

Lessons Learned Report

- Overview

- Conducted confidential interviews in fall 2010
 - Pre-Proposed Rule; all but one Team member interviewed
- Intent: Better understand strengths and limitations of Team's work to-date; identify strategies for improving future deliberations
- Interviews did not seek feedback on content of draft TRP; rather, focused on process

Lessons Learned Report

- Deliberations seen as highly productive
 - Strongest attributes: Team members, solid staff support, effective meeting structure, flexibility in approach
 - Other factors cited as well
 - “It was a hard negotiation, but a good negotiation and a good product. I think everyone should feel good about where we are.”

Lessons Learned Report

- Handful of overarching concerns cited
 - Gaps in participation
 - Data-sharing protocols
 - Partial disconnect between solution and data
 - Occasional gaps in pre-meeting preparation
- Secondary concerns also cited

Lessons Learned Report

- Potential needs and challenges cited moving forward
 - Look and feel of proposed and final Rule
 - Uncertain effectiveness of Plan elements
 - Persistent concerns about data quality
 - Adaptive nature of plan implementation
 - Includes premature pressure to revise Plan
 - Need to focus on in-state fisheries
 - Possible impact of external factors

Lessons Learned Report

- CONCUR Recommendations
 - Revisit Team membership to assess/address gaps
 - Review information-sharing protocols
 - Initiate data-sharing requests with State of Hawaii
 - Update Ground Rules
 - Address changes in Team member affiliations
 - Assess need to revisit information-sharing, media contact protocols
 - Other: selective use of more active facilitation; initiate periodic updates; focus future meetings in Oahu