65:25 70:6 informed 67:28

informed 67:28 inherent 76:9 initial 11:12 13:20 65:42,43 81:31 initiative 53:6

initiatives 103:12

Inlet 92:37

Inlets 95:31 issue 20:15 32:43 34:23 iuveniles 93:26.41 20:3.19.31.37.38 23:1 24:25,30,31 36:1 46:2 input 30:25 40:26 60:38 38:32 50:39 53:6,9 lately 59:46 67:25 75:33 81:43 58:9,26 62:24 71:12 **Karyl** 2:26 35:34 **latest** 4:20 105:7 109:18 77:45 82:43 91:9 inseason 63:38 84:22 93:47 102:8 105:35 Kate 67:39 latitude 88:2 84:28 85:8 105:36 107:15,41 Katie 2:18 29:16 30:6 laugh 70:17 **Lauren** 2:34 24:48 inserted 8:36 108:5 33:16 34:28 53:29 issued 13:4 18:45 62:6 65:47 67:15 25:10 29:17 **inside** 80:40 83:44 98:41 Katie's 33:10 Lauren's 28:27 insight 22:26 insist 21:20 issues 3:20,28 5:1 11:8 Katrina 50:21 **law** 100:5 lay 25:4 49:27 78:14 inspection 103:12 11:21,26 16:47 22:44 keep 7:30 12:10 19:12 leads 53:42 39:6 61:2,4,7 63:31 22:8 26:48 27:8 29:36 **inspections** 103:11,29 learned 66:2 92:18 instance 13:37 59:37 95:27 105:22 107:2 42:46 54:23 67:47 107:48 88:18 92:10 96:1 lease 74:43 102:31 83:15 insurance 71:47 issues/options 65:32 103:33 104:24 103:1.2 **issuing** 99:43 keeping 52:33 89:47 leased 74:43.48 75:1 intake 98:46 item 4:16 5:10,14 7:6 92:2 108:6 109:15 leases 75:2 76:14 integrated 20:45 intended 101:42 105:37 items 60:40 keeps 91:15 leash 104:25 intent 51:43 IUU 102:6 kept 6:30 leasing 74:40,42,44,47 interact 29:41 39:39 **IWICKI** 1:46 5:29 key 45:5 75:45 83:29 103:1 leave 5:30 33:46 93:17 90:7 kick 88:21 J interaction 31:31 66:47 killed 34:33 101:31 67:1,12 74:39 **J** 105:31 kinds 6:29 23:6 33:16 leaves 16:28,29 76:28 interactions 42:32 **Jackie** 2:44 7:8,10 36:22 leaving 39:22 93:26,27 60:13 61:15 64:17.23 17:38 22:23 23:15 king 94:18,21 93:28 left 6:44 37:12 39:4 64:36.44 65:10 70:43 24:21 25:36 30:39 Kirby 2:10 33:6 36:41 Jackie's 22:11 33:26 71:35,37,41,45,45 37:33 40:41 71:40 85:32 knew 85:36 95:32 96:1 97:39 109:26,29 interacts 58:24 **January** 3:29 82:33 leftover 39:19 interagency 5:38 83:17,21,26,30,48,48 **knowing** 81:21 legal 86:36 interchangeably 8:43 84:4,8,11,24,38 89:24 knows 38:43 59:25 length 25:22 interest 31:7 39:39 89:48 90:18.27.31 lengthen 86:11 103:11,24 107:32 91:1,44 93:1,10 96:25 lesser 42:39.43 45:40 108:33 **Jason** 1:28 19:28 23:13 labeled 45:35 49:2 labeling 59:21 81:36 **lesson** 66:2 **interested** 31:34,37 33:5,37 105:7 let's 4:3 5:12,24 7:6 52:46 63:30 92:6 **Jeff** 2:7 59:8,27 72:5 lack 87:5 108:25 19:4 24:23 31:38 37:9 105:36 106:37 77:43 80:31,44 lag 10:32 28:38 41:3 43:32 44:37 interesting 44:21 46:47 **iob** 5:4 8:47 21:12 lags 10:41 45:10 48:14 49:9.27 89:43 96:28 98:11 laid 38:38 47:2 50:9 52:45 54:11 85:31 87:18 95:18 54:18 73:5 105:43 105:5 land 14:32 24:2 88:32 interestingly 46:40 Joe 66:26 landed 15:46 44:36 96:27,39 lettering 103:11 interference 54:37 90:3 **JOHN** 1:39 47:9.10 Joint 24:26 landing 14:12 16:41,42 **letters** 45:10 interject 22:11 interjection 90:3 23:24 39:13 42:27 **level** 11:30 19:13,14,43 judge 31:28 international 83:38 **July** 18:22 70:10,13 47:1 92:28 99:25 30:4.5 32:37 44:7 98:12 108:10 74:18,41 108:26 100:3 106:3 45:14 51:48 58:17 jump 7:6 17:20,24 large 8:28 18:17,18 internet 9:39 79:47 98:8 101:44 interplay 104:8 24:43 50:1 55:36 31:40 32:16,44 44:28 102:45 50:4 67:25 68:7 70:4 interpretation 104:33 65:46 75:3 levels 34:19 48:11,12 48:48 49:10,11 57:8 interviewers 101:5 jumped 22:46 57:15 74:30 93:24 introducing 21:4 **jumping** 76:30 larger 47:34 70:1 74:16,32 97:45 introduction 41:32 largest 45:38 jumps 46:33 liberalizing 108:31 **June** 61:10 74:16,21 **invested** 78:41,42 lasted 38:6 license 102:28 **lifting** 79:19 investigate 62:9 77:26 83:9,19 90:7,28 lastly 6:7 27:40 63:7 investment 101:12 jurisdiction 42:6 82:10 light 45:24 84:13 involved 5:38 justify 37:18 100:9 **Latchford** 2:34 24:48 likelihood 27:37 82:47 irrelevant 69:29 **juts** 92:34 25:8,10 likes 59:34 isolation 31:47 juvenile 61:13 80:19 late 12:9,18,19,23,29,36 limit 63:19 84:30 94:24 issuance 106:2 93:34,37 12:39 14:44,47 17:21 94:40

II
limited 27:23 63:23
limiting 63:17 64:4
limits 37:48 38:10,21
58:31
line 43:4,7,38,39 44:22
45:36,43,44,44,48 46:4,27 47:17,47,48
48:1,9,14,17,29,33,36
49:1,18,31,32,33,33
50:11,21,30 62:1
74:28 75:13,14,19,27
75:34 85:16,31 88:2
89:45 92:7 104:46 linear 46:27
lines 45:7,11 49:34
50:16,19
linkage 32:22,41
linkages 106:1 107:5
108:12
linked 76:10 107:1 LISA 1:40
list 20:25 56:3 67:35
83:39
listed 59:12,16
listening 40:36
listing 83:37,43,43
102:4 <b>lists</b> 17:6
literally 101:39 108:39
little 4:9,18,21 6:38
7:43 8:5 10:21,32
12:3 14:42 15:24
20:21 23:18 25:5
28:46 31:18 32:7,23 32:27,30 33:12 35:29
36:26 40:9 44:5,6,9
45:1 47:19,21,34,40
49:4,9 59:44 61:16
64:14 68:2 73:38
80:25 81:17 83:41
96:48 100:2 101:11 101:29
lived 85:27
locally 88:11
located 9:44 16:30
location 39:35
log 11:33
logbook 14:1 18:42,47
19:1 42:14 44:32,42 45:28 74:8,10
logbooks 9:23 13:46,46
18:30 19:4,17,22
45:30 106:39
logging 92:7
logically 72:35,35
long 4:21 26:25,31 28:32,40 37:18 40:21
41:32 52:6 57:24 59:2
II

89:39 94:33.33 103:4 long- 42:11 98:1 long-term 86:14,15 long-winded 6:39 longer 37:41,45 38:4,7 38:14 49:11 69:31 93:41 107:32 longline 3:20,22 26:26 38:15 41:5,8,25 42:21 43:1,5,8,19,21,25 44:17,24,29,37 47:26 49:23,46 50:5 51:9,31 53:33,37,42 54:8 56:14,21 58:20,23 60:12 61:1,23,28 62:16,26 63:18 64:18 73:25 74:13 76:43 102:43 104:21 108:31 look 6:11,16 11:4,29 12:22 13:22 14:5,41 15:44 16:15,20,40,42 23:23 30:12 33:14 34:4 35:7 36:47 37:44 39:9,34 41:30 43:29 44:40 45:33 46:19,43 46:47 48:10 49:9,42 50:10,26 51:37,44,48 54:11,36 55:15 56:15 58:2,6,32,36 60:24 66:19 67:4 69:40 73:45 76:47 78:24 80:10 81:33.46 82:12 82:32 83:3 90:23 94:18 98:30 99:12,33 105:17,40,41 106:46 107:23,38 108:43 109:18 **looked** 34:47 39:7 80:21 92:40 104:44 looking 4:13 11:16,19

11:26 12:24 13:17,25 13:34,41 14:35 16:34 17:16 21:1 24:38 28:28 29:2 30:10,39 30:43 31:15 33:27 34:46 35:16,17 41:10 41:27 42:9,46 43:23 47:26 49:23 52:8,31 53:19,27 58:17 60:12 60:28 67:10 78:12,23 78:33 80:19 82:1 88:36 92:41 94:30 97:30 99:38 101:10 102:4 103:6 107:32 108:29 **Lookout** 92:30,33,35,36

92:42 93:9

looks 4:23 22:29 43:9

87:20 95:45 101:47 Louisiana 32:25 105:6 107:22 love 58:2 72:16 low 46:35 57:28 97:14 97:18 106:12 lower 16:8 35:5 52:34 74:31 lowering 94:40 **lowest** 31:35 **LPS** 104:44,47 106:18 **LUKE** 1:42 **lumped** 57:26 lunch 4:19 5:8,13 40:13 109:16 lures 105:32 М mackerel 18:38 94:18 94:21 magnitude 73:44 Magnuson 86:35 Magnuson- 75:4 84:17 Magnuson-Stevens 75:7 91:32 mahi 87:44 main 5:25 7:22 14:36 91:29 Maine 9:5 87:39 maintain 26:48 28:5 62:22,22 **major** 50:16 53:5,8 55:18 57:31 95:26 majority 55:5 56:5 making 6:30 8:3 16:36

43:17 45:27 47:43

51:8 52:23,36 57:4

lot 5:36 8:17 13:29 21:3

39:32 41:36,43,48

42:18,44 47:41 51:5

58:22,42 59:23 61:7

66:13 72:20 73:24

77:8 78:40,42 79:5

81:37 83:40 84:19

80:27,27 81:4,10,32

87:30,40 88:46 92:3

95:21 97:7,8 99:21,45

100:44,46 101:12,17

102:10 103:19 107:4

lots 36:33,33 52:44

52:42 53:4 55:3 56:36

21:4 30:3 34:31,36,42

35:30 36:1,21,31 38:5

76:37

**lose** 104:5

loss 94:25

**lost** 53:11

**losing** 68:35

36:45 54:7 82:19 88:35 100:9 103:27 mako 109:1 mammal 42:31 58:12 108:11 manage 12:22 67:23 84:47 88:13,25,43 90:17 managed 49:47 50:5 62:16 83:18 89:15 management 2:25,27 2:29,31,32,35,36,39 2:41,42,45 3:23 14:21 16:19 18:32 25:16 26:12 27:30,42,44 28:15 31:24,27,29,31 32:37,45 38:26 39:37 41:12,15 51:1 55:16 60:29 61:38 66:8 69:8 78:18 79:29 81:39 82:45 83:25 84:22 85:29 86:23 97:43 98:1 105:39 107:6 108:14 managers 98:12 managing 14:26 25:38 39:25 70:44 105:46 manner 89:19 manual 10:45 11:22 manually 17:16 map 45:8,9 51:34 62:14 March 84:1,24 85:9,9 90:32 93:3,4,11,13 95:29,34 96:1,14 **MARCUS** 1:36 Margo 2:40 5:27,41 6:17,29 40:29,45 56:2 60:27 71:32 101:35 Margo's 5:48 71:11,16 71:29 82:31 marinas 100:16 marine 38:33 42:31 58:12 86:2 91:25 100:45 105:20 108:11 mark 2:12 14:27,38,40 15:1,35,36 marked 45:30 market 59:24 market's 55:29 marketable 68:35 marketing 57:42 107:41 107:45 marlin 68:21 72:7 82:9 105:28 marlin/roundscale 72:30

**MARSHALL** 1:47 92:1

101:9

	1	ı	Ì
MARTIN 2:13	108:47	32:20,39 38:45 41:16	mode 89:5 105:40
Marty 54:14 55:10	meetings 21:25 26:19	45:13,44 48:37,39	model 22:25
57:20 60:4,4,9,46	26:36 34:32 42:1	50:29,32 61:26,31	modest 22:11
65:47 66:37 69:25	104:27	64:15 65:9 66:46	modifications 76:21,29
71:8,28,30 77:43,45	meets 23:34,36	67:11,45 68:1,5,9,43	modified 64:46,47
80:30,31	member 4:29,34,39,42	69:4,5,10,14,31 70:21	83:46
Maryland 1:22 38:27	5:29 6:28 19:29,34,41	97:9 108:24	modify 26:19
107:21	20:17,42 22:3,23,38	Michael 2:8,16 30:18,45	modifying 76:24
Massachusetts 90:19	22:41 23:2,15 24:25	52:17 72:26 76:35	moment 6:24 91:14
masterful 24:26	28:31,43 29:12,17,24	microscope 58:5	93:7
match 9:22 19:15 23:41	29:39 30:8,19 31:10	mid- 45:44 93:35	monetary 12:41
33:29,33	32:2 33:8,38 34:1	mid-April 93:26	money 57:44,45 92:8
matched 9:4	35:36 36:4,16 37:34	mid-Atlantic 45:21 46:1	94:19
matches 14:1	38:25,37 40:20,28	103:15	monitor 8:10 14:10
material 57:38	52:18,36 53:32 54:15	mid-Atlantic-caught	16:28 17:34
matter 40:15 57:33,34	55:17 56:1,12,23,26	48:34	<b>monitoring</b> 7:31 8:7,9
57:35 109:31	57:19,47 59:9,28	migratory 1:6 2:24,26	12:2 13:16,36 15:28
maturity 68:8	60:10 61:47 65:48	2:28,30,32,34,36,38	63:27,38 65:16 76:11
McHALE 2:36 99:12	66:38 67:16 69:26,34	2:41,42,44 86:16 95:7	83:1 102:6 104:24
McLAUGHLIN 2:38	69:46 70:8 71:10,31	Mike 33:17,43 34:17	Monterey 55:20,34
82:34,34 85:18 90:5	72:6,27,34 76:36 77:4	36:24,42 37:2 58:2	59:11
90:16	77:16,44 78:35 79:12	79:35 85:32 87:34	month 10:31 18:19,20
mean 5:2 8:42 14:34	80:32,45 85:34 87:22	89:10 96:36 98:18	25:42 46:25,25 74:14
17:8 19:42 20:48	87:35 89:12 90:2,9,34	100:13,14	74:15,24 88:7
22:14 30:29 34:46	92:1 95:20 96:5,48	mildly 66:7	monthly 10:29 17:3
35:40,46 36:6,9,13	97:27 98:39 99:42	MILLER 1:48 28:31,43	18:23 27:19
37:15,27 39:13 45:10	100:14 101:9	29:12 79:12	months 74:28,31 92:23
52:43 53:8,40 54:41	members 4:17 6:1,36	millions 48:18	Morehead 92:16
55:31 59:34,36,41	6:37 22:21 68:37	mind 12:10 19:12 26:43	Morehead-Beaufort
60:3,5 67:4 69:29	69:23 82:18 87:38,41	28:17 42:46 53:46	93:7
72:3 76:41 77:48	88:36	60:14	Morehead/Beaufort
78:32 80:14 82:3 85:2 90:21 96:15,28	memory 35:39 menhaden 88:10	mindful 33:3	92:35,43 morning 7:9 25:14
means 4:32 30:26	100:33	minds 6:34 mine 72:14	35:48 101:40
41:47 52:29 55:38	mention 9:27 18:28	minimize 15:22 60:13	mortalities 104:32
94:45,47	59:29 61:46 83:2	87:10 105:3,15	mortality 34:41 35:8
meant 17:30 23:29	107:43 109:8	minimum 28:8 74:37	58:40 67:23
65:25 82:42 83:37	mentioned 18:7,21 19:1	104:27	move 14:17 24:24
measure 51:2 71:3	52:8 77:21 88:45	minority 99:5	26:36 60:16 67:10
83:47	95:22,27	minus 52:40 72:41	71:3 84:6 87:28 91:42
measures 47:5 50:42	mentioning 56:43	minute 9:1 10:42 98:38	moved 22:30 43:45
63:21 64:1,25,40	58:28	minutes 5:32 52:13	49:43
68:11 69:16 70:42	mess 66:31	83:2 101:37	moving 4:11 49:46
71:1,34 78:18,28	message 74:11	misinforms 54:45	79:14 84:9 85:16
97:43 98:1 104:8	messages 73:38	mismatches 14:5	91:33 108:19 109:5
108:20	messier 73:38	missed 37:43 63:12	<b>MRIP</b> 104:44
mechanism 59:21	met 1:20 7:11 40:32	missing 11:46 12:39	<b>MSA</b> 86:37,42
mechanisms 81:36	62:47 63:45 75:33	13:1,5,12,41 14:2	<b>MSC</b> 55:19,33
media 36:32 103:24	84:25	17:18 20:11,13,14,32	MSY 71:20
medium 93:24	method 74:7	20:32 85:46 98:34	mull 52:14
meet 8:33,38,44 21:25	methods 76:3	105:35	multi- 76:47
23:43 61:24 78:3,22	metric 43:6 48:13 65:16	mistaken 34:38	multiple 12:11 19:22
meeting 1:11 3:36 6:21	71:21 73:48,48 86:5,6	mistakes 21:5	multispecies 18:36,36
35:26 40:2,5,23,35	97:33	mistreatment 103:22	19:1
41:2 67:42 68:37 69:1	metrics 64:20,48 65:8	mix 44:43	mustard 46:3
69:43 75:14 79:21	65:12,22 66:32 75:31	mixed 68:20	myriad 59:43
83:35 85:26 90:38	76:46	mixture 10:45	N
102:3,17 108:22,27	<b>Mexico</b> 3:24 27:23,26	mobile 102:2	14
II	ı	I	I

name 7:10 25:9 41:23 73:20 109:12 **narrows** 101:28 **national** 1:2 31:21,22 44:19 86:2,37 87:23 87:27 91:25 96:11 97:40 100:45 nationally-owned 91:23 **nations** 59:15 natural 50:20 105:32 **nature** 84:20 NAVARRO 2:6 near 47:48 49:2 88:48 103:32 necessarily 17:44 41:45 49:43 52:7 57:14 103:31 necessary 13:6 need 4:11,33 6:9 8:12 11:4,29 15:28 16:47 17:35 20:25,25 25:25 28:12,36 35:3 40:3,10 40:13 58:28 60:8,40 67:29 69:4.30 70:13 75:39.43.44 77:41 78:28 81:14,46 82:13 84:16 88:13 89:20 90:26 91:24 102:42 103:8,20,41 104:24 106:7.9.20 107:21 108:1 109:5 needed 66:7 80:7 92:22 needing 67:32 108:25 needless 91:41 needs 5:4 33:43 35:6 36:48 80:20 87:46 105:14 106:21 negative 47:19 54:31 55:11 64:43 94:43 97:47 negotiating 109:6 negotiation 21:39 negotiations 21:45 Neither 83:42 never 35:31 46:6 55:2 55:21 93:20 96:22 new 6:48,48 7:23 8:20 8:23 29:8 37:35 41:46 57:30 65:7,8,21 70:46 73:36 74:23 83:11 86:24,29,45 87:6,13 89:5,30,32 90:14 92:21 94:11 98:4,30 98:33 99:20 100:15 108:15 109:1 news 36:32 newspaper 57:31

nice 9:36 10:11 21:9 22:24 24:21 40:32 nicely 28:27 night 5:35 36:2 nightly 10:9 **NIMS** 34:40 **NMFS** 14:22 25:27,37 25:48 26:17,19 42:4 66:16 67:3 69:9,36 76:4 102:35 103:46 **NOAA** 12:36 103:23 **nobody's** 93:13 95:27 nominal 46:12 non-regulatory 65:36 69:12 non-sandbar 32:43 normally 66:16 96:21 north 21:33 50:23 59:33 86:3 88:1,43,44 89:3 90:20 92:16,31,35 93:8 95:40 99:20 101:1,1 102:24 northeast 7:26 18:34 24:10 61:10 74:2,20 86:46 92:5,9 98:6 northern 94:26,27 notable 74:16.19 **note** 6:14 10:15 45:48 68:23 69:15 74:33 109:29 **noted** 55:43 68:38 75:24 notes 41:41 101:40 noteworthy 108:42 **notice** 3:18 14:33 15:37 15:38 17:7 20:31.34 25:2,13,23,28,46 26:7 26:15,21,25,25,27,40 28:1,6,8,21,29,34,37 33:18,41 35:45 36:10 37:41 38:28,32,43 39:43 43:12 104:1 107:3 **notices** 26:45 notification 33:39 38:33 notifications 11:14 notion 86:32 **November** 74:19,29 87:16 92:48 108:47 November-December 88:22 **number** 7:36 12:13,15 12:16,17,23,27,28 15:43,46 17:11 20:27 23:24 24:40 44:41

51:16,20 52:48 57:29 64:23 72:44,45 74:41 74:42 77:19 78:9,18 86:38 89:17 94:47 106:4 109:1 numbered 85:6 numbers 72:46 74:9,47 74:48 numerous 26:17 100:37

0 o'clock 101:37 objective 27:29 31:17 41:34 objectives 33:28 75:32 75:45 76:17 84:33 **obligated** 18:41 19:3 observation 33:11 93:22,24,39 observations 92:25,38 observe 67:5 observed 93:34 **observer** 59:47 62:35 62:42 63:28,37,42 66:17,28,40 67:4,6 74:8,9 **observer-** 108:16 observer-based 62:38 63:39 **observers** 63:43 67:8 81:42 obvious 51:46 56:17,24 92:41 93:28 **obviously** 17:28 19:7 50:47 79:30 89:13 99:39 occur 24:19 77:37 88:7 88:20 occurred 52:21 75:47 occurring 32:32,39 68:14 103:43 occurs 24:43 Ocean 69:19 **OCEANIC** 1:2 oceanographic 42:27 Ocracoke 92:36 October 32:12 83:11 87:16 October-November 91:36 odd 16:42 **ODEN** 2:7 59:28 72:6 80:45 offense 70:12 101:3 offered 108:34

108:10 offline 9:38 offload 36:7 offloaded 36:8 offset 83:19 offshore 32:8 88:47 105:5,9 oftentimes 34:37 old 58:21 older 51:26,29,29 **OLE** 103:23,24,33 **Oliver** 54:29 on-time 65:17 onboard 62:42 once 14:24 23:29 28:40 59:24 64:13 100:42 one- 105:3 one-fish 94:24 one-month 92:29 ones 12:22 45:12 57:15 67:31 99:4 Ongoing 3:20,28 online 106:28 onset 74:12 onus 71:40 open 25:19 26:31 27:32 27:42 37:21 51:32,42 62:10 64:9,13 82:36 88:15 89:23,48 90:19 95:2 opened 39:17 opening 37:18 39:18 63:8 **operate** 54:18 56:4,6 90:14 operated 89:28 operation 89:6 operationally 90:22 opinion 33:19,40 39:44 66:33.34 opportunities 82:7 83:22 84:34 95:38,42 **opportunity** 36:7 58:4 63:34 66:18 84:35 91:44 95:43 107:47 opposed 21:8 67:24 opposition 105:27 opted 66:21 optimism 55:43 optimize 83:22 option 26:47 27:3,5,7 27:28,40 28:4,7,9 31:34 36:15 37:25,40 38:18 62:21 108:17 options 4:14 19:40 25:18 26:44,46 27:47 28:14,20,21,21,23

offhand 29:45

office 12:46,47 20:7

45:29,34 46:10 47:37

47:37 50:12 51:12,13

29:2 30:24,24,31

31:15 33:18.22 34:46 35:3 61:2,5 62:19 63:14 65:27,30 69:8 69:12,17 78:5,38 81:47 84:46 94:2 100:21 105:13 108:22 Oracle 7:29 11:32 15:30 orange 43:4 48:1,14 49:34 orange-ish 48:23 **oranges** 73:39 order 7:37 10:22 13:12 25:25 42:24 67:28 78:2 94:41,41,46 **Oregon** 92:37 oriented 89:29 original 104:6 originated 78:44 ought 71:14 Outer 40:42 outlasted 40:29 outline 7:33 25:14 75:36,41 outlook 47:3 output 67:22 81:43 outreach 105:18 106:7 outs 24:18 outset 51:43 outside 4:10 11:33 42:5 54:37,43 55:33 69:10 69:17 overactive 32:47 overage 24:29,31 overages 33:21 34:12 34:14 overall 11:17 12:14 17:30 26:4 27:1 29:29 29:34 30:13 45:2 50:43 52:25 74:15,31 74:46 83:23 107:19 overcapacity 53:13 overfished 32:38 55:6 55:22 67:35 68:13 72:7 105:30 overfishing 32:32,32 32:39 67:34 68:13 overharvest 107:15 overkill 70:34 overlap 93:34 overlaps 103:46 overlay 49:15 overly 9:29 overrules 86:37 overshoot 22:38 overshooting 31:36,39 overshot 31:14 overview 3:14,21 7:7 41:7,14 75:44 102:1

owner 51:30 54:16 owner-operators 56:3 owners 51:6,9,10,12,13 51:16,20,26,28 54:17 54:17 56:6 62:33 107:39

ownership 91:22 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 4:1 **p.m** 109:32 Pacific 47:10 48:1 57:27,36 pack 24:4,18 **packed** 24:14 page 17:6 56:27 77:20 77:21 pages 85:6 **Panama** 49:1,3 panel 1:7,20 5:37,45 6:22,32 40:37 panels 5:37 paper 6:14 10:35 14:47 21:8.20 25:41 27:20 82:39.44 83:3.4.13.28 85:5,47 paperwork 19:7 paragraph 85:8 parallel 75:28 77:34 part 8:2 11:37 14:18 29:22 31:1 32:18,45 34:43 36:9 43:37 46:47 48:4,25,39 60:30 62:34 63:10 71:43 74:20 75:15,19 78:16,45 97:10,40 98:11,13 part-timers 98:47 PARTICIPANT 19:33 22:36,40 82:21 participating 99:37 participation 32:24 86:44 97:41 particular 9:21 15:32 15:39 19:16 22:26 23:5 28:28 33:33 34:21 35:9 39:36 43:45 71:46 73:31 109:7 particularly 6:5 23:31 36:14,22 39:30,42 67:31 102:23 104:15 107:28 108:17

parts 15:14 103:30

passengers 89:44

**passive** 22:4,18

**pass** 75:10

Pat 4:33.38 40:14 77:3 109:14 path 6:3 39:15 pathway 11:9 pathways 9:47 21:1 **PATRICK** 1:29 pattern 74:15 patterns 27:35 74:25,26 74:27 95:7 96:19 105:43 pay 50:38 106:8 109:3 **PC-based** 21:48 peak 42:16,39,39,43 44:46 45:23,31,39 46:4,22,41 47:21 49:3 50:14 51:23 peaking 49:40 peaks 80:41 pelagic 3:20,21 18:17 18:19 26:26 38:15 41:4,8,25 42:21 43:1 43:5,8,19,21,25 44:17 44:29,36 47:26 49:23 49:46 50:5 51:8,31 54:8 56:13,20 58:23 60:11 61:1,23,28 62:16.26 63:18 64:17 73:25 74:13 76:43 104:21 penalties 12:41 103:15 penalty 106:9 pending 76:18 peninsula 92:33 people 7:1 29:1 33:2,4 34:37 35:45 36:11 37:22 38:18 39:29.43 40:9,32 51:29 54:33 58:35 59:5,19 66:15 70:20,47 71:47 79:27 81:40 87:17,20 89:17 95:3 97:2 98:32 99:9 99:46,48 100:4,10,41 100:44 101:13,18,40 103:18,25 106:35,36 **people's** 79:5 **peoples'** 19:44 percent 12:15,26 14:23 14:27,37,39,40 15:1,1 15:17,19,21,31,32,34 15:36 16:1,11,11 19:35,37 22:31,32 25:12,29,43 26:3,3,13 26:20,23,29,34,40,42 26:48 27:4,6,8,9,26 27:27,32,32,44,45 31:39 32:9,10,34 33:34 34:7,10 37:9 38:48 39:12,21,22,30

43:15.18.34.41.42 44:24,27 52:20,25 57:1,1 59:47 66:17,40 68:18 70:1,24 72:8,31 72:42 80:48 81:42 83:10,10,10,27 98:46 100:1 107:25,26,28 percentage 15:6 24:37 24:38 56:8 99:9 percentages 57:8 73:37 73:42 perception 107:40 performance 62:46 64:20,48 65:15 76:4 76:47 performing 52:34 67:32 period 9:3 22:35 27:48 28:5 37:45 39:43 40:2 52:48 54:24 57:25 62:33 65:33 82:46 83:33 84:4,7,11,38,44 86:12 90:28 95:25 103:3 107:32 108:46 periods 84:48 85:45 90:18 92:29.29 permanent 12:42 76:24 permit 12:46,47,48 13:4 14:11 18:36,37,45 19:38 20:7,15 21:21 27:11,13,16,17 55:48 58:19 99:33 102:20 102:28 103:27 106:2 permits 7:18 12:45 19:44 20:8 32:25,26 63:22 94:10,15 98:41 99:10,32,44,47 100:9 104:9 106:30 permitted 25:39 26:1 27:14 78:29 99:13,14 permitting 106:29 person 19:16 40:24 personally 5:47 32:2 perspective 59:32 pertinent 36:23 Peter 89:34 phenomena 42:28 philosophy 77:13 **phone** 20:19,35,36 **photos** 101:47 108:43 physically 65:7 pick 10:4 36:27 picking 5:20 35:26,28 pictures 101:47 pie 39:4 83:28 piece 6:14 7:42 54:1 71:23,24 82:4 **pieces** 81:33 **PIERDINOCK** 2:8 87:35

П			
piggyback 9:34	positions 34:44	40:35 79:17 81:28	11:12 21:46 22:14
pink 62:17	positive 5:33 97:47	presented 28:24 75:13	28:46 29:48 52:6 63:4
<b>place</b> 8:5 9:2 10:12	possession 37:48	75:33	66:9,39 71:39 75:28
12:45 19:9 26:41	possible 11:39 24:45	presenter 23:10	78:37 80:34 102:5
50:37,38,42 68:11	29:10 37:27 55:14	presenting 25:11	103:38 108:35
79:22 80:16,26 82:2	72:9 105:15	presiding 1:23	processes 7:32 10:44
86:46 90:15 95:31	possibly 18:29 32:24	presumably 57:12	77:34
places 8:17 18:18 48:42	35:26 94:39	pretty 9:46 23:25,30	<b>produce</b> 66:40
93:17	poster 57:24	32:12,17 33:41 34:48	producer 59:42
plan 4:44 6:45 41:20	posting 18:24 103:19	37:35 42:40 43:36	product 8:26 9:20
104:45	103:25	45:14,18 46:46 48:16	13:32 24:3,8
planes 82:22	potential 13:25,26	49:13 50:41 52:38	production 47:46 48:4
planned 30:29 85:19	15:25 21:4 24:19	53:22 55:41 61:42	49:21
planning 6:30 82:25	33:44 34:14 63:14	63:23 66:24,25 77:4	Professor 33:23
plans 31:7	75:11,25,31 79:3 82:6	81:4 82:5 85:3 96:41	profitability 54:7
<b>plate</b> 59:25	82:6,8 85:17 99:38	97:16	program 3:25 7:28 8:23
play 4:22 12:31 39:38	102:22 106:23	prevailing 78:10	8:24,33 9:44 10:1,19
74:34	potentially 15:44,48	prevent 24:44 33:21	21:43,48 41:18 50:40
playing 58:18	16:7,8 97:4	53:27 55:25 102:46	57:42 71:23 73:19,22
plays 51:7	pound 48:32 55:27	preventing 104:18	73:36 74:35 75:6,10
please 4:45 40:42 76:34	74:44	previous 27:36 34:47	75:27,32,36,45,46
109:11,17	pounds 15:46 22:48	47:40 51:38	76:10,22 77:33 78:43
pleased 58:46	74:43 75:1 96:39	price 46:25,39 48:21,22	79:35 95:45 98:28
pleasure 40:39	powered 109:15	54:32 74:44 75:2	108:28,34
plenty 7:1 89:5 95:39	PowerPoint 22:25	prices 46:28,32,39,44	program's 11:15
PLL 43:2,17 61:34	23:10 24:27	48:19,20,24,37,38	programs 7:36 8:18,21
62:41	<b>PR</b> 55:12	60:35	8:35,37 9:30,32,33,36
PLTRT 69:18	practicality 35:41	primarily 67:31	21:17,34,35,39,41,42
<b>plus</b> 86:2	precaution 36:25	primary 68:5 104:42	23:32 76:5
Plymouth 104:19	precept 86:23	prior 15:10 52:48 53:4	progress 54:43 71:17
point 14:36 16:14 24:28	precipitous 42:40	71:11,12 73:32 81:1	75:20
31:2 34:16,18 36:44	precise 67:22	priorities 3:36 104:44	prohibited 11:45
38:17 39:48 42:2,42	preclude 63:35,42	prioritization 35:21,25	prohibition 35:5
42:44 43:16 46:19	predict 26:31	35:28	project 42:19 44:24
50:28 55:5,14 56:7,46	prefer 67:2	prioritizing 35:23	76:13 107:26
57:19,28 59:20 65:42	Preference 105:2	priority 60:39 61:35,39	projected 14:23 26:13
70:39 76:30 78:46	preferred 66:14	61:43 107:46	27:31,43,45 32:10
79:1,34 82:16,30	preliminary 75:20	private 105:41 106:29	projecting 77:9
84:13 86:19 87:36	77:11 79:28 85:22	<b>pro</b> 63:23,32,40 64:3,10	projection 32:34
88:33 91:29 93:23	92:38 109:4	64:34,48 65:18	projections 15:33,42
94:28 95:4 96:11 97:2	premature 79:32	probability 31:39 78:33	16:2,9 25:29
97:23,30,38 98:9	prepared 82:39	probably 4:19 6:29	projects 66:23
99:28,29 106:15	prerequisite 99:32	32:12 36:18 41:48	promise 41:2 78:45
107:43	present 1:25 2:23 53:19	48:5 50:25 52:41 56:2	promises 35:24,32
pointed 38:22 52:19	56:44 68:42 69:3	56:9 57:23 60:1 66:13	promote 55:8
79:35	74:48 100:30	68:46 70:29 73:6	prompts 25:21
points 5:31 51:45 60:32	presentation 3:37	78:27 87:14 98:20,22	proof 79:24 102:27
81:30 85:38,39,40	17:39,42 22:25 24:47	98:40 99:5,13 101:23	proper 72:10
87:18 97:39	28:25,32 33:26 34:2	problem 19:46 24:30	proportion 48:45 49:8
policy 53:5 91:26	34:40,47 35:22,37	32:15,42 38:44 53:14	49:11 56:48
political 66:20	38:38 41:42 42:19,25	54:25 57:22,27 70:46	proportional 71:24
poor 107:40	42:34 44:16 45:9	problematic 4:27,35	proportions 48:47,48
pop 82:36	53:45 56:21 59:1,6	32:7	57:7
population 32:20 79:26	60:48 63:10 65:25	problems 11:1,2,7	proposal 103:7
port 16:41,42	67:48 69:11 73:17	34:15 39:24 70:44,47	proposals 107:44
portion 55:7 76:1,16	77:18 79:13,18 81:31	71:48 107:29	proposed 3:18 25:3
79:3,4 84:10	82:38 85:1 102:32 presentations 34:8,10	procedures 7:47 29:8	31:5 75:26 77:37
<b>portions</b> 63:8 64:9	<b>presentations</b> 54.0,10	process 3:13 7:8 9:24	83:30,34,46,47
		•	

proposing 82:41 pros 102:37 **pros/cons** 63:13 protect 88:14 protected 61:14 67:24 106:32 Protection 58:12 protections 80:26 protein 5:23 protocol 60:15,18 103:46 **proud** 6:31 prove 96:37 provide 25:35 41:35 42:8 52:10 60:31 63:11 65:1 76:39 82:40,42 85:27 104:23 106:10,11 provided 61:48 63:45 66:22 78:5 provides 86:44 providing 104:26 106:3 provisions 66:3 102:7 108:15 **Proxy** 2:14 public 3:34 25:22 38:28 38:32 54:44,45 65:33 78:36 82:19,20,22,28 83:45 101:33 103:33 106:24 107:40 publicity 54:30,31 81:36 publish 28:37,47 104:1 **publishes** 28:43 29:14 **pull** 10:10,25,37 75:39 **pulled** 23:39 61:40 **pulling** 109:20 pun 105:37 purchase 10:3 purchased 24:15 purchases 9:6 purchasing 11:45 **Purmont** 2:9 89:12 90:2 90:9 100:14,14 **purpose** 75:43 purposes 83:1 90:26 purse 76:13,15 106:22 **pursue** 65:31,36 69:12 purview 42:5 60:35 **push** 4:18,45 22:22 37:42 40:12 47:20 60:9 73:16 106:20 **pushing** 59:5 81:41 101:28 put 4:36,37,38,38,39,41 12:1 15:37 16:12 17:6 19:43 20:4,24 24:8 29:33 50:37 55:2

60:33 65:44 66:14 69:21,35 70:9 72:39 79:22 80:26,48 81:37 82:2 89:19,25,43 91:26 94:2 100:36 107:48 puts 20:31 putting 6:37 12:34 13:19,39 15:27 66:6

### C

**QA/QC** 7:32,48 10:44 13:20 qualified 61:22,24 64:27 qualify 64:2 quality 7:47 9:24 10:44 QuanTech 101:5 quantify 64:6 quarter 67:6 quarterly 80:4 question 4:32 17:43,43 19:41 21:23 22:17,42 28:30 29:18 30:20,46 34:45 35:10 37:2.45 51:42 52:41 53:17.48 55:11 56:30,33 57:10 65:34 75:17 77:5,18 77:39 78:11 80:39 87:14,15 98:40 102:31 103:10 106:13 106:40 107:29 questions 16:46 17:37 17:39 19:30 28:19 30:7 33:13,16 34:4,36 35:37 37:37 38:1 51:33 52:1 54:47 65:38 69:22,24,35 72:28 76:34 77:46 79:14 80:34 102:11 102:14,38 103:37,39 104:3,7 106:22,48 107:33 108:1 queue 22:21 33:2 59:5 87:21 quick 4:27 5:10 6:14,20 22:3 29:6 46:9,13,14 55:10,44 57:19 61:3 62:2.14 67:48 69:46 72:6 86:40 87:20 97:28 98:40 101:9 102:46 quickly 25:37 45:20 51:3 71:10 85:3 quiet 4:7 quips 86:40

quite 5:44 44:21 45:25

46:6 57:43 58:1,8,28

72:1 86:26,48 90:38 106:45 109:5 quo 62:22 63:15 84:23 88:14,35 90:31 92:3 92:11 107:18 quota's 90:10 quota-driven 89:28 quotas 12:21 13:37 14:15,24 15:18 16:28 16:31 25:32 30:40 35:1 37:29 68:31 73:37,41 88:25 107:12 quote 14:10 87:2 97:5 quoted 86:7

### R

quotes 86:40 87:30

rages 104:6,6 raising 82:27 99:28,29 ramification 5:17 Randy 1:41 2:24 92:13 95:18 96:3,16 range 11:14 12:30 50:2 rarely 95:11 rate 16:9 22:34 34:9 58:40 72:46 rates 15:44,45 26:32 29:47 61:17 62:9,9,27 68:47 73:9 84:33 106:14.16.40 rattle 20:21 re-estimation 104:47 reach 14:23 25:29 26:13 27:31,31,43,45 32:10,13,35,35 36:11 82:13 107:26 reached 15:32 25:44 26:12 27:33,43 83:32 84:2 89:25,48 91:45 **reaches** 35:27 react 28:13 reaction 4:27 65:43 read 40:3 78:40 86:39 102:32 reading 24:27 real 7:3 10:16 50:48 62:1 69:46 70:44,46 101:9 **real-time** 101:39 realistic 39:44 95:5 reality 34:13 realize 5:34 68:45 reallocation 106:23 reason 16:43 22:8 27:25 36:9 46:6,33 70:10 71:43 72:8,36 79:23 81:23 89:30

90:10 93:27.40 95:23 reasonable 76:38 84:34 reasons 37:26 53:20,21 66:21 79:24 80:15 96:16.26 rebound 44:10 rebuild 54:41,42 67:32 rebuilding 30:15 55:7 rebuilt 50:24 rec 29:33 recall 14:19 73:34 85:47 receipts 109:11 received 25:17 26:17 26:30,33 31:8 38:32 recognize 58:30 59:14 recollect 19:32 recommend 5:20 76:22 88:39 recommendation 86:1 recommendations 6:10 7:4 76:26 78:31 recommended 29:29 29:34 reconsider 84:37 reconstruct 31:14 Reconvene 3:11 record 40:16 109:32 recovered 46:6 recovery 76:25 recreational 1:29,32,33 1:46 2:6,8,12,17,20 18:3,8,14 29:28 87:40 95:45 100:23,25 104:28 105:22 106:37 recs 5:46 red 45:13,43 48:23 49:1 49:17,34 50:16,30 51:13 55:20 58:8 74:30 **reddish-** 48:13 redesign 104:47 reduce 26:29 64:16 68:4 71:35,37,41 reduced 68:18 74:11 reducing 58:43 70:42 reduction 60:12 63:21 70:24,27 73:13 87:48 88:33 reductions 68:26 redundancy 69:27 82:6 redundant 68:40 70:16 70:38 108:24 reef 103:39 reel 53:41 reevaluate 68:38,44 69:36

refer 12:40 45:8

II
reference 36:44,46
region 20:14 21:28,29 45:3,13,32 48:5 51:36 regional 26:4 27:1 45:33
regions 9:4 19:47 20:8 44:44 45:3,6,31,46 46:9,38 48:6,21,29 register 14:30 25:46 26:7,16 28:2,11,34,37 28:42,46 90:26 105:25 registration 103:27
104:39 105:23 regularly 38:22 regulated 97:46 regulation 50:17 105:24
regulations 25:26 26:28 50:7,45,47 79:20,22,34 99:47 100:11 103:9,28 107:20 108:31
regulatory 50:6 65:31 65:35 75:47 77:34 84:28 104:8 108:36 related 45:16 46:7 61:44 69:7 76:9
107:34 relates 30:21 41:26 75:26 relating 33:15 relative 47:45
relatively 79:38 release 106:32 released 95:46 relevant 76:13 89:39,40 reliant 64:39
rely 86:14 88:3 remain 26:31 27:32,42 88:15 89:23 94:32 remained 83:17 remaining 14:32 37:17
91:19 107:30 remarks 96:7

remember 14:36 24:36 35:21 36:5 56:12 60:11 70:22 71:43 83:9 85:24 **remind** 97:12 reminder 51:34 108:45 reminders 109:10 removal 72:2 remove 24:17,18 68:40 70:41 82:3 removed 43:27 73:5 removing 82:7 103:38 renew 12:47 renewal 13:1 20:6 renewals 12:44 reopen 14:25 37:12 60:21 reopening 80:12 107:29 **Rep** 1:28,30,36,40,41 1:43 2:10,16,19 report 7:19 8:41,43 9:7 9:12,12,18,21,31,40 9:45 10:2,3,5,5,6,8,18 11:42,43,44 12:12 13:27,33,48 14:2,6,7 16:33,48 18:41 19:3 19:19,39 21:18 23:22 23:27,33 24:7,11 25:40 26:2,9 27:18,19 44:19 54:9 75:20 104:46 106:3,47 reported 14:46 16:40 reporting 7:12,15,23 8:1,16,18,24,31,32,38 8:45 9:3,15 10:1,28 10:29,34,40 12:11,18 12:19,36 13:31 15:10 15:12 17:32 18:31 19:21,30 20:40 21:20 21:34 22:34 24:30,31 25:16,36 26:37 38:46 39:8,10 63:27 65:19 68:32 102:2,26 105:3 105:4,13,14 106:1,2 106:39,41 reports 8:3,8 9:37,40 10:17,26,27,48 11:9 11:20,46 12:9,11,16 12:23,27,29,39,39 13:1,2,6,9,13,24,26 13:42,45 14:5,43,44 14:46 17:13,19,21,22 17:26,26,45 18:9 19:15 20:3,11,13,14 21:20,31 22:45,46

23:41 24:12 25:41

37:6,11 68:35 104:32

**repose** 76:12.13 represent 6:41 representative 57:12 73:1 represented 90:43 request 83:13,16,38,43 84:37,39 102:1 103:20,26,29,32,45 104:11 106:25,26,28 106:30 108:26 requested 92:22 requesting 84:12 requests 107:4 require 10:28 25:27 27:10 68:41 86:36 99:30 102:27 required 7:19,20 18:41 26:9 27:13,15 38:27 68:32 74:36 80:14 requirement 8:31 25:39 26:1 75:5,7 105:29 requirements 8:20,32 8:36,38,45 9:34 17:32 18:31 21:38 23:35,37 23:43,47 38:46 39:10 68:39,40,45 69:37 78:15,20,23 102:26 103:10 106:33 108:5 requires 24:7 87:10 98:14 requiring 78:1 106:2 research 62:7.12.30.31 62:34 63:32.35 65:37 66:4,23 67:30 68:16 69:12 82:7 102:12 103:41 104:22 researchers 62:26 63:29,30 reserve 86:33 91:7,34 resist 58:3 resistance 22:5,6,18 resolution 78:47 resource 91:23 resources 58:15 60:34 61:14 86:43 87:4 107:48 respect 4:12 73:38,40 76:28 77:38 **respond** 30:31 response 31:11 55:45 71:31 responses 103:23 **responsible** 43:14 49:3 responsive 64:35 rest 52:40 55:2 109:26 restricted 61:2,20,27 62:18 65:29 68:30 restrictions 83:37

restrictive 64:11 resubmit 11:8 17:1 result 19:9 54:28 68:36 69:32 88:1 106:38 resulted 83:43 resulting 31:39 results 31:35 68:19 70:5 72:29 **resumed** 40:16 retained 42:13 43:25,31 43:46 45:34 46:11 50:13 retains 45:28 retention 38:9 70:24 return 109:12 reuse 109:13 revenue 47:27,28,29,35 reverted 83:24 85:35 review 3:26 7:48 10:13 16:17 29:8 41:19 61:30 73:19,23 75:4,4 75:6,9,16,36 76:34 78:15,16,19,22 79:41 82:11 91:26 105:39 108:29.37 reviewed 78:4 reviews 75:30 revise 25:26 revisit 78:18 82:5 revitalize 41:37 revitalizing 61:36 **Rich** 6:20,21 40:29,48 57:18 71:8 77:43 79:10 85:32 87:19 91:11 92:2 97:24 102:18 109:29 **Richard** 2:11 99:29 **Rick** 1:32 2:17 53:31,43 87:41 right-hand 73:42 ripe 21:14 67:18 risen 54:20 86:6 rising 95:9 **ROBERT** 1:33,45 2:6 rock 100:35 rocket 39:14 rod 53:41 roll 84:43 rolling 65:26 79:33 90:24 **Rom** 40:21,27 56:11,25 56:26 92:13 95:18 96:4,5,45 **ROMULUS** 2:20 roof 59:38 room 1:21 59:19 97:36 98:44,48 rooms 5:19 40:11

**ROOTES-MURDY** 2:10 scale 86:17,18 87:31 92:48.48 93:10 **serious** 87:15 scalloped 29:31 30:17 93:12.43 97:38 rough 47:12 95:31 seriously 24:22 secondarily 92:30 roughly 18:23 103:39 **SERO** 10:5 row 72:30 scan 10:47 secondly 60:1 service 6:25 86:2 Ruais 2:11 6:20,28 **SCANLON** 2:13 54:15 secret 6:30 100:45 section 15:12 75:43 57:19 71:10 77:44 55:17 56:1 60:10 serving 6:27 set 20:9,23,27 31:38 78:35 85:34 87:22 66:38 69:26 71:31 85.7 sections 61:41 97:27 80:32 41:24,33 51:18 63:26 rubber 70:18 **SCHALIT** 2:14 4:46 sector 18:3 29:28,33 64:16 66:25 68:33 rule 3:18 25:3 26:34 17:41 18:27 36:42 104:28 70:48 73:17 79:6 sectors 30:14 33:26,29 31:5 79:42 83:30,34 37:31 53:45 77:17,29 81:19 83:6 92:14 93:45 94:4 33:34 sets 44:41,41 45:2 83:44,46 86:31 102:6 102:20 108:11 95:15 security 58:26 75:19 79:3 schedule 35:16 seeing 17:17 18:2 rulemaking 3:16 25:1 setting 34:21 107:11 school 105:40 35:29 44:46 46:15 settlements 20:5 26:18 31:3 75:26 77:37 84:21 102:19 **SCHULZE-HAUGEN** 52:34,46 55:42 56:13 seven 38:6 85:39 56:18 57:48 82:14,24 102:30 107:2 2:40 6:19 18:16 22:10 **shade** 45:12 rules 18:40 74:34 95:6 29:6,15,44 31:1 35:43 82:26,27 89:4 97:4,6 **shallow** 95:31 run 83:30 88:17,17 36:8 37:15 39:47 97:10,14 98:25 **Shana** 1:48 6:33 28:30 40:18 55:44 56:19 101:41 106:37 77:43 79:11 80:29 running 5:20 11:41 60:30 70:36 71:42 seeking 31:44 51:3 75:28 85:36 98:20 108:11 78:13 79:9 85:23 seen 41:44,45 46:34 **Shana's** 80:45 81:48 runoff 104:18 101:36 50:2,12 54:1 60:6 share 31:3 34:24 41:17 runs 84:24,40 90:27 science 6:4 31:24,32 72:20 85:45 101:41 45:38 52:1 75:44 76:5 rush 4:47 5:7 32:37 35:20 39:14,37 sees 55:26 76:10,25 77:12 92:25 **segment** 79:17 rushed 93:46 66:8,9,22 67:9 107:6 shared 31:7 98:26 rushing 5:4 82:22 93:48 **scientific** 66:11,21 **segmented** 92:28,30 shareholders 74:42,47 **RUSSELL** 1:44 82:48 **seque** 60:28 sharing 31:8 34:3 77:13 seine 76:14,15 106:22 Rusty 19:28 23:3 32:1 scientists 71:13 86:23 86:4,5 33:1 38:22 97:5 98:10 select 16:8 **shark** 3:17 7:17 12:20 scoop 98:21,22 **self-** 32:44 12:21.24.28 14:21.28 S **scope** 18:4 63:23 self-reporting 106:12 15:7 25:2,21,44 26:5 scoping 65:33 **sell** 18:8 78:8 saddened 58:47 26:11,12,13 27:10,11 **selling** 19:18 47:1 safe 36:27 54:9 109:24 scoring 6:6 27:12,21,24,29,41 109:26 scrambling 80:1 99:25.45 28:1,2 29:19,25 35:31 screens 50:26 send 9:39.40 17:45 safety 95:28 99:44 36:33,34 38:5,7,10,12 108:40 109:11 **SCRS** 46:17 101:12,28 38:14 43:47 44:6 scrutinize 17:33 sense 4:6 11:23 12:14 sale 76:25 78:29 102:22 56:29 57:3,5 62:31 sale/no 102:22 scrutinized 80:10 16:36,39 17:31 54:3 102:7 103:47 104:31 Saltonstall-Kennedy scrutiny 13:22 80:14 54:42 57:6 73:14 81:4 105:17 106:30 107:3 89:25 92:20 57:44 81:48 108:45 sample 70:1,4 se 75:5 76:10 sensible 31:43 106:9 sharks 7:44 13:37 sea 88:30 106:11 14:19 17:5 24:42 sampling 104:14 SAMPSON 2:12 seaboard 99:15,18 sensitive 35:9,11 25:38 26:10 29:18 seafood 7:16 59:10 sent 11:3 20:30,38 sanctions 12:42 30:27,28 34:26 36:22 102:6 108:12 105:25 36:31 37:28 39:26 **Sandy** 46:2,4 50:22 sentiments 92:2 seas 103:12 43:37,44 44:8,11 **Sarah** 2:38 82:30,34 85:14,34 90:35 season 17:36 26:31 separate 7:42 17:4 56:32,32 61:14 27:46 32:11 37:14 18:47 19:17 21:19 Saturday 9:7 103:42 104:15 106:46 79:43 88:16,44 92:15 45:3 75:27,28 91:9 **sharp** 40:8 save 54:48 92:17 93:6 94:41 September 1:16 83:10 **sharpnose** 103:39,42 saw 30:38 39:16 44:2 95:33 102:43 87:13 108:46 109:11 sheet 85:36 56:20 59:36 69:47 series 42:37 43:4 44:27 seasonal 69:3 Sheraton 1:20 79:17 84:48 seats 40:19 45:15,19,22 46:30,33 **shift** 54:27 64:45 saying 5:5 36:31 39:43 second 16:20 27:3 28:7 47:24 48:2,26,31,35 shifted 64:37 58:1 71:27,28,28 33:10 37:46 47:27 shifting 98:5 77:31 94:2 48:41 49:2,39 50:14 says 31:13 52:25,37 48:34 50:21,30 51:13 51:17 53:21,26 60:31 **shifts** 97:18 55:37 64:47 76:1 79:1 97:41 98:18 93:2 shipped 24:9

<b>shoot</b> 6:13	sitting 17:22,23 96:29	59:29 62:46 67:3	spikes 74:16,21
shooting 14:40	situation 32:27 58:11	71:24 73:12 81:42,48	spirit 6:40
shore 6:16 88:48	95:30	82:1 85:15,22 91:8,29	split 101:21
100:32	six 26:45 28:20	92:38 93:44	splitting 102:21
short 54:27 98:1	sixth 27:40	sounds 103:18	spread 65:10
shortage 98:12	size 33:30 39:35 70:1,4	source 8:13 54:44	spreadsheet 75:38
shortened 85:40	SK 107:44	58:14 79:2 100:34	spring 1:21,22 60:39
shorter 37:43	skills 23:11	sources 7:35 41:43	61:37 67:42 69:1,43
shortfin 109:1	skipjack 7:41 49:36	42:47 47:8,12 75:38	
shot 22:32 87:31	slide 12:1 15:40 21:1	99:36 105:21	75:21,23 103:36 104:37 105:1,8
		south 23:48 24:2 39:16	104.37 105.1,6
shout 23:9 109:8	41:32 42:48 43:23 44:33,48 45:8 46:46		
show 8:48 9:41 18:26	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	45:24,36,39 48:30 57:35 89:3 90:44	Springs 104:14
39:23 46:27 75:20,41	47:41 48:28 50:8,31		<b>SSC</b> 33:12
95:10,28 106:4,16	50:44 52:19 53:33,48	92:34,42 98:5 109:25	stable 44:37 45:22
108:21	56:27,43 64:31 69:35	southeast 9:10,16	47:21
showed 30:39 31:12	72:28 73:24 77:19	12:46 18:46,48 20:7	staff 11:20 40:34
74:25 75:29,31 92:47	slides 42:45 51:34,38	35:19	109:20,23
105:42	75:41 82:35	Southern 87:7	stage 41:33
showing 15:3 16:44	slight 47:30	<b>space</b> 64:37	stalwart 6:23
74:15,41 96:39	slow 16:7 44:38 88:19	<b>spawn</b> 68:9	standard 31:22,23 76:
106:18	small 22:47 24:37,40	spawning 68:5	86:38 87:24 91:33
shown 87:1 106:14	32:16 37:29 38:9	speak 19:24 38:26	96:12 97:40
shows 44:22,33 45:6,9	43:37 46:29 50:1	speakers 36:38	standardized 46:12
47:45 48:45 51:27,35	59:48 64:23 85:5	speaking 28:35 32:40	standards 87:27
53:24 71:14 73:26	86:17 91:6 97:10 99:5	55:12 81:40 89:47	standing 7:2
74:13,28,30 79:25	100:7	spearfish 72:31	standpoint 100:24
<b>shrinks</b> 43:39	smaller 42:37 63:43	special 52:39	star 50:23
shut 100:25	smallest 12:22	species 1:6 2:24,26,28	<b>Starbucks</b> 5:20,23
side 32:3 34:6 39:31	smashing 79:40	2:30,32,34,36,38,41	start 15:33 16:2 18:2
46:20,21,48 47:2	smoke 37:10	2:42,44 8:29 9:9	41:7 42:11 43:32
81:43 99:40 108:48	smoking 52:5,15	16:17,19 18:43 27:30	51:26 92:7 98:33
sight 104:5	snack 5:21 40:13	27:41,44 30:16 35:2,9	started 8:15 26:44
significance 52:22	<b>so-</b> 70:22	35:28,31 36:48 38:13	75:39 76:19 97:32
68:24 70:27	social 51:5 97:48	39:35 41:27 43:24,30	starting 42:14 49:6
significant 68:27 70:3,5	103:24	44:43 47:28,35 49:24	<b>starts</b> 43:23
72:31,39,43,45 73:12	sold 13:47 16:22	49:27,28,30,31,47	state 1:28,36,40,41
88:4,32 101:12 104:7	solely 46:35 72:4	50:4,5 54:6 55:21	2:19 8:32,44 10:26,2
significantly 43:39	solicited 75:33	57:16 60:17 63:5 64:5	10:30,34,36 14:4,6,4
<b>Silver</b> 1:20,21	solid 7:3	67:23,24,27,33 68:19	14:46 21:18,34,39,3
similar 18:2 44:2,14	solutions 105:2	68:20,48 76:48 83:39	23:34,35,42,47 24:6
46:15 47:33 49:38	solved 32:14	88:31 102:47 103:38	27:15,18,21,24 38:2
51:19 54:1 62:31,39	somebody 17:21 24:9	103:47 105:19,30	38:30,47 39:7 84:3
73:12 74:14 80:14	71:24 72:17 80:39	106:32	105:41 106:45 107:1
simple 11:13 46:43	81:5,10,22	specific 22:42 43:27	state-based 9:33
94:28	someplace 86:13,34	51:1 55:15 67:13	statement 36:17 53:32
simplified 8:27	somewhat 44:37 45:22	68:39 107:4,14	72:2 103:3
simplify 19:23	47:20 48:15 49:38	specifically 8:25 14:19	statements 108:23
simply 5:8 46:10 48:48	<b>Sonja</b> 1:37 24:24 33:5	29:30 30:16 41:26	states 10:27,35 20:46
53:40 71:36 91:17	33:48 35:15	47:26,43 48:10 50:45	20:47 21:7,11,27,32
simultaneous 78:21	<b>soon</b> 28:36 29:1 35:44	59:30 61:9 68:21	22:1 27:10,21,23
single 9:39 19:18 20:28	40:1 103:7	90:44 104:18 107:21	34:15 38:33 39:11
20:36	sorry 4:45 16:16 24:24	107:43,45	91:27 95:40 105:4,1
<b>Sissenwine</b> 2:16 30:19	24:25 28:34 49:32	<b>specifics</b> 30:31 44:21	105:19 107:20
31:10 33:23 34:17	60:42 85:15 101:9	55:22	states' 20:45
01.10 00.20 01.17	sort 30:25,47 31:12	specs 108:45	<b>statistical</b> 45:6 51:35
36:43 52:18,36 72:27	<b>3011</b> 00.20, 17 01.12		
	32:13,44 35:5 38:16	spent 92:8	68:24
36:43 52:18,36 72:27		<b>spent</b> 92:8 <b>spike</b> 74:19,22,28,29,30	68:24 statistically 68:27

sub-allocations 91:13 **surprise** 15:21 34:5 37:3 59:2 79:33.45 statistics 11:13 98:37 101:21 submission 65:17 89:35 93:5,7,8 status 27:35 34:27 talks 57:31 surprised 88:38 36:47 62:22 63:14 submit 11:42,44 12:11 **surprising** 51:7 101:24 tall 51:23 84:23 88:13,35 90:31 12:48 13:5 20:12,13 92:3,11 107:18 20:33 21:17 23:1.33 **survey** 18:18,19 105:5 **tallies** 14:45 **statutory** 78:14,19,23 24:11 44:20 58:4 **surveys** 92:4 109:17 **tapped** 79:6 **survive** 94:15 target 33:35 36:43 stay 67:43 102:29 99:31 surviving 72:21 41:27 43:24,30 44:42 staying 93:10 **submitted** 11:43,46 stays 60:4 12:16,24,27,29 22:45 suspected 70:28 60:23 67:27 68:20,35 steadily 97:14 **submitting** 20:3 23:22 sustainably 55:9 68:48 73:3 79:36 sustained 86:44 97:41 102:47 104:42,42 steady 49:13 50:41 99:48 **subquota** 84:1,8,25 sweeping 67:24 targeted 60:17 64:4 **Steal** 60:43 switch 13:14 21:47 steer 65:35 86:12 89:24,48 91:18 104:14 105:13 43:30 50:4 Stellwagen 87:37,38 91:19.44 targeting 56:32,32 step 11:11,12 14:10,16 subquotas 82:46 switched 9:14 48:42 76:44 subregional 26:5 27:1 switching 56:44 57:16 **Tarpon** 104:14 41:9 52:6,7 60:42 **sword** 97:16 65:32 68:46 91:24 subscribed 86:20 tasked 58:35 subsequent 73:33 swordfishing 54:33 STEPHEN 1:46 team 5:42,48 11:3,5,26 steps 8:5 11:17 65:24 75:23 84:44 **sync** 10:10 60:12 subset 63:45 system 10:41,47 11:18 technically 19:2 29:14 68:44 76:31 subsistence 94:8 13:24 19:9 20:9,23 **Steve** 2:32 5:26,28 6:18 37:16 41:20,23 51:39 53:32 substantially 72:47 21:9 22:7,8 23:40 teed 28:27 55:15 57:11 substantive 76:23 24:13 78:48 106:29 tees 24:47 **Steve's** 41:7 77:40 systems 8:39 9:28 teeth 19:42,43,47 20:16 success 72:19 79:40 20:45 21:8,31 106:45 **Stevens** 75:5 84:18 tell 5:41 59:22 60:4 stick 92:19 89:15 99:38 94:35 101:6 Т stock 6:34 27:35 29:29 successful 57:39 tells 54:44 30:4 46:16 57:25 sudden 17:20.23 24:43 table 39:23,27 44:22 temperature 88:11 95:9 68:13 84:15 89:7 80:1 58:1,13,30 63:16 100:33 96:38 97:8,15 98:22 suddenly 70:46 68:26 74:40 81:38 ten 43:2,8,13,17,20 103:44 suffered 57:22 88:38 94:3 96:10.43 52:22,24,32,39,40 stocks 54:41,42 **suggested** 76:21,29 100:44 101:23,30 101:37 86:4 109:12 **stole** 60:42 tend 20:43 93:25,40 tables 31:13 76:7 79:6 **stop** 17:48 80:24 105:4 **suggestion** 68:39 89:22 tended 37:26 stops 89:44 102:27 104:13 105:23 tabulated 77:12 tent 85:25 109:12 term 42:12 59:34 98:2 **suggestions** 4:17 81:32 **TAC** 29:29,34,38,43 stormwater 104:17 82:11 108:14 33:15 story 85:28 103:32 summaries 41:43 42:2 **TACs** 30:15 straight 87:36 97:34 terms 10:17,44 15:26 straightened 72:20 76:6 tailored 67:21 18:10 21:28 30:14 straightforward 23:30 summarizes 74:40 take-away 50:43 52:5 31:43 34:48 37:48 76:38 83:13 52:16 38:12,26 39:44 52:26 summary 20:4 73:24 take-aways 51:48 57:48 63:26 71:16 **stratum** 105:6,10 streamline 103:29 82:32,42 101:35 101:44 73:6 78:43 86:16 streamlined 21:46 summer 74:28,31 82:48 take-home 74:11 101:15 taken 87:46 101:39,48 106:45 88:20 Terri 1:31 33:5 35:35 Sunday 9:6 takes 10:21 19:9 30:2 streamlining 21:14 36:37 37:36 57:46 37:6 54:24 59:3 65:47 70:7 71:27 **supply** 46:48 **streams** 65:19 strong 32:28 39:42 56:5 **support** 34:8 67:21 talk 6:1 7:34 14:18 terribly 17:46 90:45 98:17 102:20 15:23,24 31:23 36:30 terrific 70:25 57:42 70:23 72:37 82:5 102:38 105:31 107:17 49:45 58:13,22 67:44 test 4:18 70:37 73:18 80:12 107:18,25,27 108:16 tested 70:30 strongly 81:40 89:27 88:37 92:4 98:43 108:19,25 Texas 9:5 21:33 23:32 **studies** 53:8 70:28 supporting 34:11 101:5 study 62:8 68:15,27 text 50:27 talked 5:36 7:42 54:26 71:13 72:17 104:22 supports 71:27 thank 5:47 6:26,27,28 62:11 66:1 84:19 87:5 104:35,41 105:6 suppose 53:47 6:36,42,43 7:4 17:41 supposed 66:8 91:6 106:42 108:48 stuff 5:39,46 18:39 19:29 24:23 28:31 24:22 37:36 surgically 37:4 talking 6:33 7:39 18:43 29:17 30:19 31:47 surpassed 49:20 19:13 22:36 34:20,30 sub-allocation 85:44 32:2 34:1 37:34 39:46

	1	1	1
40:6,27,28,47 52:18	thoughtful 104:23	tons 37:12 43:6 48:13	triggers 33:45
52:45 54:13 60:26	thoughts 5:10 28:20	71:21,21,22 73:48	trim 5:16
69:45 73:20 76:32,36	32:48 56:40 78:10	74:1 86:5,6,8,9 97:33	trip 9:14,19,22,32 13:44
77:16 79:8 85:34	thousand 37:27 46:11	tools 71:35	13:45 23:32 37:48
89:12 90:34,35 91:47	59:34	top 24:22 30:1 35:27	38:8,12,14,20 47:28
95:16 96:2,44 97:23	thread 88:34	43:1,8,13,17,20 45:11	79:46 102:45
98:35,35 109:14,23	three 5:31,32 19:31	45:35 47:46 48:23	trips 14:31 38:5,10
109:27	28:9,19,21 29:2 36:38	52:22,24,32,39,40	47:37 94:46
	37:21,22 43:10,26	55:19	trolling 105:28
thankful 96:27,32	47:8 56:28 71:11 75:9	topic 25:11,17 60:28	
thanks 4:4 6:16,18			trophy 100:24 102:23
17:38 19:27 20:41	75:41 78:4 81:9 84:9	82:17,31 97:1	102:23
23:15 24:46 28:26	88:41,42 92:19	topics 41:6 73:30 76:9	trouble 36:13
30:18 31:48 33:1,8,36	three- 41:18	total 12:15,23,26 42:48	truck 24:9
33:38,47 35:14,15,34	three-day 26:27	43:5,18,41 44:23	true 60:16 73:1 84:21
35:36 36:19,36,37	three-year 62:8 73:19	47:18 48:48 73:46,47	87:33 89:39
37:31,33 38:2,24,37	73:22 75:3,4,5,36	74:3,43	trumping 87:27
39:28 41:22 51:39	76:34 79:41 82:10	totally 5:6 57:20 81:13	trumps 87:27
53:29,43 54:12 55:35	108:28,37	totals 16:25	try 15:33 19:23 21:11
57:17 59:3,26 60:8,45	threshold 3:17 25:2,13	touch 24:7 47:39 91:10	21:13 33:34 35:17
60:46 65:40 66:35	25:21 26:20,23,29,34	109:27	63:34 64:16 81:20
67:14,16,38 69:20	26:35,42 27:4,6,7	touched 54:29 81:11	87:35 89:1 108:13,38
70:35 72:25 73:15	28:13,20,29 29:42	tournament 103:26	trying 4:13 6:40 13:30
76:33 77:3,42 79:10	33:22 34:7 36:44 37:1	104:35,41 105:23	15:2 21:45 22:13
79:12,12 80:28,29	39:3 107:24	tournaments 104:41	24:44 31:27 36:4,27
81:25,27 89:9,10 90:4	thresholds 26:45,47	105:27	37:3,35,39 41:39 52:9
90:33 96:3,45 98:36	30:10 36:23 37:47	track 11:34 12:37,44	52:29,30 53:40 54:42
100:12 101:7,19	107:3	19:14 20:23 50:45	55:39 56:15 59:30
109:19	throw 52:30 72:23	tracks 78:21	60:31,37 63:47 66:7
themes 39:42 101:25	100:35	trade 83:38	66:16,32 69:27 78:14
theoretical 94:46	throwing 58:8	traditional 89:30,32	85:26 100:5,48
lileoretical 34.40	unowing 56.6	trauttional 09.30,32	05.20 100.5,40
theoretically 71:20	thunder 60:42	98:5	101:44
11			· ·
theoretically 71:20	thunder 60:42	98:5	101:44
theoretically 71:20 they'd 72:17 95:35	thunder 60:42 THURSDAY 1:15	98:5 train 54:24	101:44 <b>Tuesday</b> 9:8 11:43
theoretically 71:20 they'd 72:17 95:35 thick 96:24	thunder 60:42 THURSDAY 1:15 ticket 8:41 23:24,32	98:5 train 54:24 trajectory 42:16	101:44 <b>Tuesday</b> 9:8 11:43 <b>tuna</b> 3:28 7:17,40,41
theoretically 71:20 they'd 72:17 95:35 thick 96:24 thin 47:17	thunder 60:42 THURSDAY 1:15 ticket 8:41 23:24,32 60:40	98:5 train 54:24 trajectory 42:16 transactions 74:43	101:44 <b>Tuesday</b> 9:8 11:43 <b>tuna</b> 3:28 7:17,40,41 17:5 18:34 26:10,28
theoretically 71:20 they'd 72:17 95:35 thick 96:24 thin 47:17 things 5:31 7:38 9:2,14	thunder 60:42 THURSDAY 1:15 ticket 8:41 23:24,32 60:40 tickets 9:32 23:39	98:5 train 54:24 trajectory 42:16 transactions 74:43 75:1	101:44 <b>Tuesday</b> 9:8 11:43 <b>tuna</b> 3:28 7:17,40,41 17:5 18:34 26:10,28 28:41 43:36,46,47
theoretically 71:20 they'd 72:17 95:35 thick 96:24 thin 47:17 things 5:31 7:38 9:2,14 9:23 11:4,15,22,28 12:31,33,43 13:18,40	thunder 60:42 THURSDAY 1:15 ticket 8:41 23:24,32 60:40 tickets 9:32 23:39 tight 104:25	98:5 train 54:24 trajectory 42:16 transactions 74:43 75:1 transcript 101:43	101:44 <b>Tuesday</b> 9:8 11:43 <b>tuna</b> 3:28 7:17,40,41 17:5 18:34 26:10,28 28:41 43:36,46,47 44:6 49:32 57:40
theoretically 71:20 they'd 72:17 95:35 thick 96:24 thin 47:17 things 5:31 7:38 9:2,14 9:23 11:4,15,22,28 12:31,33,43 13:18,40 13:44 16:6,24,36,40	thunder 60:42 THURSDAY 1:15 ticket 8:41 23:24,32 60:40 tickets 9:32 23:39 tight 104:25 tightening 100:8 time/area 61:8 62:4	98:5 train 54:24 trajectory 42:16 transactions 74:43 75:1 transcript 101:43 transfer 84:27 90:48 91:7	101:44 <b>Tuesday</b> 9:8 11:43 <b>tuna</b> 3:28 7:17,40,41 17:5 18:34 26:10,28 28:41 43:36,46,47 44:6 49:32 57:40 61:10 64:17 68:4,6,17 68:24,48 73:25 76:42
theoretically 71:20 they'd 72:17 95:35 thick 96:24 thin 47:17 things 5:31 7:38 9:2,14 9:23 11:4,15,22,28 12:31,33,43 13:18,40	thunder 60:42 THURSDAY 1:15 ticket 8:41 23:24,32 60:40 tickets 9:32 23:39 tight 104:25 tightening 100:8	98:5 train 54:24 trajectory 42:16 transactions 74:43 75:1 transcript 101:43 transfer 84:27 90:48	101:44 <b>Tuesday</b> 9:8 11:43 <b>tuna</b> 3:28 7:17,40,41 17:5 18:34 26:10,28 28:41 43:36,46,47 44:6 49:32 57:40 61:10 64:17 68:4,6,17
theoretically 71:20 they'd 72:17 95:35 thick 96:24 thin 47:17 things 5:31 7:38 9:2,14 9:23 11:4,15,22,28 12:31,33,43 13:18,40 13:44 16:6,24,36,40 17:7,10,11,25 19:45	thunder 60:42 THURSDAY 1:15 ticket 8:41 23:24,32 60:40 tickets 9:32 23:39 tight 104:25 tightening 100:8 time/area 61:8 62:4 timeframes 37:43	98:5 train 54:24 trajectory 42:16 transactions 74:43 75:1 transcript 101:43 transfer 84:27 90:48 91:7 transfers 84:29 102:43	101:44 <b>Tuesday</b> 9:8 11:43 <b>tuna</b> 3:28 7:17,40,41 17:5 18:34 26:10,28 28:41 43:36,46,47 44:6 49:32 57:40 61:10 64:17 68:4,6,17 68:24,48 73:25 76:42 82:32 85:28 88:2
theoretically 71:20 they'd 72:17 95:35 thick 96:24 thin 47:17 things 5:31 7:38 9:2,14 9:23 11:4,15,22,28 12:31,33,43 13:18,40 13:44 16:6,24,36,40 17:7,10,11,25 19:45 21:6,27 23:23 28:47	thunder 60:42 THURSDAY 1:15 ticket 8:41 23:24,32 60:40 tickets 9:32 23:39 tight 104:25 tightening 100:8 time/area 61:8 62:4 timeframes 37:43 timelines 30:15	98:5 train 54:24 trajectory 42:16 transactions 74:43 75:1 transcript 101:43 transfer 84:27 90:48 91:7 transfers 84:29 102:43 transit 36:5 transition 29:11 60:46	101:44 <b>Tuesday</b> 9:8 11:43 <b>tuna</b> 3:28 7:17,40,41 17:5 18:34 26:10,28 28:41 43:36,46,47 44:6 49:32 57:40 61:10 64:17 68:4,6,17 68:24,48 73:25 76:42 82:32 85:28 88:2 94:12,13,22 99:19,26
theoretically 71:20 they'd 72:17 95:35 thick 96:24 thin 47:17 things 5:31 7:38 9:2,14 9:23 11:4,15,22,28 12:31,33,43 13:18,40 13:44 16:6,24,36,40 17:7,10,11,25 19:45 21:6,27 23:23 28:47 30:42 31:12 33:15,42	thunder 60:42 THURSDAY 1:15 ticket 8:41 23:24,32 60:40 tickets 9:32 23:39 tight 104:25 tightening 100:8 time/area 61:8 62:4 timeframes 37:43 timelines 30:15 timely 8:3 10:40 11:39	98:5 train 54:24 trajectory 42:16 transactions 74:43 75:1 transcript 101:43 transfer 84:27 90:48 91:7 transfers 84:29 102:43 transit 36:5	101:44 <b>Tuesday</b> 9:8 11:43 <b>tuna</b> 3:28 7:17,40,41 17:5 18:34 26:10,28 28:41 43:36,46,47 44:6 49:32 57:40 61:10 64:17 68:4,6,17 68:24,48 73:25 76:42 82:32 85:28 88:2 94:12,13,22 99:19,26 100:17,19 102:12
theoretically 71:20 they'd 72:17 95:35 thick 96:24 thin 47:17 things 5:31 7:38 9:2,14 9:23 11:4,15,22,28 12:31,33,43 13:18,40 13:44 16:6,24,36,40 17:7,10,11,25 19:45 21:6,27 23:23 28:47 30:42 31:12 33:15,42 36:39 42:26,28,31 43:12 52:1,2,3 57:48	thunder 60:42 THURSDAY 1:15 ticket 8:41 23:24,32 60:40 tickets 9:32 23:39 tight 104:25 tightening 100:8 time/area 61:8 62:4 timeframes 37:43 timelines 30:15 timely 8:3 10:40 11:39 13:10 36:35 89:19,40	98:5 train 54:24 trajectory 42:16 transactions 74:43 75:1 transcript 101:43 transfer 84:27 90:48 91:7 transfers 84:29 102:43 transit 36:5 transition 29:11 60:46 transitioning 71:48	101:44 Tuesday 9:8 11:43 tuna 3:28 7:17,40,41 17:5 18:34 26:10,28 28:41 43:36,46,47 44:6 49:32 57:40 61:10 64:17 68:4,6,17 68:24,48 73:25 76:42 82:32 85:28 88:2 94:12,13,22 99:19,26 100:17,19 102:12 105:39
theoretically 71:20 they'd 72:17 95:35 thick 96:24 thin 47:17 things 5:31 7:38 9:2,14 9:23 11:4,15,22,28 12:31,33,43 13:18,40 13:44 16:6,24,36,40 17:7,10,11,25 19:45 21:6,27 23:23 28:47 30:42 31:12 33:15,42 36:39 42:26,28,31 43:12 52:1,2,3 57:48 59:1,24,39 60:2,13,36	thunder 60:42 THURSDAY 1:15 ticket 8:41 23:24,32 60:40 tickets 9:32 23:39 tight 104:25 tightening 100:8 time/area 61:8 62:4 timeframes 37:43 timelines 30:15 timely 8:3 10:40 11:39 13:10 36:35 89:19,40 times 6:39 53:21 57:30	98:5 train 54:24 trajectory 42:16 transactions 74:43 75:1 transcript 101:43 transfer 84:27 90:48 91:7 transfers 84:29 102:43 transit 36:5 transition 29:11 60:46 transitioning 71:48 transparency 33:13 travel 36:5 106:34	101:44 Tuesday 9:8 11:43 tuna 3:28 7:17,40,41 17:5 18:34 26:10,28 28:41 43:36,46,47 44:6 49:32 57:40 61:10 64:17 68:4,6,17 68:24,48 73:25 76:42 82:32 85:28 88:2 94:12,13,22 99:19,26 100:17,19 102:12 105:39 tunas 7:41 13:38 44:12
theoretically 71:20 they'd 72:17 95:35 thick 96:24 thin 47:17 things 5:31 7:38 9:2,14 9:23 11:4,15,22,28 12:31,33,43 13:18,40 13:44 16:6,24,36,40 17:7,10,11,25 19:45 21:6,27 23:23 28:47 30:42 31:12 33:15,42 36:39 42:26,28,31 43:12 52:1,2,3 57:48 59:1,24,39 60:2,13,36 62:2 64:30,31 66:13	thunder 60:42 THURSDAY 1:15 ticket 8:41 23:24,32 60:40 tickets 9:32 23:39 tight 104:25 tightening 100:8 time/area 61:8 62:4 timeframes 37:43 timelines 30:15 timely 8:3 10:40 11:39 13:10 36:35 89:19,40 times 6:39 53:21 57:30 59:24 85:1 92:40 93:18 95:31	98:5 train 54:24 trajectory 42:16 transactions 74:43 75:1 transcript 101:43 transfer 84:27 90:48 91:7 transfers 84:29 102:43 transit 36:5 transition 29:11 60:46 transitioning 71:48 transparency 33:13	101:44 Tuesday 9:8 11:43 tuna 3:28 7:17,40,41 17:5 18:34 26:10,28 28:41 43:36,46,47 44:6 49:32 57:40 61:10 64:17 68:4,6,17 68:24,48 73:25 76:42 82:32 85:28 88:2 94:12,13,22 99:19,26 100:17,19 102:12 105:39 tunas 7:41 13:38 44:12 84:17 106:36 tuned 102:29
theoretically 71:20 they'd 72:17 95:35 thick 96:24 thin 47:17 things 5:31 7:38 9:2,14 9:23 11:4,15,22,28 12:31,33,43 13:18,40 13:44 16:6,24,36,40 17:7,10,11,25 19:45 21:6,27 23:23 28:47 30:42 31:12 33:15,42 36:39 42:26,28,31 43:12 52:1,2,3 57:48 59:1,24,39 60:2,13,36 62:2 64:30,31 66:13 66:18 69:10,18 70:5	thunder 60:42 THURSDAY 1:15 ticket 8:41 23:24,32 60:40 tickets 9:32 23:39 tight 104:25 tightening 100:8 time/area 61:8 62:4 timeframes 37:43 timelines 30:15 timely 8:3 10:40 11:39 13:10 36:35 89:19,40 times 6:39 53:21 57:30 59:24 85:1 92:40 93:18 95:31 timing 28:14	98:5 train 54:24 trajectory 42:16 transactions 74:43 75:1 transcript 101:43 transfer 84:27 90:48 91:7 transfers 84:29 102:43 transit 36:5 transition 29:11 60:46 transitioning 71:48 transparency 33:13 travel 36:5 106:34 travels 109:24,27 tree 8:29	101:44 Tuesday 9:8 11:43 tuna 3:28 7:17,40,41 17:5 18:34 26:10,28 28:41 43:36,46,47 44:6 49:32 57:40 61:10 64:17 68:4,6,17 68:24,48 73:25 76:42 82:32 85:28 88:2 94:12,13,22 99:19,26 100:17,19 102:12 105:39 tunas 7:41 13:38 44:12 84:17 106:36 tuned 102:29 turn 82:16 104:48
theoretically 71:20 they'd 72:17 95:35 thick 96:24 thin 47:17 things 5:31 7:38 9:2,14 9:23 11:4,15,22,28 12:31,33,43 13:18,40 13:44 16:6,24,36,40 17:7,10,11,25 19:45 21:6,27 23:23 28:47 30:42 31:12 33:15,42 36:39 42:26,28,31 43:12 52:1,2,3 57:48 59:1,24,39 60:2,13,36 62:2 64:30,31 66:13 66:18 69:10,18 70:5 70:38 76:44 78:24	thunder 60:42 THURSDAY 1:15 ticket 8:41 23:24,32 60:40 tickets 9:32 23:39 tight 104:25 tightening 100:8 time/area 61:8 62:4 timeframes 37:43 timelines 30:15 timely 8:3 10:40 11:39 13:10 36:35 89:19,40 times 6:39 53:21 57:30 59:24 85:1 92:40 93:18 95:31 timing 28:14 today 7:14,45 25:11	98:5 train 54:24 trajectory 42:16 transactions 74:43 75:1 transcript 101:43 transfer 84:27 90:48 91:7 transfers 84:29 102:43 transit 36:5 transition 29:11 60:46 transitioning 71:48 transparency 33:13 travel 36:5 106:34 travels 109:24,27 tree 8:29 trees 52:8	101:44 Tuesday 9:8 11:43 tuna 3:28 7:17,40,41 17:5 18:34 26:10,28 28:41 43:36,46,47 44:6 49:32 57:40 61:10 64:17 68:4,6,17 68:24,48 73:25 76:42 82:32 85:28 88:2 94:12,13,22 99:19,26 100:17,19 102:12 105:39 tunas 7:41 13:38 44:12 84:17 106:36 tuned 102:29 turn 82:16 104:48 turnaround 102:47
theoretically 71:20 they'd 72:17 95:35 thick 96:24 thin 47:17 things 5:31 7:38 9:2,14 9:23 11:4,15,22,28 12:31,33,43 13:18,40 13:44 16:6,24,36,40 17:7,10,11,25 19:45 21:6,27 23:23 28:47 30:42 31:12 33:15,42 36:39 42:26,28,31 43:12 52:1,2,3 57:48 59:1,24,39 60:2,13,36 62:2 64:30,31 66:13 66:18 69:10,18 70:5 70:38 76:44 78:24 81:43 84:47 86:18	thunder 60:42 THURSDAY 1:15 ticket 8:41 23:24,32 60:40 tickets 9:32 23:39 tight 104:25 tightening 100:8 time/area 61:8 62:4 timeframes 37:43 timelines 30:15 timely 8:3 10:40 11:39 13:10 36:35 89:19,40 times 6:39 53:21 57:30 59:24 85:1 92:40 93:18 95:31 timing 28:14 today 7:14,45 25:11 28:18 34:8 53:14 55:3	98:5 train 54:24 trajectory 42:16 transactions 74:43 75:1 transcript 101:43 transfer 84:27 90:48 91:7 transfers 84:29 102:43 transit 36:5 transition 29:11 60:46 transitioning 71:48 transparency 33:13 travel 36:5 106:34 travels 109:24,27 tree 8:29 trees 52:8 treetops 101:45	101:44 Tuesday 9:8 11:43 tuna 3:28 7:17,40,41 17:5 18:34 26:10,28 28:41 43:36,46,47 44:6 49:32 57:40 61:10 64:17 68:4,6,17 68:24,48 73:25 76:42 82:32 85:28 88:2 94:12,13,22 99:19,26 100:17,19 102:12 105:39 tunas 7:41 13:38 44:12 84:17 106:36 tuned 102:29 turn 82:16 104:48
theoretically 71:20 they'd 72:17 95:35 thick 96:24 thin 47:17 things 5:31 7:38 9:2,14 9:23 11:4,15,22,28 12:31,33,43 13:18,40 13:44 16:6,24,36,40 17:7,10,11,25 19:45 21:6,27 23:23 28:47 30:42 31:12 33:15,42 36:39 42:26,28,31 43:12 52:1,2,3 57:48 59:1,24,39 60:2,13,36 62:2 64:30,31 66:13 66:18 69:10,18 70:5 70:38 76:44 78:24 81:43 84:47 86:18 90:20 91:11 95:21	thunder 60:42 THURSDAY 1:15 ticket 8:41 23:24,32 60:40 tickets 9:32 23:39 tight 104:25 tightening 100:8 time/area 61:8 62:4 timeframes 37:43 timelines 30:15 timely 8:3 10:40 11:39 13:10 36:35 89:19,40 times 6:39 53:21 57:30 59:24 85:1 92:40 93:18 95:31 timing 28:14 today 7:14,45 25:11 28:18 34:8 53:14 55:3 85:45 100:47 104:1	98:5 train 54:24 trajectory 42:16 transactions 74:43 75:1 transcript 101:43 transfer 84:27 90:48 91:7 transfers 84:29 102:43 transit 36:5 transition 29:11 60:46 transitioning 71:48 transparency 33:13 travel 36:5 106:34 travels 109:24,27 tree 8:29 trees 52:8 treetops 101:45 tremendous 52:44 77:8	101:44 Tuesday 9:8 11:43 tuna 3:28 7:17,40,41 17:5 18:34 26:10,28 28:41 43:36,46,47 44:6 49:32 57:40 61:10 64:17 68:4,6,17 68:24,48 73:25 76:42 82:32 85:28 88:2 94:12,13,22 99:19,26 100:17,19 102:12 105:39 tunas 7:41 13:38 44:12 84:17 106:36 tuned 102:29 turn 82:16 104:48 turnaround 102:47 turning 102:16 106:27 turtles 70:25
theoretically 71:20 they'd 72:17 95:35 thick 96:24 thin 47:17 things 5:31 7:38 9:2,14 9:23 11:4,15,22,28 12:31,33,43 13:18,40 13:44 16:6,24,36,40 17:7,10,11,25 19:45 21:6,27 23:23 28:47 30:42 31:12 33:15,42 36:39 42:26,28,31 43:12 52:1,2,3 57:48 59:1,24,39 60:2,13,36 62:2 64:30,31 66:13 66:18 69:10,18 70:5 70:38 76:44 78:24 81:43 84:47 86:18 90:20 91:11 95:21 103:19 107:23	thunder 60:42 THURSDAY 1:15 ticket 8:41 23:24,32 60:40 tickets 9:32 23:39 tight 104:25 tightening 100:8 time/area 61:8 62:4 timeframes 37:43 timelines 30:15 timely 8:3 10:40 11:39 13:10 36:35 89:19,40 times 6:39 53:21 57:30 59:24 85:1 92:40 93:18 95:31 timing 28:14 today 7:14,45 25:11 28:18 34:8 53:14 55:3 85:45 100:47 104:1 toggle 32:4	98:5 train 54:24 trajectory 42:16 transactions 74:43 75:1 transcript 101:43 transfer 84:27 90:48 91:7 transfers 84:29 102:43 transit 36:5 transition 29:11 60:46 transitioning 71:48 transparency 33:13 travel 36:5 106:34 travels 109:24,27 tree 8:29 trees 52:8 treetops 101:45 tremendous 52:44 77:8 trend 41:10 43:48 44:10	101:44 Tuesday 9:8 11:43 tuna 3:28 7:17,40,41 17:5 18:34 26:10,28 28:41 43:36,46,47 44:6 49:32 57:40 61:10 64:17 68:4,6,17 68:24,48 73:25 76:42 82:32 85:28 88:2 94:12,13,22 99:19,26 100:17,19 102:12 105:39 tunas 7:41 13:38 44:12 84:17 106:36 tuned 102:29 turn 82:16 104:48 turnaround 102:47 turning 102:16 106:27 turtles 70:25 tweaks 76:23
theoretically 71:20 they'd 72:17 95:35 thick 96:24 thin 47:17 things 5:31 7:38 9:2,14 9:23 11:4,15,22,28 12:31,33,43 13:18,40 13:44 16:6,24,36,40 17:7,10,11,25 19:45 21:6,27 23:23 28:47 30:42 31:12 33:15,42 36:39 42:26,28,31 43:12 52:1,2,3 57:48 59:1,24,39 60:2,13,36 62:2 64:30,31 66:13 66:18 69:10,18 70:5 70:38 76:44 78:24 81:43 84:47 86:18 90:20 91:11 95:21 103:19 107:23 third 27:5 28:9 47:32	thunder 60:42 THURSDAY 1:15 ticket 8:41 23:24,32 60:40 tickets 9:32 23:39 tight 104:25 tightening 100:8 time/area 61:8 62:4 timeframes 37:43 timelines 30:15 timely 8:3 10:40 11:39 13:10 36:35 89:19,40 times 6:39 53:21 57:30 59:24 85:1 92:40 93:18 95:31 timing 28:14 today 7:14,45 25:11 28:18 34:8 53:14 55:3 85:45 100:47 104:1 toggle 32:4 told 34:38 40:22 87:31	98:5 train 54:24 trajectory 42:16 transactions 74:43 75:1 transcript 101:43 transfer 84:27 90:48 91:7 transfers 84:29 102:43 transit 36:5 transition 29:11 60:46 transitioning 71:48 transparency 33:13 travel 36:5 106:34 travels 109:24,27 tree 8:29 trees 52:8 treetops 101:45 tremendous 52:44 77:8 trend 41:10 43:48 44:10 44:14 46:15,28 47:33	101:44 Tuesday 9:8 11:43 tuna 3:28 7:17,40,41 17:5 18:34 26:10,28 28:41 43:36,46,47 44:6 49:32 57:40 61:10 64:17 68:4,6,17 68:24,48 73:25 76:42 82:32 85:28 88:2 94:12,13,22 99:19,26 100:17,19 102:12 105:39 tunas 7:41 13:38 44:12 84:17 106:36 tuned 102:29 turn 82:16 104:48 turnaround 102:47 turning 102:16 106:27 turtles 70:25 tweaks 76:23 twice 21:25 23:23,28
theoretically 71:20 they'd 72:17 95:35 thick 96:24 thin 47:17 things 5:31 7:38 9:2,14 9:23 11:4,15,22,28 12:31,33,43 13:18,40 13:44 16:6,24,36,40 17:7,10,11,25 19:45 21:6,27 23:23 28:47 30:42 31:12 33:15,42 36:39 42:26,28,31 43:12 52:1,2,3 57:48 59:1,24,39 60:2,13,36 62:2 64:30,31 66:13 66:18 69:10,18 70:5 70:38 76:44 78:24 81:43 84:47 86:18 90:20 91:11 95:21 103:19 107:23 third 27:5 28:9 47:32 49:4 50:22 51:14	thunder 60:42 THURSDAY 1:15 ticket 8:41 23:24,32 60:40 tickets 9:32 23:39 tight 104:25 tightening 100:8 time/area 61:8 62:4 timeframes 37:43 timelines 30:15 timely 8:3 10:40 11:39 13:10 36:35 89:19,40 times 6:39 53:21 57:30 59:24 85:1 92:40 93:18 95:31 timing 28:14 today 7:14,45 25:11 28:18 34:8 53:14 55:3 85:45 100:47 104:1 toggle 32:4 told 34:38 40:22 87:31 94:23	98:5 train 54:24 trajectory 42:16 transactions 74:43 75:1 transcript 101:43 transfer 84:27 90:48 91:7 transfers 84:29 102:43 transit 36:5 transition 29:11 60:46 transitioning 71:48 transparency 33:13 travel 36:5 106:34 travels 109:24,27 tree 8:29 trees 52:8 treetops 101:45 tremendous 52:44 77:8 trend 41:10 43:48 44:10 44:14 46:15,28 47:33 49:38 51:22,25 54:2	101:44 Tuesday 9:8 11:43 tuna 3:28 7:17,40,41 17:5 18:34 26:10,28 28:41 43:36,46,47 44:6 49:32 57:40 61:10 64:17 68:4,6,17 68:24,48 73:25 76:42 82:32 85:28 88:2 94:12,13,22 99:19,26 100:17,19 102:12 105:39 tunas 7:41 13:38 44:12 84:17 106:36 tuned 102:29 turn 82:16 104:48 turnaround 102:47 turning 102:16 106:27 turtles 70:25 tweaks 76:23 twice 21:25 23:23,28 twisted 6:7
theoretically 71:20 they'd 72:17 95:35 thick 96:24 thin 47:17 things 5:31 7:38 9:2,14 9:23 11:4,15,22,28 12:31,33,43 13:18,40 13:44 16:6,24,36,40 17:7,10,11,25 19:45 21:6,27 23:23 28:47 30:42 31:12 33:15,42 36:39 42:26,28,31 43:12 52:1,2,3 57:48 59:1,24,39 60:2,13,36 62:2 64:30,31 66:13 66:18 69:10,18 70:5 70:38 76:44 78:24 81:43 84:47 86:18 90:20 91:11 95:21 103:19 107:23 third 27:5 28:9 47:32 49:4 50:22 51:14 73:45	thunder 60:42 THURSDAY 1:15 ticket 8:41 23:24,32 60:40 tickets 9:32 23:39 tight 104:25 tightening 100:8 time/area 61:8 62:4 timeframes 37:43 timelines 30:15 timely 8:3 10:40 11:39 13:10 36:35 89:19,40 times 6:39 53:21 57:30 59:24 85:1 92:40 93:18 95:31 timing 28:14 today 7:14,45 25:11 28:18 34:8 53:14 55:3 85:45 100:47 104:1 toggle 32:4 told 34:38 40:22 87:31 94:23 tolerance 93:38	98:5 train 54:24 trajectory 42:16 transactions 74:43 75:1 transcript 101:43 transfer 84:27 90:48 91:7 transfers 84:29 102:43 transit 36:5 transition 29:11 60:46 transitioning 71:48 transparency 33:13 travel 36:5 106:34 travels 109:24,27 tree 8:29 trees 52:8 treetops 101:45 tremendous 52:44 77:8 trend 41:10 43:48 44:10 44:14 46:15,28 47:33 49:38 51:22,25 54:2 54:10 74:46 93:18,30	101:44 Tuesday 9:8 11:43 tuna 3:28 7:17,40,41 17:5 18:34 26:10,28 28:41 43:36,46,47 44:6 49:32 57:40 61:10 64:17 68:4,6,17 68:24,48 73:25 76:42 82:32 85:28 88:2 94:12,13,22 99:19,26 100:17,19 102:12 105:39 tunas 7:41 13:38 44:12 84:17 106:36 tuned 102:29 turn 82:16 104:48 turnaround 102:47 turning 102:16 106:27 turtles 70:25 tweaks 76:23 twice 21:25 23:23,28 twisted 6:7 two 4:35 12:26 13:30
theoretically 71:20 they'd 72:17 95:35 thick 96:24 thin 47:17 things 5:31 7:38 9:2,14 9:23 11:4,15,22,28 12:31,33,43 13:18,40 13:44 16:6,24,36,40 17:7,10,11,25 19:45 21:6,27 23:23 28:47 30:42 31:12 33:15,42 36:39 42:26,28,31 43:12 52:1,2,3 57:48 59:1,24,39 60:2,13,36 62:2 64:30,31 66:13 66:18 69:10,18 70:5 70:38 76:44 78:24 81:43 84:47 86:18 90:20 91:11 95:21 103:19 107:23 third 27:5 28:9 47:32 49:4 50:22 51:14 73:45 thorough 35:7	thunder 60:42 THURSDAY 1:15 ticket 8:41 23:24,32 60:40 tickets 9:32 23:39 tight 104:25 tightening 100:8 time/area 61:8 62:4 timeframes 37:43 timelines 30:15 timely 8:3 10:40 11:39 13:10 36:35 89:19,40 times 6:39 53:21 57:30 59:24 85:1 92:40 93:18 95:31 timing 28:14 today 7:14,45 25:11 28:18 34:8 53:14 55:3 85:45 100:47 104:1 toggle 32:4 told 34:38 40:22 87:31 94:23 tolerance 93:38 Tom 2:42 41:17 73:17	98:5 train 54:24 trajectory 42:16 transactions 74:43 75:1 transcript 101:43 transfer 84:27 90:48 91:7 transfers 84:29 102:43 transit 36:5 transition 29:11 60:46 transitioning 71:48 transparency 33:13 travel 36:5 106:34 travels 109:24,27 tree 8:29 trees 52:8 treetops 101:45 tremendous 52:44 77:8 trend 41:10 43:48 44:10 44:14 46:15,28 47:33 49:38 51:22,25 54:2 54:10 74:46 93:18,30 trends 41:28 69:47 70:2	101:44 Tuesday 9:8 11:43 tuna 3:28 7:17,40,41 17:5 18:34 26:10,28 28:41 43:36,46,47 44:6 49:32 57:40 61:10 64:17 68:4,6,17 68:24,48 73:25 76:42 82:32 85:28 88:2 94:12,13,22 99:19,26 100:17,19 102:12 105:39 tunas 7:41 13:38 44:12 84:17 106:36 tuned 102:29 turn 82:16 104:48 turnaround 102:47 turning 102:16 106:27 turtles 70:25 tweaks 76:23 twice 21:25 23:23,28 twisted 6:7 two 4:35 12:26 13:30 18:30 19:17,30 20:24
theoretically 71:20 they'd 72:17 95:35 thick 96:24 thin 47:17 things 5:31 7:38 9:2,14 9:23 11:4,15,22,28 12:31,33,43 13:18,40 13:44 16:6,24,36,40 17:7,10,11,25 19:45 21:6,27 23:23 28:47 30:42 31:12 33:15,42 36:39 42:26,28,31 43:12 52:1,2,3 57:48 59:1,24,39 60:2,13,36 62:2 64:30,31 66:13 66:18 69:10,18 70:5 70:38 76:44 78:24 81:43 84:47 86:18 90:20 91:11 95:21 103:19 107:23 third 27:5 28:9 47:32 49:4 50:22 51:14 73:45 thorough 35:7 thought 20:17 37:46	thunder 60:42 THURSDAY 1:15 ticket 8:41 23:24,32 60:40 tickets 9:32 23:39 tight 104:25 tightening 100:8 time/area 61:8 62:4 timeframes 37:43 timelines 30:15 timely 8:3 10:40 11:39 13:10 36:35 89:19,40 times 6:39 53:21 57:30 59:24 85:1 92:40 93:18 95:31 timing 28:14 today 7:14,45 25:11 28:18 34:8 53:14 55:3 85:45 100:47 104:1 toggle 32:4 told 34:38 40:22 87:31 94:23 tolerance 93:38 Tom 2:42 41:17 73:17 73:21 76:33,37 77:18	98:5 train 54:24 trajectory 42:16 transactions 74:43 75:1 transcript 101:43 transfer 84:27 90:48 91:7 transfers 84:29 102:43 transit 36:5 transition 29:11 60:46 transitioning 71:48 transparency 33:13 travel 36:5 106:34 travels 109:24,27 tree 8:29 trees 52:8 treetops 101:45 tremendous 52:44 77:8 trend 41:10 43:48 44:10 44:14 46:15,28 47:33 49:38 51:22,25 54:2 54:10 74:46 93:18,30 trends 41:28 69:47 70:2 107:31	101:44 Tuesday 9:8 11:43 tuna 3:28 7:17,40,41 17:5 18:34 26:10,28 28:41 43:36,46,47 44:6 49:32 57:40 61:10 64:17 68:4,6,17 68:24,48 73:25 76:42 82:32 85:28 88:2 94:12,13,22 99:19,26 100:17,19 102:12 105:39 tunas 7:41 13:38 44:12 84:17 106:36 tuned 102:29 turn 82:16 104:48 turnaround 102:47 turning 102:16 106:27 turtles 70:25 tweaks 76:23 twice 21:25 23:23,28 twisted 6:7 two 4:35 12:26 13:30 18:30 19:17,30 20:24 22:21 24:12 25:40
theoretically 71:20 they'd 72:17 95:35 thick 96:24 thin 47:17 things 5:31 7:38 9:2,14 9:23 11:4,15,22,28 12:31,33,43 13:18,40 13:44 16:6,24,36,40 17:7,10,11,25 19:45 21:6,27 23:23 28:47 30:42 31:12 33:15,42 36:39 42:26,28,31 43:12 52:1,2,3 57:48 59:1,24,39 60:2,13,36 62:2 64:30,31 66:13 66:18 69:10,18 70:5 70:38 76:44 78:24 81:43 84:47 86:18 90:20 91:11 95:21 103:19 107:23 third 27:5 28:9 47:32 49:4 50:22 51:14 73:45 thorough 35:7 thought 20:17 37:46 38:20 56:14 60:41	thunder 60:42 THURSDAY 1:15 ticket 8:41 23:24,32 60:40 tickets 9:32 23:39 tight 104:25 tightening 100:8 time/area 61:8 62:4 timeframes 37:43 timelines 30:15 timely 8:3 10:40 11:39 13:10 36:35 89:19,40 times 6:39 53:21 57:30 59:24 85:1 92:40 93:18 95:31 timing 28:14 today 7:14,45 25:11 28:18 34:8 53:14 55:3 85:45 100:47 104:1 toggle 32:4 told 34:38 40:22 87:31 94:23 tolerance 93:38 Tom 2:42 41:17 73:17 73:21 76:33,37 77:18 79:13	98:5 train 54:24 trajectory 42:16 transactions 74:43 75:1 transcript 101:43 transfer 84:27 90:48 91:7 transfers 84:29 102:43 transit 36:5 transition 29:11 60:46 transitioning 71:48 transparency 33:13 travel 36:5 106:34 travels 109:24,27 tree 8:29 trees 52:8 treetops 101:45 tremendous 52:44 77:8 trend 41:10 43:48 44:10 44:14 46:15,28 47:33 49:38 51:22,25 54:2 54:10 74:46 93:18,30 trends 41:28 69:47 70:2 107:31 tried 21:24,26 55:15	101:44 Tuesday 9:8 11:43 tuna 3:28 7:17,40,41 17:5 18:34 26:10,28 28:41 43:36,46,47 44:6 49:32 57:40 61:10 64:17 68:4,6,17 68:24,48 73:25 76:42 82:32 85:28 88:2 94:12,13,22 99:19,26 100:17,19 102:12 105:39 tunas 7:41 13:38 44:12 84:17 106:36 tuned 102:29 turn 82:16 104:48 turnaround 102:47 turning 102:16 106:27 turtles 70:25 tweaks 76:23 twice 21:25 23:23,28 twisted 6:7 two 4:35 12:26 13:30 18:30 19:17,30 20:24 22:21 24:12 25:40 26:2,37 28:28,42 30:7
theoretically 71:20 they'd 72:17 95:35 thick 96:24 thin 47:17 things 5:31 7:38 9:2,14 9:23 11:4,15,22,28 12:31,33,43 13:18,40 13:44 16:6,24,36,40 17:7,10,11,25 19:45 21:6,27 23:23 28:47 30:42 31:12 33:15,42 36:39 42:26,28,31 43:12 52:1,2,3 57:48 59:1,24,39 60:2,13,36 62:2 64:30,31 66:13 66:18 69:10,18 70:5 70:38 76:44 78:24 81:43 84:47 86:18 90:20 91:11 95:21 103:19 107:23 third 27:5 28:9 47:32 49:4 50:22 51:14 73:45 thorough 35:7 thought 20:17 37:46 38:20 56:14 60:41 63:4 68:10 72:23	thunder 60:42 THURSDAY 1:15 ticket 8:41 23:24,32 60:40 tickets 9:32 23:39 tight 104:25 tightening 100:8 time/area 61:8 62:4 timeframes 37:43 timelines 30:15 timely 8:3 10:40 11:39 13:10 36:35 89:19,40 times 6:39 53:21 57:30 59:24 85:1 92:40 93:18 95:31 timing 28:14 today 7:14,45 25:11 28:18 34:8 53:14 55:3 85:45 100:47 104:1 toggle 32:4 told 34:38 40:22 87:31 94:23 tolerance 93:38 Tom 2:42 41:17 73:17 73:21 76:33,37 77:18 79:13 tomorrow 94:19	98:5 train 54:24 trajectory 42:16 transactions 74:43 75:1 transcript 101:43 transfer 84:27 90:48 91:7 transfers 84:29 102:43 transit 36:5 transition 29:11 60:46 transitioning 71:48 transparency 33:13 travel 36:5 106:34 travels 109:24,27 tree 8:29 trees 52:8 treetops 101:45 tremendous 52:44 77:8 trend 41:10 43:48 44:10 44:14 46:15,28 47:33 49:38 51:22,25 54:2 54:10 74:46 93:18,30 trends 41:28 69:47 70:2 107:31 tried 21:24,26 55:15 57:37	101:44 Tuesday 9:8 11:43 tuna 3:28 7:17,40,41 17:5 18:34 26:10,28 28:41 43:36,46,47 44:6 49:32 57:40 61:10 64:17 68:4,6,17 68:24,48 73:25 76:42 82:32 85:28 88:2 94:12,13,22 99:19,26 100:17,19 102:12 105:39 tunas 7:41 13:38 44:12 84:17 106:36 tuned 102:29 turn 82:16 104:48 turnaround 102:47 turning 102:16 106:27 turtles 70:25 tweaks 76:23 twice 21:25 23:23,28 twisted 6:7 two 4:35 12:26 13:30 18:30 19:17,30 20:24 22:21 24:12 25:40 26:2,37 28:28,42 30:7 31:42 36:10 38:1,11
theoretically 71:20 they'd 72:17 95:35 thick 96:24 thin 47:17 things 5:31 7:38 9:2,14 9:23 11:4,15,22,28 12:31,33,43 13:18,40 13:44 16:6,24,36,40 17:7,10,11,25 19:45 21:6,27 23:23 28:47 30:42 31:12 33:15,42 36:39 42:26,28,31 43:12 52:1,2,3 57:48 59:1,24,39 60:2,13,36 62:2 64:30,31 66:13 66:18 69:10,18 70:5 70:38 76:44 78:24 81:43 84:47 86:18 90:20 91:11 95:21 103:19 107:23 third 27:5 28:9 47:32 49:4 50:22 51:14 73:45 thorough 35:7 thought 20:17 37:46 38:20 56:14 60:41	thunder 60:42 THURSDAY 1:15 ticket 8:41 23:24,32 60:40 tickets 9:32 23:39 tight 104:25 tightening 100:8 time/area 61:8 62:4 timeframes 37:43 timelines 30:15 timely 8:3 10:40 11:39 13:10 36:35 89:19,40 times 6:39 53:21 57:30 59:24 85:1 92:40 93:18 95:31 timing 28:14 today 7:14,45 25:11 28:18 34:8 53:14 55:3 85:45 100:47 104:1 toggle 32:4 told 34:38 40:22 87:31 94:23 tolerance 93:38 Tom 2:42 41:17 73:17 73:21 76:33,37 77:18 79:13	98:5 train 54:24 trajectory 42:16 transactions 74:43 75:1 transcript 101:43 transfer 84:27 90:48 91:7 transfers 84:29 102:43 transit 36:5 transition 29:11 60:46 transitioning 71:48 transparency 33:13 travel 36:5 106:34 travels 109:24,27 tree 8:29 trees 52:8 treetops 101:45 tremendous 52:44 77:8 trend 41:10 43:48 44:10 44:14 46:15,28 47:33 49:38 51:22,25 54:2 54:10 74:46 93:18,30 trends 41:28 69:47 70:2 107:31 tried 21:24,26 55:15	101:44 Tuesday 9:8 11:43 tuna 3:28 7:17,40,41 17:5 18:34 26:10,28 28:41 43:36,46,47 44:6 49:32 57:40 61:10 64:17 68:4,6,17 68:24,48 73:25 76:42 82:32 85:28 88:2 94:12,13,22 99:19,26 100:17,19 102:12 105:39 tunas 7:41 13:38 44:12 84:17 106:36 tuned 102:29 turn 82:16 104:48 turnaround 102:47 turning 102:16 106:27 turtles 70:25 tweaks 76:23 twice 21:25 23:23,28 twisted 6:7 two 4:35 12:26 13:30 18:30 19:17,30 20:24 22:21 24:12 25:40 26:2,37 28:28,42 30:7

43:12.14 48:6 50:30 59:23 60:13,14 64:16 68:25 69:40 77:34 88:36,39,41 90:11 92:23 93:16,16,20 97:38 106:39 two-third 87:48 two-week 9:18 92:28 type 14:11 24:20 30:42 44:21 67:9,11 **typed** 101:40 types 23:20 typical 18:34 88:16 typically 86:13 93:10 typo 85:5 U

**U.S** 42:29 44:19 47:7,9 47:10,13,42,48 48:18 48:20 49:5,8,12,15,16 49:20 53:7 59:12 61:36 66:25 84:14 95:37 98:17 106:20 108:4 **U.S.'s** 48:47 ugly 50:31 ultimately 60:33 72:3 uncertainties 107:6 uncertainty 31:24,24 31:28,30,32,41,42 34:19 39:38 unclear 54:10 undershoot 33:28 understand 19:2 23:18 29:24 34:34 37:40 41:10,34 70:32 72:12 76:27 80:47 81:13 82:14 90:6 91:11,15 91:31 99:28 understood 77:31 underway 79:42 unexpected 22:34 **UNFAO** 36:45 unique 99:25 uniqueness 5:44 **United 95:40** universe 12:5 16:13 99:13 unknown 26:35 unnecessary 63:20 70:17 unquote 97:6 unrelated 46:7 unused 84:43 90:23

107:2 update 3:25 7:7,7 13:21 15:35 17:28 18:12 24:16 25:26 41:18 73:18.21 102:1.32 104:16 108:28 updated 67:33 103:33 **updates** 3:14 12:34 13:19,39 15:27 17:2 18:13 24:41 103:8 104:26,38 106:36,37 **upper** 43:40 urge 92:10 **use** 7:28,31,37 8:39,42 9:23,31 10:1 13:15 16:37 17:33 21:40,42 21:42 23:48 26:38 42:44 57:37 67:3 87:25,28 91:27 92:18 103:34 **useful** 17:47 32:5 50:25 51:37 uses 76:4 usual 22:24 101:38

utilized 31:45 91:19

utilizing 28:15 31:45

usually 11:7 17:8 32:11

35:46 99:24

utilize 8:34 67:8

96:33.35

valid 70:39

valuable 48:31,35 value 48:12,16,17 54:34 109:18 values 46:36 variable 72:48 73:9 84:20 95:23 variables 37:5 variety 9:28 63:5 various 51:44 69:41 84:13 106:21 varying 89:15 verify 66:29 104:31 verifying 80:38 versatility 81:18 versus 10:5,5 22:6 24:14 30:41 52:48 73:48 83:20 99:35 103:27 104:32 105:41 vessel 9:21 11:23 13:46 14:12 16:30,35 19:13 23:23 24:2,6,10 43:26 43:29,29,33,45 44:1,2 44:13,35,35 47:26,28 47:32,35 48:22 51:9 51:13,16,20,28,30

57:2 61:33 62:33 76:14 88:40 92:15 95:27 106:3 107:39 vessels 27:14 43:2,8,14 43:17,20,25,27 44:34 47:36,38 51:31 52:22 52:24,32,35,48 54:18 56:4,6,15,16,17,47 57:13 61:23,28 62:26 62:41,47 63:2,43 64:1 64:18,19,24,26 65:10 74:35 76:12 99:14,17 99:25,44,45 100:25 100:27 102:46 103:1 103:4 viable 26:44 video 106:30,33 view 41:25 42:10,12 54:10 60:33 93:5 viewed 63:20 vigorous 96:9 violation 103:14 Virginia 90:21 VMS 62:4 68:33 voices 105:35 volumes 58:4 **VTRs** 19:15

vulnerability 34:27

wahoo 49:35,48 68:25 72:45.48 wait 37:10 38:31 **waited** 31:6 walk 23:16 50:9 **Wally's** 23:48 **WALTER** 1:38 Wanchese 92:17,18,37 94:7,7,10,14,16 wanted 5:26,31,47 6:24 18:27 31:3 38:25 59:9 66:41 76:40 79:1 85:37 86:19 87:17 94:29,38 97:1,39 101:10 wanting 22:5 82:19 wants 55:40 71:15 94:36 warehouse 10:37 warm 93:38 warming 93:31 warning 20:39 58:7 Warren 2:42 73:20,21 77:2,10,25,36 warriors 101:15,16 wasn't 7:23 21:15 24:29 26:25 37:44 61:47 70:30 77:30

watch 29:37 59:10 106:33 water 6:11,16 16:28,29 36:12 39:7 71:13 88:11 89:6 93:29,38 95:9.12 97:4 100:27 100:32 waters 27:21,22,24 38:27,30 87:38 88:11 way 5:41 18:25 21:6 34:27 35:23,29 41:45 42:15 44:40 71:15 79:27,30,43 80:4 84:43 85:31 91:5 93:15 97:9 100:38 ways 41:37,39 52:30 67:35 75:32 80:22 97:21 98:26 weak 3:24 41:15 50:29 50:33,33,36 67:44,48 68:3,16,16,29,36,38 68:41,45 69:4,7,9,13 69:15,26,28,31,36,39 72:36 73:4 82:4 108:23.25 weakening 80:25 weather 4:9 32:7 37:18 60:3 96:17,19 weather's 16:6 **web** 17:6 web-based 9:30 21:48 Weber 2:17 53:31.32 website 18:25 103:17 wedded 21:35 weed 13:30 23:25 week 6:8,11,16 12:12 12:12 20:19 26:37 27:19 weekend 100:28 101:15 101:16 weekly 7:21 10:14 11:41,47 12:17 13:10 13:25 15:47 17:16 24:42 26:10 weeks 20:24 25:40 26:2 26:37 92:19 106:28 weigh 30:7,47 71:8 102:9 weighing 39:30 weight 13:35,36,38,38 43:6 48:13 weighted 74:45 weights 13:34 Weiner 2:14 Weiss 89:36 welcome 85:4,12 well-fed 109:15 went 9:15 11:34 30:22

unusual 52:26

up/toggle 32:4

upcoming 3:16 25:1

85:19 102:19,30

worldwide 55:21 vellowfin 7:40 49:32.37 40:16 43:41 53:36 2 worried 29:31 49:43 87:43 93:35 56:14,16,29,29 64:24 **2** 14:20 15:5 25:47 79:38 80:15 89:33 worse 57:22 95:10.11 26:18.24.30 55:27 92:18 101:40 109:32 yellowfins 40:46 96:17 **worst** 6:30 **2.210** 12:25 weren't 17:24 51:46 worth 38:11 50:10 yesterday 7:43 18:7,21 2.300 99:13 74:35 82:25 95:34 53:18 69:42 56:13 79:19 84:19 **2,400** 99:14,16 85:1 88:37,45 106:24 100:44 wouldn't 63:35 64:12 **2:30** 4:15 5:14 72:1 78:31 89:25 vield 83:23 west 32:19,29 87:38 **20** 14:39 15:1,19,21 wound 83:34 York 57:30 83:11 western 22:28 68:12 39:22,26 40:30 52:13 **Wrap** 3:36 young 51:16,21 71:18 **200** 56:15,16,22 **WESTFALL** 2:18 29:17 write-in 61:47 younger 51:29 **2000** 43:6 47:15 61:9,11 wrong 11:29 54:31 29:24,39 30:8 67:16 62:3 Ζ whatsoever 86:10 55:27 56:18 64:45 **2000s** 38:5 53:5 55:41 whichever 83:32 84:2 98:19 zero 57:1 58:40 **2001** 56:22 61:9,11 84:25.41 **2002** 48:14 X 0 whistles 66:27 **2003** 83:15,21 85:48 Whitaker 2:20 40:21,28 **2003-2005** 105:42 Υ 1 56:26,26 96:5,5 **2004** 7:25 46:37 47:16 white 68:21 72:7,30 yards 100:34,35 1,000 37:12 71:22 **2005** 42:38 82:8 year 6:31,44,46 10:38 **1:00** 4:20,24 5:22 **2006** 25:48 44:34,41 whitetip 102:4 13:9 14:25,45,47 **1:06** 109:32 51:12,28 53:1,2,4,28 wide 12:30 95:2 20:36 21:25 22:28 **1:30** 5:14 83:24 wife 6:7 24:32 27:39 32:6,21 **10** 3:31 6:9 12:29 83:10 **2007** 44:38 47:16 49:13 **WILLEY** 2:19 38:25 32:30,31 41:19 42:14 103:48 **2008** 14:20 25:47 46:39 willing 66:13 42:41 43:2,4,9 44:9 **10.5** 86:5 97:32 49:3 62:6 68:15 Wilson 2:44 7:9.11 18:6 44:23,27,34 46:5 **10:15** 40:8.10 2009 29:34 48:15 50:24 19:12,37 20:2,22 50:13 52:32 54:31 **10:17** 40:17 83:29 21:15 23:8,19 24:33 57:3 61:38 62:12 **100** 14:26,37 15:1,17 **2010** 26:36 45:15 51:14 **wind** 37:18 64:26 65:23,23 66:48 19:35,37 25:43 26:3 68:15 83:35 wings 7:2 68:7 69:40 70:10 27:45 32:10,35,36 **2011** 7:13 45:15 46:40 winter 60:2 72:16 85:19 73:34 74:26,29,35,38 33:34 39:21 56:17 48:42 49:6 50:34 68:3 75:6,21,30 79:43,48 89:14 95:22 57:1 58:24 59:47 68:7,30 83:38,44 66:17,40 81:41 86:8 wintertime 95:41 80:46 81:6,23 83:19 92:43 wise 86:22 83:20,25 85:8,41,48 98:46 100:34,35 **2011-2012** 47:21 wish 100:43 86:7,9 88:20,25,39 107:26 **2012** 42:16,39,43 44:38 wishing 101:33 91:35 93:32 96:19,23 101 3:34,37 64:26 44:39,45 45:19,26,31 wonder 73:1 98:46 99:11,26 **103** 3:32 45:39,47 46:2,3,4,22 wondered 51:47 100:31,39 102:31,44 104 56:22 46:34.45 49:17.19.40 wondering 17:44,48 103:2 105:46 106:19 107 86:7 97:33 50:14 53:22 73:26 22:33 29:26 34:35,39 107:27 **108** 64:26 74:7 92:44 77:47 96:30 year's 68:37 **109** 3:39 **2013** 7:19 19:33 26:8 word 23:14 24:24 41:1 years 6:26 19:31,35 **11:30** 36:2 42:16,40,43 43:3,13 53:46 87:32 97:26 27:36 35:22 39:26 **12:00** 5:13 43:16,33 44:3,14,45 words 6:29 40:25,30 42:46 43:10 **120** 22:31 45:23,31,40,47 46:3 work 5:8,31 10:6 12:45 43:14 50:3,36 51:19 **13** 19:34 46:35,45 47:30,33 12:46 20:6 21:27,36 56:16 58:43 60:2 61:5 **140** 22:32 48:7 49:20 50:1,15 22:15,24 35:41 36:13 69:38 73:29,32,33 15th 109:11 52:21 92:44 36:18 65:8 77:8 85:31 74:17 75:9,16 78:4 **1600s** 86:28 **2014** 19:31 26:39 43:11 101:1.2 81:9 83:15 84:9 86:47 **17th** 87:13 43:13,38,44 44:7 worked 21:26,32 91:5 86:48 88:48 91:3 **186** 11:13 46:40 50:1 73:47 105:6 92:24,28 93:3,21 **1960s** 86:21 74:14,15 84:6 92:44 working 7:12 21:46 95:45 96:29 97:13 **1983** 83:8 **2015** 19:32 43:11.48 30:36 31:3,4 35:20,32 105:43 1991 6:32 47:30,33 48:8 49:13 40:33 91:2 years' 58:21 **1993** 25:38 50:40 51:15,28 56:22 works 31:9 33:19,20 yellow 45:21,44,48 46:3 **1995** 42:14 82:45 61:19 68:30 73:32,33 101:6 46:26 47:47 48:9,33 **1996** 83:8 73:33,34,48 74:12,19 workshop 106:32 49:31,32 50:19,20,21 **1997** 83:7 74:25,27,33,35,41,48 world 4:10 57:31 50:30 1st 64:19,29 75:16 91:3 92:47

24- 33:41 25 3:18 43:15 51:11,12 51:14,18 52:20,24 27 84:42 85:40 28 53:48 28,000 22:47  3 3 72:28 77:20,21 3-Year 3:26 3,060 71:20 3,500 99:16 3:00 4:16 30 43:41 70:23 83:10 30th 64:29 31 27:39 83:16,17,21,31 83:48 84:1 90:32 315 12:7,9 31st 64:19 84:24,39,41 89:26 90:28,46 91:45 96:1,15,15,16 33 43:17 34 51:19 3rd 84:42  4 3:11,14 96:12 4:15 35:48 40 43:34 69:48 100:1 400 86:47,48 41 3:22 41.2 72:42 42 88:2 435 12:1,18 45 51:23 476 62:40 65:3 66:14 68:32 73:35 74:12 75:8 78:3,18,25 84: 70 26:29 27:6 700 43:9 72-hour 33:41 75 80:48 750 43:9  8 56:27,27 86:38,41,4 87:3,10,24 91:33 97:40 8:30 1:22 8:39 4:2 80 14:23,40 15:34,36 16:11,11 25:12,29 26:3,13,20,23,34,42 26:48 27:8,26,31,44 34:7,9 37:9 39:12,2 107:28 800 71:21 81 86:6 82 3:29 8777 1:21  9 9 53:33 9:45 5:18 9:59 40:16 90 26:23,42 27:4,8,27 27:32 32:9,34 38:44 39:30 44:27 86:8 107:25 90s 38:4	I	
42:38,41 43:11,19,48       44:15,34,39,42 46:37         47:31,34 74:7,17,22       74:29,34,41 75:16         77:23,25 85:9 91:5       73:325 85:9 91:5         2017 1:16 11:14 12:8,23       26:41 61:37 62:11         73:26 74:14,17,18,25       74:32,41 75:16,17         77:22,26 79:25 85:10       91:6 106:18         2018 69:1 75:18 77:7       108:45         2020 35:18,26       60 3:23 15:31,32 16:1         208 73:48       21st 108:46         22 32:26       7         24 10:23 107:22       7         24-33:41       75:18 43:15 51:11,12         51:14,18 52:20,24       7         27 84:42 85:40       7         28 53:48       28,000 22:47         3       372:28 77:20,21         3-7ear 3:26       3,060 71:20         3,500 99:16       3:00 4:16         30 43:41 70:23 83:10       856:27,27 86:38,41,4         30 43:49 84:41 90:32       856:27,27 86:38,41,4         850 71:22       800 71:21         80 71:22       800 71:21         80 71:22       800 71:21         80 71:22       800 71:21         80 71:22       800 71:21         9 953:33       95:45:18         90 26:23,42 27:4,8,27	<b>2016</b> 22:38 26:41 42:15	5
44:15,34,39,42 46:37 47:31,34 74:7,17,22 74:29,34,41 75:16 77:23,25 85:9 91:5 2017 1:16 11:14 12:8,23 26:41 61:37 62:11 73:26 74:14,17,18,25 74:32,41 75:16,17 77:22,26 79:25 85:10 91:6 106:18 2018 69:1 75:18 77:7 108:45 2020 35:18,26 208 73:48 21st 108:46 22 32:26 24 10:23 107:22 24-33:41 25 3:18 43:15 51:11,12 51:14,18 52:20,24 27 84:42 85:40 28 53:48 28,000 22:47  3 3 72:28 77:20,21 3-Year 3:26 3,060 71:20 3,500 99:16 3:00 4:16 30 43:41 70:23 83:10 30 4:63 30 43:41 70:23 83:10 30 4:63 30 43:41 70:23 83:10 30 4:63 30 43:41 70:23 83:10 30 4:16 31 43:29 31 51:19 31st 64:19 84:24,39,41 89:26 90:28,46 91:45 96:1,15,15,16 33 43:17 34 51:19 3rd 84:42  44 3:11,14 96:12 4:15 35:48 40 43:34 69:48 100:1 400 86:47,48 41 3:22 41.2 72:42 42 88:2 435 12:1,18 45 51:23  72:32 32:93,34 38:44 845 51:23 8777 1:21  9 953:33 9:45 5:18 9:59 40:16 90 26:23,42 27:4,8,27 27:32 32:9,34 38:44 39:30 44:27 86:8 107:25 90 s 38:4		
47:31,34 74:7,17,22 74:29,34,41 75:16 77:23,25 85:9 91:5 2017 1:16 11:14 12:8,23 26:41 61:37 62:11 73:26 74:14,17,18,25 74:32,41 75:16,17 77:22,26 79:25 85:10 91:6 106:18 2018 69:1 75:18 77:7 108:45 2020 35:18,26 208 73:48 21st 108:46 22 32:26 24 10:23 107:22 24-33:41 25 3:18 43:15 51:11,12 51:14,18 52:20,24 27 84:42 85:40 28 53:48 28,000 22:47  3 3 72:28 77:20,21 3-Year 3:26 3,060 71:20 3,500 99:16 3:00 4:16 30 43:41 70:23 83:10 30th 64:29 31 27:39 83:16,17,21,31 83:48 84:1 90:32 315 12:7,9 31st 64:19 84:24,39,41 89:26 90:28,46 91:45 96:1,15,15,16 33 43:17 34 51:19 3rd 84:42  4 3:11,14 96:12 4 4 3:11,14 96:12 4 4 3:11,14 96:12 4 43:11,14 96:12 4 43:11,14 96:12 4 43:11,14 96:12 4 43:11,14 96:12 4 43:11,14 96:12 4 43:11,14 96:12 4 43:11,14 96:12 4 43:11,14 96:12 4 43:11,14 96:12 4 43:11,14 96:12 4 33 43:17 34 51:19 3rd 84:42  4 33 43:17 34 51:19 3rd 84:42  4 33 10:22 4 10:72:42 4 2 88:2 4 35 12:1,18 4 55:1:23 4 76:43:2  9 9 53:33 9:45 5:18 9:59 40:16 90 26:23,42 27:4,8,27 27:32 32:9,34 38:44 39:30 44:27 86:8 300 71:21 81 86:6 82 3:29 8777 1:21  9 9 53:33 9:45 5:18 9:59 40:16 90 26:23,42 27:4,8,27 27:32 32:9,34 38:44 39:30 44:27 86:8 30:07:25 90 s 38:4		
74:29,34,41 75:16 77:23,25 85:9 91:5 2017 1:16 11:14 12:8,23 26:41 61:37 62:11 73:26 74:14,17,18,25 74:32,41 75:16,17 77:22,26 79:25 85:10 91:6 106:18 2018 69:1 75:18 77:7 108:45 2020 35:18,26 208 73:48 21st 108:46 22 32:26 24 10:23 107:22 24- 33:41 25 3:18 43:15 51:11,12 51:14,18 52:20,24 27 84:42 85:40 28 53:48 28,000 22:47  3 3 72:28 77:20,21 3-Year 3:26 3,060 71:20 3,500 99:24 52 72:8,31 54 51:23  60 3:23 15:31,32 16:1 83:9 600 99:25 63 73:48 67 3:26 68 3:24  7 71:16 52:19 61:20,26 62:40 65:3 66:14 68:32 73:35 74:12 75:8 78:3,18,25 84: 70 26:29 27:6 700 43:9 72-hour 33:41 75 80:48 750 43:9 72-hour 33:41 75 80:49 87:3,10,24 91:33 97:40 8:30 1:22 8:39 4:2 80 1:22 8:39 4:2 80 1:21,11 12 2:12,29 26:3,13,20,23,34,4:2 26:48 27:8,26,31,4 37:21 31 31:38 68:18 70 99:24 52 72:8,31 54 51:23  60 3:23 15:31,32 16:1 83:9 600 99:25 63 73:48 67 3:26 68 3:24  7 71:16 52:19 61:20,26 62:40 65:3 66:14 68:32 73:35 74:12 75:8 78:3,18,25 84: 70 26:29 27:6 700 43:9 72-hour 33:41 75 80:48 750 43:9 856:27,27 86:38,41,4 87:3,10,24 91:33 97:40 8:00 71:20 80 1:22 839 4:2 80 14:23,40 15:34,36 66:14,15 17 10:22 81 66:22,229 82:3,13,20,23,34,41 82:29,29 82:3,1		
77:23,25 85:9 91:5 2017 1:16 11:14 12:8,23 26:41 61:37 62:11 73:26 74:14,17,18,25 74:32,41 75:16,17 77:22,26 79:25 85:10 91:6 106:18 2018 69:1 75:18 77:7 108:45 2020 35:18,26 208 73:48 21st 108:46 22 32:26 24 10:23 107:22 24-33:41 25 3:18 43:15 51:11,12 51:14,18 52:20,24 27 84:42 85:40 28 53:48 28,000 22:47  3 3 72:28 77:20,21 3-Year 3:26 3,060 71:20 3,500 99:16 3:00 4:16 30 43:41 70:23 83:10 30th 64:29 31 27:39 83:16,17,21,31 83:48 84:1 90:32 315 12:7,9 31st 64:19 84:24,39,41 89:26 90:28,46 91:45 96:1,15,15,15 33 43:17 34 51:19 3rd 84:42  4 4 3:11,14 96:12 4:15 35:48 40 43:34 69:48 100:1 400 86:47,48 41 3:22 41.2 72:42 42 88:2 435 12:1,18 45 51:23 476 412:2 90 38:34		
2017 1:16 11:14 12:8,23		
26:41 61:37 62:11 73:26 74:14,17,18,25 74:32,41 75:16,17 77:22,26 79:25 85:10 91:6 106:18 2018 69:1 75:18 77:7 108:45 2020 35:18,26 208 73:48 21st 108:46 22 32:26 24 10:23 107:22 24-33:41 25 3:18 43:15 51:11,12 51:14,18 52:20,24 27 84:42 85:40 28 53:48 28,000 22:47  3 3 72:28 77:20,21 3-Year 3:26 3,060 71:20 3,500 99:16 30 43:41 70:23 83:10 30 4:12 80 71:21 81 86:6 82 3:29 8777 1:21  9 53:33 9:45 5:18 9:59 40:16 90 26:23,42 27:4,8,27 27:23 23:9,34 38:44 39:30 44:27 86:8 107:25 90s 38:4		<b>500</b> 99:24
73:26 74:14,17,18,25 74:32,41 75:16,17 77:22,26 79:25 85:10 91:6 106:18 2018 69:1 75:18 77:7 108:45 2020 35:18,26 208 73:48 21st 108:46 22 32:26 24 10:23 107:22 24- 33:41 25 3:18 43:15 51:11,12 51:14,18 52:20,24 27 84:42 85:40 28 53:48 28,000 22:47   3 3 72:28 77:20,21 3-Year 3:26 3,060 71:20 3,500 99:16 3:00 4:16 30 43:41 70:23 83:10 30th 64:29 31 27:39 83:16,17,21,31 83:48 84:1 90:32 315 12:7,9 31st 64:19 84:24,39,41 89:26 90:28,46 91:45 96:1,15,15,16 33 43:17 34 51:19 3rd 84:42  4 3:11,14 96:12 4:15 35:48 40 43:34 69:48 100:1 400 86:47,48 41 3:22 41.2 72:42 42 88:2 435 12:1,18 45 51:23 476 412:2 90 33:31 15:31,32 16:1 83:9 600 99:25 63 73:48 67 3:26 68 3:24  7 71:16 52:19 61:20,28 62:40 65:3 66:14 68:32 73:35 74:12 75:8 78:3,18,25 84: 70 26:29 27:6 700 43:9 72-hour 33:41 75 80:48 750 43:9 856:27,27 86:38,41,4 87:3,10,24 91:10 40:10 40:10 80 9:26:29,27 80 14:20,20 80 14:20 80 14:		<b>52</b> 72:8,31
74:32,41 75:16,17 77:22,26 79:25 85:10 91:6 106:18 2018 69:1 75:18 77:7 108:45 2020 35:18,26 208 73:48 21st 108:46 22 32:26 24 10:23 107:22 24- 33:41 25 3:18 43:15 51:11,12 51:14,18 52:20,24 27 84:42 85:40 28 53:48 28,000 22:47  3 3 72:28 77:20,21 3-Year 3:26 3,060 71:20 3,500 99:16 3:00 4:16 30 43:41 70:23 83:10 30th 64:29 31 27:39 83:16,17,21,31 83:48 84:1 90:32 315 12:7,9 31st 64:19 84:24,39,41 89:26 90:28,46 91:45 96:1,15,15,16 33 43:17 34 51:19 3rd 84:42  4 3:11,14 96:12 4:15 35:48 40 43:34 69:48 100:1 40 86:47,48 41 3:22 41.2 72:42 42 88:2 435 12:1,18 45 51:23 476 413:2		<b>54</b> 51:23
77:22,26 79:25 85:10 91:6 106:18 2018 69:1 75:18 77:7 108:45 2020 35:18,26 208 73:48 21st 108:46 22 32:26 24 10:23 107:22 24- 33:41 25 3:18 43:15 51:11,12 51:14,18 52:20,24 27 84:42 85:40 28 53:48 28,000 22:47  3 3 372:28 77:20,21 3-Year 3:26 3,060 71:20 3,500 99:16 3:00 4:16 30 43:41 70:23 83:10 30th 64:29 31 27:39 83:16,17,21,31 83:48 84:1 90:32 315 12:7,9 31st 64:19 84:24,39,41 89:26 90:28,46 91:45 96:1,15,15,16 33 43:17 34 51:19 3rd 84:42  4 4 3:11,14 96:12 4:15 35:48 40 43:34 69:48 100:1 400 86:47,48 41 3:22 41.2 72:42 42 88:2 435 12:1,18 45 51:23 476 412:2 90 3:23 15:31,32 16:1 83:9 600 99:25 63 73:48 67 3:26 68 3:24  7 7 1:16 52:19 61:20,29 62:40 65:3 66:14 68:32 73:35 74:12 75:8 78:3,18,25 84: 70 26:29 27:6 700 43:9 72-hour 33:41 75 80:48 750 43:9 85 56:27,27 86:38,41,4 87:3,10,24 91:33 97:40 8:30 1:22 8:39 4:2 80 14:23,40 15:34,36 16:11,11 25:12,29 26:3,13,20,23,34,42 26:48 27:8,26,31,44 34:79 37:9 39:12,2 107:28 800 71:21 81 86:6 82 3:29 8777 1:21  9 953:33 9:45 5:18 9:59 40:16 90 26:23,42 27:4,8,27 27:32 32:9,34 38:48 39:30 44:27 86:8 107:25 90s 38:4		
91:6 106:18 2018 69:1 75:18 77:7 108:45 2020 35:18,26 208 73:48 21st 108:46 22 32:26 24- 33:41 25 3:18 43:15 51:11,12 51:14,18 52:20,24 27 84:42 85:40 28 53:48 28,000 22:47  3 3 372:28 77:20,21 3-Year 3:26 3,060 71:20 3,500 99:16 3:00 4:16 30 43:41 70:23 83:10 30th 64:29 31 27:39 83:16,17,21,31 83:48 84:1 90:32 315 12:7,9 31st 64:19 84:24,39,41 89:26 90:28,46 91:45 96:1,15,15,16 33 43:17 34 51:19 3rd 84:42  4 4 3:11,14 96:12 4:15 35:48 40 43:34 69:48 100:1 400 86:47,48 41 3:22 41.2 72:42 42 88:2 435 12:1,18 45 51:23 476 412:2		6
91:6 106:18 2018 69:1 75:18 77:7 108:45 2020 35:18,26 208 73:48 21st 108:46 22 32:26 24 10:23 107:22 24- 33:41 25 3:18 43:15 51:11,12 51:14,18 52:20,24 27 84:42 85:40 28 53:48 28,000 22:47  3 3 72:28 77:20,21 3-Year 3:26 3,060 71:20 3,500 99:16 3:00 4:16 30 43:41 70:23 83:10 30th 64:29 31 27:39 83:16,17,21,31 83:48 84:1 90:32 315 12:7,9 31st 64:19 84:24,39,41 89:26 90:28,46 91:45 96:1,15,15,16 33 43:17 34 51:19 3rd 84:42  4 4 3:11,14 96:12 4 4 3:11,14 96:12 4 4 3:11,14 96:12 4 4 3:11,14 96:12 4 4 3:11,14 96:12 4 4 3:11,14 96:12 4 4 3:12:2 41.2 72:42 42 88:2 435 12:1,18 45 51:23 476 412:2 90 26:23,42 27:48,27 27:32 32:9,34 38:48 39:30 44:27 86:8 107:25 90s 38:4	77:22,26 79:25 85:10	<b>60</b> 3:23 15:31 32 16:1
2018 69:1 75:18 77:7	91:6 106:18	-
108:45 2020 35:18,26 208 73:48 21st 108:46 22 32:26 24 10:23 107:22 24- 33:41 25 3:18 43:15 51:11,12 51:14,18 52:20,24 27 84:42 85:40 28 53:48 28,000 22:47  3	<b>2018</b> 69:1 75:18 77:7	
2020 35:18,26 208 73:48 21st 108:46 22 32:26 24 10:23 107:22 24-33:41 25 3:18 43:15 51:11,12 51:14,18 52:20,24 27 84:42 85:40 28 53:48 28,000 22:47  3 3 372:28 77:20,21 3-Year 3:26 3,060 71:20 3,500 99:16 3:00 4:16 30 43:41 70:23 83:10 30th 64:29 31 27:39 83:16,17,21,31 83:48 84:1 90:32 315 12:7,9 31st 64:19 84:24,39,41 89:26 90:28,46 91:45 96:1,15,15,16 33 43:17 34 51:19 3rd 84:42  4 4 3:11,14 96:12 4:15 35:48 40 43:34 69:48 100:1 400 86:47,48 41 3:22 41.2 72:42 42 88:2 435 12:1,18 45 51:23 476 41:23		
208 73:48 21st 108:46 22 32:26 24 10:23 107:22 24- 33:41 25 3:18 43:15 51:11,12 51:14,18 52:20,24 27 84:42 85:40 28 53:48 28,000 22:47  3 3 72:28 77:20,21 3-Year 3:26 3,060 71:20 3,500 99:16 3:00 4:16 30 43:41 70:23 83:10 30th 64:29 31 27:39 83:16,17,21,31 83:48 84:1 90:32 315 12:7,9 31st 64:19 84:24,39,41 89:26 90:28,46 91:45 96:1,15,15,16 33 43:17 34 51:19 3rd 84:42  4 3:11,14 96:12 4:15 35:48 40 43:34 69:48 100:1 400 86:47,48 41 3:22 41.2 72:42 42 88:2 435 12:1,18 45 51:23 476 43:23		
21st 108:46 22 32:26 24 10:23 107:22 24- 33:41 25 3:18 43:15 51:11,12 51:14,18 52:20,24 27 84:42 85:40 28 53:48 28,000 22:47  3 3 72:28 77:20,21 3-Year 3:26 3,060 71:20 3,500 99:16 3:00 4:16 30 43:41 70:23 83:10 30th 64:29 31 27:39 83:16,17,21,31 83:48 84:1 90:32 315 12:7,9 31st 64:19 84:24,39,41 89:26 90:28,46 91:45 96:1,15,15,16 33 43:17 34 51:19 3rd 84:42  4 3:11,14 96:12 4 4 3:11,14 96:12 4 4 3:11,14 96:12 4 4 3:122 41.2 72:42 42 88:2 435 12:1,18 45 51:23 476 41:23	•	
22 32:26 24 10:23 107:22 24-33:41 25 3:18 43:15 51:11,12 51:14,18 52:20,24 27 84:42 85:40 28 53:48 28,000 22:47  3 3 72:28 77:20,21 3-Year 3:26 3,060 71:20 3,500 99:16 3:00 4:16 30 43:41 70:23 83:10 30th 64:29 31 27:39 83:16,17,21,31 83:48 84:1 90:32 315 12:7,9 31st 64:19 84:24,39,41 89:26 90:28,46 91:45 96:1,15,15,16 33 43:17 34 51:19 3rd 84:42  4 3:11,14 96:12 4:15 35:48 40 43:34 69:48 100:1 400 86:47,48 41 3:22 41.2 72:42 42 88:2 435 12:1,18 45 51:23 476 413:2		<b>68</b> 3:24
24 10:23 107:22 24- 33:41 25 3:18 43:15 51:11,12 51:14,18 52:20,24 27 84:42 85:40 28 53:48 28,000 22:47  3 3 72:28 77:20,21 3-Year 3:26 3,060 71:20 3,500 99:16 3:00 4:16 30 43:41 70:23 83:10 30th 64:29 31 27:39 83:16,17,21,31 83:48 84:1 90:32 315 12:7,9 31st 64:19 84:24,39,41 89:26 90:28,46 91:45 96:1,15,15,16 33 43:17 34 51:19 3rd 84:42  4 3:11,14 96:12 4:15 35:48 40 43:34 69:48 100:1 400 86:47,48 41 3:22 41.2 72:42 42 88:2 435 12:1,18 45 51:23 476 43:23		l <del></del>
24- 33:41 25 3:18 43:15 51:11,12 51:14,18 52:20,24 27 84:42 85:40 28 53:48 28,000 22:47  3 3 72:28 77:20,21 3-Year 3:26 3,060 71:20 3,500 99:16 3:00 4:16 30 43:41 70:23 83:10 30th 64:29 31 27:39 83:16,17,21,31 83:48 84:1 90:32 315 12:7,9 31st 64:19 84:24,39,41 89:26 90:28,46 91:45 96:1,15,15,16 33 43:17 34 51:19 3rd 84:42  4 4 3:11,14 96:12 4:15 35:48 40 43:34 69:48 100:1 400 86:47,48 41 3:22 41.2 72:42 42 88:2 435 12:1,18 45 51:23 476 41:23		7
24- 33:41 25 3:18 43:15 51:11,12 51:14,18 52:20,24 27 84:42 85:40 28 53:48 28,000 22:47  3 3 72:28 77:20,21 3-Year 3:26 3,060 71:20 3,500 99:16 3:00 4:16 30 43:41 70:23 83:10 30th 64:29 31 27:39 83:16,17,21,31 83:48 84:1 90:32 315 12:7,9 31st 64:19 84:24,39,41 89:26 90:28,46 91:45 96:1,15,15,16 33 43:17 34 51:19 3rd 84:42  43:11,14 96:12 4:15 35:48 40 43:34 69:48 100:1 400 86:47,48 41 3:22 41.2 72:42 42 88:2 435 12:1,18 45 51:23 476 413:2		<b>7</b> 1:16 52:19 61:20,29
25 3:18 43:15 51:11,12 51:14,18 52:20,24 27 84:42 85:40 28 53:48 28,000 22:47  3 72:28 77:20,21 3-Year 3:26 3,060 71:20 3,500 99:16 3:00 4:16 30 43:41 70:23 83:10 30th 64:29 31 27:39 83:16,17,21,31 83:48 84:1 90:32 315 12:7,9 31st 64:19 84:24,39,41 89:26 90:28,46 91:45 96:1,15,15,16 33 43:17 34 51:19 3rd 84:42  4 3:11,14 96:12 4:15 35:48 40 43:34 69:48 100:1 400 86:47,48 41 3:22 41.2 72:42 42 88:2 435 12:1,18 45 51:23 476 413:2		
75:8 78:3,18,25 84:  70 26:29 27:6  70 43:9  72-hour 33:41  75 80:48  750 43:9  75-hour 33:41  75 80:48  750 43:9  8 8 56:27,27 86:38,41,4  87:3,10,24 91:33  97:40  8:30 1:22  8:39 4:2  80 14:23,40 15:34,36  16:11,11 25:12,29  26:3,13,20,23,34,42  26:48 27:8,26,31,44  34:7,9 37:9 39:12,2  107:28  800 71:21  81 86:6  82 3:29  8777 1:21  9 9 53:33  9:45 5:18  9 9 53:33  9:45 5:18  9 9 53:33  9:45 5:18  9 9 53:33  9:45 5:18  9 9 53:33  9:45 5:18  9 9 53:33  9:45 5:18  9 9 53:33  9:45 5:18  9 9 53:33  9:45 5:18  9 9 53:33  9:45 5:18  9 9 53:33  9:45 5:18  9 9 53:33  9:45 5:18  9 9 53:33  9:45 5:18  9 9 53:33  9:45 5:18  9 9 53:33  9:45 5:18  9 9 53:33  9:45 5:18  9 9 53:33  9:45 5:18  9 9 53:33  9:45 5:18  9 9 53:33  9:45 5:18  9 9 53:33  9:45 5:18  90 26:23,42 27:4,8,27  27:32 32:9,34 38:48  39:30 44:27 86:8  107:25  90 8 38:4	,	
27 84:42 85:40 28 53:48 28,000 22:47  3 3 72:28 77:20,21 3-Year 3:26 3,060 71:20 3,500 99:16 3:00 4:16 30 43:41 70:23 83:10 30th 64:29 31 27:39 83:16,17,21,31 83:48 84:1 90:32 315 12:7,9 31st 64:19 84:24,39,41 89:26 90:28,46 91:45 96:1,15,15,16 33 43:17 34 51:19 3rd 84:42  4 3:11,14 96:12 4:15 35:48 40 43:34 69:48 100:1 400 86:47,48 41 3:22 41.2 72:42 42 88:2 435 12:1,18 45 51:23 476 13:23 972-hour 33:41 75 80:48 750 43:9  8 56:27,27 86:38,41,4 87:3,10,24 91:33 97:40 8:30 1:22 8:39 4:2 80 14:23,40 15:34,36 16:11,11 25:12,29 26:3,13,20,23,34,42 26:48 27:8,26,31,44 34:7,9 37:9 39:12,2 107:28 800 71:21 81 86:6 82 3:29 8777 1:21  9 953:33 9:45 5:18 9:59 40:16 90 26:23,42 27:4,8,27 27:32 32:9,34 38:48 39:30 44:27 86:8 107:25 90s 38:4	51:14,18 52:20,24	
28 53:48 28,000 22:47  3 3 72:28 77:20,21 3-Year 3:26 3,060 71:20 3,500 99:16 30 43:41 70:23 83:10 30th 64:29 31 27:39 83:16,17,21,31 83:48 84:1 90:32 315 12:7,9 31st 64:19 84:24,39,41 89:26 90:28,46 91:45 96:1,15,15,16 33 43:17 34 51:19 3rd 84:42  43:11,14 96:12 4:15 35:48 40 43:34 69:48 100:1 400 86:47,48 41 3:22 41.2 72:42 42 88:2 435 12:1,18 45 51:23 476 12:2  700 43:9 72-hour 33:41 75 80:48 750 43:9  8 56:27,27 86:38,41,4 87:3,10,24 91:33 97:40 8:30 1:22 8:39 4:2 80 14:23,40 15:34,36 16:11,11 25:12,29 26:3,13,20,23,34,42 26:48 27:8,26,31,44 34:7,9 37:9 39:12,2 107:28 800 71:21 81 86:6 82 3:29 8777 1:21  9 953:33 9:45 5:18 9:59 40:16 90 26:23,42 27:4,8,27 27:32 32:9,34 38:48 39:30 44:27 86:8 107:25 90s 38:4	<b>27</b> 84:42 85:40	
28,000 22:47  3 3 72:28 77:20,21 3-Year 3:26 3,060 71:20 3,500 99:16 3:00 4:16 30 43:41 70:23 83:10 30th 64:29 31 27:39 83:16,17,21,31 83:48 84:1 90:32 315 12:7,9 31st 64:19 84:24,39,41 89:26 90:28,46 91:45 96:1,15,15,16 33 43:17 34 51:19 3rd 84:42  4 4 3:11,14 96:12 4:15 35:48 40 43:34 69:48 100:1 400 86:47,48 41 3:22 41.2 72:42 42 88:2 435 12:1,18 45 51:23 476 13:2	<b>28</b> 53:48	
3 3 72:28 77:20,21 3-Year 3:26 3,060 71:20 3,500 99:16 3:00 4:16 30 43:41 70:23 83:10 30th 64:29 31 27:39 83:16,17,21,31 83:48 84:1 90:32 315 12:7,9 31st 64:19 84:24,39,41 89:26 90:28,46 91:45 96:1,15,15,16 33 43:17 34 51:19 3rd 84:42  4 4 3:11,14 96:12 4:15 35:48 40 43:34 69:48 100:1 400 86:47,48 41 3:22 41.2 72:42 42 88:2 435 12:1,18 45 51:23 476 13:2		
3 72:28 77:20,21 3-Year 3:26 3,060 71:20 3,500 99:16 30 43:41 70:23 83:10 30th 64:29 31 27:39 83:16,17,21,31 83:48 84:1 90:32 315 12:7,9 31st 64:19 84:24,39,41 89:26 90:28,46 91:45 96:1,15,15,16 33 43:17 34 51:19 3rd 84:42  4 3:11,14 96:12 4:15 35:48 40 43:34 69:48 100:1 400 86:47,48 41 3:22 41.2 72:42 42 88:2 435 12:1,18 45 51:23 476 13:2		
3 72:28 77:20,21 3-Year 3:26 3,060 71:20 3,500 99:16 3:00 4:16 30 43:41 70:23 83:10 30th 64:29 31 27:39 83:16,17,21,31 83:48 84:1 90:32 315 12:7,9 31st 64:19 84:24,39,41 89:26 90:28,46 91:45 96:1,15,15,16 33 43:17 34 51:19 3rd 84:42  4 4 3:11,14 96:12 4:15 35:48 40 43:34 69:48 100:1 400 86:47,48 41 3:22 41.2 72:42 42 88:2 435 12:1,18 45 51:23 476 13:2	3	
3-Year 3:26 3,060 71:20 3,500 99:16 3:00 4:16 30 43:41 70:23 83:10 30th 64:29 31 27:39 83:16,17,21,31 83:48 84:1 90:32 315 12:7,9 31st 64:19 84:24,39,41 89:26 90:28,46 91:45 96:1,15,15,16 33 43:17 34 51:19 3rd 84:42  43:11,14 96:12 4:15 35:48 40 43:34 69:48 100:1 400 86:47,48 41 3:22 41.2 72:42 42 88:2 435 12:1,18 45 51:23 476 13:2  98 56:27,27 86:38,41,4 87:3,10,24 91:33 97:40 8:30 1:22 8:39 4:2 80 14:23,40 15:34,36 16:11,11 25:12,29 26:3,13,20,23,34,44 26:48 27:8,26,31,44 34:7,9 37:9 39:12,2 107:28 800 71:21 81 86:6 82 3:29 8777 1:21 9 953:33 9:45 5:18 9:59 40:16 90 26:23,42 27:4,8,27 27:32 32:9,34 38:48 39:30 44:27 86:8 107:25 90s 38:4		<b>750</b> 43:9
3,060 71:20 3,500 99:16 3:00 4:16 30 43:41 70:23 83:10 30th 64:29 31 27:39 83:16,17,21,31 83:48 84:1 90:32 315 12:7,9 31st 64:19 84:24,39,41 89:26 90:28,46 91:45 96:1,15,15,16 33 43:17 34 51:19 3rd 84:42  43:11,14 96:12 4:15 35:48 40 43:34 69:48 100:1 400 86:47,48 41 3:22 41.2 72:42 42 88:2 435 12:1,18 45 51:23 476 13:2  98 56:27,27 86:38,41,4 87:3,10,24 91:33 97:40 8:30 1:22 8:39 4:2 80 14:23,40 15:34,36 16:11,11 25:12,29 26:3,13,20,23,34,44 26:48 27:8,26,31,44 34:7,9 37:9 39:12,2 107:28 800 71:21 81 86:6 82 3:29 8777 1:21  9 953:33 9:45 5:18 9:59 40:16 90 26:23,42 27:4,8,27 27:32 32:9,34 38:48 39:30 44:27 86:8 107:25 90s 38:4	· ·	
3,500 99:16 3:00 4:16 30 43:41 70:23 83:10 30th 64:29 31 27:39 83:16,17,21,31 83:48 84:1 90:32 315 12:7,9 31st 64:19 84:24,39,41 89:26 90:28,46 91:45 96:1,15,15,16 33 43:17 34 51:19 3rd 84:42  43:11,14 96:12 4:15 35:48 40 43:34 69:48 100:1 400 86:47,48 41 3:22 41.2 72:42 42 88:2 435 12:1,18 45 51:23 476 12:2  87:3,10,24 91:33 97:40 8:30 1:22 8:39 4:2 80 14:23,40 15:34,36 16:11,11 25:12,29 26:3,13,20,23,34,42 26:48 27:8,26,31,44 34:7,9 37:9 39:12,2 107:28 800 71:21 81 86:6 82 3:29 8777 1:21  9 953:33 9:45 5:18 9:59 40:16 90 26:23,42 27:4,8,27 27:32 32:9,34 38:48 39:30 44:27 86:8 107:25 90s 38:4		8
3,500 99:16 3:00 4:16 30 43:41 70:23 83:10 30th 64:29 31 27:39 83:16,17,21,31 83:48 84:1 90:32 315 12:7,9 31st 64:19 84:24,39,41 89:26 90:28,46 91:45 96:1,15,15,16 33 43:17 34 51:19 3rd 84:42  4 4 3:11,14 96:12 4:15 35:48 40 43:34 69:48 100:1 400 86:47,48 41 3:22 41.2 72:42 42 88:2 435 12:1,18 45 51:23 476 12:2	•	<b>8</b> 56:27,27 86:38,41,42
3:00 4:16 30 43:41 70:23 83:10 30th 64:29 31 27:39 83:16,17,21,31 83:48 84:1 90:32 315 12:7,9 31st 64:19 84:24,39,41 89:26 90:28,46 91:45 96:1,15,15,16 33 43:17 34 51:19 3rd 84:42  4 4 3:11,14 96:12 4:15 35:48 40 43:34 69:48 100:1 400 86:47,48 41 3:22 41.2 72:42 42 88:2 435 12:1,18 45 51:23 476 12:2		
30 43:41 70:23 83:10 30th 64:29 31 27:39 83:16,17,21,31 83:48 84:1 90:32 315 12:7,9 31st 64:19 84:24,39,41 89:26 90:28,46 91:45 96:1,15,15,16 33 43:17 34 51:19 3rd 84:42  4 4 3:11,14 96:12 4:15 35:48 40 43:34 69:48 100:1 400 86:47,48 41 3:22 41.2 72:42 42 88:2 435 12:1,18 45 51:23 476 12:2  8:30 1:22 8:39 4:2 80 14:23,40 15:34,36 16:11,11 25:12,29 26:3,13,20,23,34,42 26:48 27:8,26,31,44 34:7,9 37:9 39:12,2 107:28 800 71:21 81 86:6 82 3:29 8777 1:21  9 953:33 9:45 5:18 9:59 40:16 90 26:23,42 27:4,8,27 27:32 32:9,34 38:48 39:30 44:27 86:8 107:25 90s 38:4		
30th 64:29 31 27:39 83:16,17,21,31 83:48 84:1 90:32 315 12:7,9 31st 64:19 84:24,39,41 89:26 90:28,46 91:45 96:1,15,15,16 33 43:17 34 51:19 3rd 84:42  4 4 3:11,14 96:12 4:15 35:48 40 43:34 69:48 100:1 400 86:47,48 41 3:22 41.2 72:42 42 88:2 435 12:1,18 45 51:23 476 13:2  98:39 4:2 80 14:23,40 15:34,36 16:11,11 25:12,29 26:3,13,20,23,34,42 26:48 27:8,26,31,44 34:7,9 37:9 39:12,2 107:28 800 71:21 81 86:6 82 3:29 8777 1:21  9 953:33 9:45 5:18 9:59 40:16 90 26:23,42 27:4,8,27 27:32 32:9,34 38:48 39:30 44:27 86:8 107:25 90s 38:4	<b>30</b> 43:41 70:23 83:10	
31 27:39 83:16,17,21,31 83:48 84:1 90:32 315 12:7,9 31st 64:19 84:24,39,41 89:26 90:28,46 91:45 96:1,15,15,16 33 43:17 34 51:19 3rd 84:42  43:11,14 96:12 4:15 35:48 40 43:34 69:48 100:1 400 86:47,48 41 3:22 41.2 72:42 42 88:2 435 12:1,18 45 51:23 476 13:2 90 814:23,40 15:34,36 16:11,11 25:12,29 26:3,13,20,23,34,42 26:48 27:8,26,31,44 34:7,9 37:9 39:12,2 107:28 800 71:21 81 86:6 82 3:29 8777 1:21  9 953:33 9:45 5:18 9:59 40:16 90 26:23,42 27:4,8,27 27:32 32:9,34 38:48 39:30 44:27 86:8 107:25 90s 38:4	<b>30th</b> 64:29	
83:48 84:1 90:32 315 12:7,9 31st 64:19 84:24,39,41 89:26 90:28,46 91:45 96:1,15,15,16 33 43:17 34 51:19 3rd 84:42  4 4 3:11,14 96:12 4:15 35:48 40 43:34 69:48 100:1 400 86:47,48 41 3:22 41.2 72:42 42 88:2 435 12:1,18 45 51:23 476 13:23 16:11,11 25:12,29 26:3,13,20,23,34,42 26:48 27:8,26,31,44 34:7,9 37:9 39:12,2 107:28 800 71:21 81 86:6 82 3:29 8777 1:21  9 953:33 9:45 5:18 9:59 40:16 90 26:23,42 27:4,8,27 27:32 32:9,34 38:48 39:30 44:27 86:8 107:25 90s 38:4	<b>31</b> 27:39 83:16,17,21,31	
315 12:7,9 31st 64:19 84:24,39,41 89:26 90:28,46 91:45 96:1,15,15,16 33 43:17 34 51:19 3rd 84:42  4 4 3:11,14 96:12 4:15 35:48 40 43:34 69:48 100:1 400 86:47,48 41 3:22 41.2 72:42 42 88:2 435 12:1,18 45 51:23 476 12:2		
31st 64:19 84:24,39,41 89:26 90:28,46 91:45 96:1,15,15,16 33 43:17 34 51:19 3rd 84:42  4 43:11,14 96:12 4:15 35:48 40 43:34 69:48 100:1 400 86:47,48 41 3:22 41.2 72:42 42 88:2 435 12:1,18 45 51:23 476 13:2 90 26:23,42 27:4,8,27 27:32 32:9,34 38:48 39:30 44:27 86:8 107:25 90s 38:4		
89:26 90:28,46 91:45 96:1,15,15,16 33 43:17 34 51:19 3rd 84:42  43:11,14 96:12 4:15 35:48 40 43:34 69:48 100:1 400 86:47,48 41 3:22 41.2 72:42 42 88:2 435 12:1,18 45 51:23 476 13:2 90 26:23,42 27:4,8,27 27:32 32:9,34 38:48 39:30 44:27 86:8 107:25 90s 38:4	· ·	
96:1,15,15,16 33 43:17 34 51:19 3rd 84:42  43:11,14 96:12 4:15 35:48 40 43:34 69:48 100:1 400 86:47,48 41 3:22 41.2 72:42 42 88:2 435 12:1,18 45 51:23 476 13:2 90 53:33 9:45 5:18 90 26:23,42 27:4,8,27 27:32 32:9,34 38:48 39:30 44:27 86:8 107:25 90s 38:4		
33 43:17 34 51:19 3rd 84:42  4 4 3:11,14 96:12 4:15 35:48 40 43:34 69:48 100:1 400 86:47,48 41 3:22 41.2 72:42 42 88:2 435 12:1,18 45 51:23 476 13:2 900 71:21 81 86:6 82 3:29 8777 1:21  9 953:33 9:45 5:18 9:59 40:16 90 26:23,42 27:4,8,27 27:32 32:9,34 38:48 39:30 44:27 86:8 107:25 90s 38:4	· ·	
34 51:19 3rd 84:42  4 3:11,14 96:12 4:15 35:48 40 43:34 69:48 100:1 400 86:47,48 41 3:22 41.2 72:42 42 88:2 435 12:1,18 45 51:23 476 13:2 90 607 1.21 81 86:6 82 3:29 8777 1:21  9 953:33 9:45 5:18 9:59 40:16 90 26:23,42 27:4,8,27 27:32 32:9,34 38:48 39:30 44:27 86:8 107:25 90s 38:4		
3rd 84:42         4       82 3:29         4 3:11,14 96:12       9         4:15 35:48       9 53:33         40 43:34 69:48 100:1       9:45 5:18         41 3:22       90 26:23,42 27:4,8,27         41.2 72:42       27:32 32:9,34 38:48         42 88:2       39:30 44:27 86:8         45 51:23       107:25         476 13:2       90s 38:4		<b>800</b> 71:21
4 4 3:11,14 96:12 4:15 35:48 40 43:34 69:48 100:1 400 86:47,48 41 3:22 41.2 72:42 42 88:2 435 12:1,18 45 51:23 476 13:2 9 9 53:33 9:45 5:18 9:59 40:16 90 26:23,42 27:4,8,27 27:32 32:9,34 38:48 39:30 44:27 86:8 107:25 90s 38:4		<b>81</b> 86:6
4 4 3:11,14 96:12 4:15 35:48 40 43:34 69:48 100:1 400 86:47,48 41 3:22 41.2 72:42 42 88:2 435 12:1,18 45 51:23 476 13:2  9 9 53:33 9:45 5:18 9:59 40:16 90 26:23,42 27:4,8,27 27:32 32:9,34 38:48 39:30 44:27 86:8 107:25 90s 38:4	<b>3rd</b> 84:42	
4 4 3:11,14 96:12 4:15 35:48 40 43:34 69:48 100:1 400 86:47,48 41 3:22 41.2 72:42 42 88:2 435 12:1,18 45 51:23 476 13:2 9 9 53:33 9:45 5:18 9:59 40:16 90 26:23,42 27:4,8,27 27:32 32:9,34 38:48 39:30 44:27 86:8 107:25 90s 38:4		
4:15 35:48         40 43:34 69:48 100:1         400 86:47,48         41 3:22         41.2 72:42         42 88:2         435 12:1,18         45 51:23         476 13:2             9 53:33         9:45 5:18         9:59 40:16         90 26:23,42 27:4,8,27         27:32 32:9,34 38:48         39:30 44:27 86:8         107:25         90s 38:4		· · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
4:15 35:48         40 43:34 69:48 100:1         400 86:47,48         41 3:22         41.2 72:42         42 88:2         435 12:1,18         45 51:23         476 13:3             9 53:33         9:45 5:18         9:59 40:16         90 26:23,42 27:4,8,27         27:32 32:9,34 38:48         39:30 44:27 86:8         107:25         90s 38:4	<b>4</b> 3:11,14 96:12	9
40 43:34 69:48 100:1       9:45 5:18         400 86:47,48       9:59 40:16         41 3:22       90 26:23,42 27:4,8,27         42 88:2       27:32 32:9,34 38:48         435 12:1,18       39:30 44:27 86:8         45 51:23       107:25         90 \$3:35       9:45 5:18         90 26:23,42 27:4,8,27       27:32 32:9,34 38:48         39:30 44:27 86:8       107:25         90 \$3:45       38:4		
400 86:47,48       9:59 40:16         41 3:22       90 26:23,42 27:4,8,27         42 88:2       27:32 32:9,34 38:48         435 12:1,18       39:30 44:27 86:8         45 51:23       107:25         90 26:23,42 27:4,8,27       27:32 32:9,34 38:48         39:30 44:27 86:8       39:30 44:27 86:8         107:25       90s 38:4		
<b>41</b> 3:22 <b>41.2</b> 72:42 <b>42</b> 88:2 <b>435</b> 12:1,18 <b>45</b> 51:23 <b>476</b> 13:2		
<b>41.2</b> 72:42 <b>42</b> 88:2 <b>435</b> 12:1,18 <b>45</b> 51:23 <b>476</b> 12:2	-	
<b>42</b> 88:2 <b>435</b> 12:1,18 <b>45</b> 51:23 <b>476</b> 12:2 <b>477</b> 12:2 <b>48</b> 12:2 <b>49</b> 13:2	_	
<b>435</b> 12:1,18 107:25 <b>45</b> 51:23 <b>90s</b> 38:4		
<b>45</b> 51:23 <b>90s</b> 38:4		39:30 44:27 86:8
<b>45</b> 51:23 <b>90s</b> 38:4		107:25
l <i>176</i> 10.0		
	<b>476</b> 12:3	
<b>  48</b> 10:23 38:28 107:22   <b>96</b> 83:6		
107:22 <b>99</b> 61:8,9		
<b>49</b> 12:18,19		<b>33</b> 01.0,3
,		

# <u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Highly Migratory Species Panel

Before: NOAA

Date: 09-07-17

Place: Silver Spring, MD

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near Nous &