

Predraft of

Amendment 3 to the Consolidated Atlantic Highly Migratory Species Fishery Management Plan

February 2009

Highly Migratory Species Management Division
Office of Sustainable Fisheries
National Marine Fisheries Service
1315 East-West Highway
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	Introduction.....	1
1.1	Management History.....	1
1.1.1	Pre-1999 Atlantic Shark Fisheries and Management.....	2
1.1.2	Amendment 1 to the FMP for Atlantic Tunas, Swordfish, and Sharks	5
1.1.3	The 2006 Consolidated HMS FMP.....	6
1.1.4	Amendment 2 to the Consolidated HMS FMP	8
1.1.5	Recent Stock Assessments.....	9
1.2	Purpose and Need for Action.....	16
2.0	Range of Potential Alternatives	23
2.1	Small Coastal Shark Effort Controls.....	23
2.1.1	SCS Quotas and Species Complexes	23
2.1.2	Retention Limits.....	37
2.1.3	Gear Restrictions.....	41
2.2	Pelagic Shark Effort Controls	51
2.3	Fisheries Re-Characterization.....	53
2.3.1	Regions	53
2.3.2	Seasons.....	55
2.4	Time/Area Closures	56
2.5	Monitoring and Compliance	72
2.5.1	Vessel Monitoring Systems	72
2.5.2	Dealer Reporting Requirements.....	74
2.5.3	Recreational Reporting Requirements	75
2.6	Additional Species Considerations	76
A.0	Appendix: Summary of Comments Received During Scoping	83

A.1	Why is NMFS Amending the Consolidated HMS FMP?.....	83
A.2	What is the Purpose of Scoping?	83
A.3	What Were the Comments Received?	84
A.3.1	SEDAR 13 Stock Assessment Report.....	84
A.3.2	Effort Controls	86
A.3.3	Time/Area Closures	87
A.3.4	Monitoring and Compliance	88
A.3.5	Additional Species Considerations	88
A.3.6	General Comments.....	89
A.4	Who Submitted Comments?	90
A.5	What Happens Now?	90

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Sources of blacknose shark mortality, 1999-2005 (NMFS, 2007a). Estimates from the ‘longline’, ‘nets’, and ‘lines’ columns are derived from data reported in the Northeast and Southeast General Canvass data systems. Longline discards are derived from multiplying the longline landings by the ratio of dead discards observed in the commercial shark bottom longline fishery. The numbers in the shrimp bycatch columns are derived using a Bayesian model (Nichols, 2007). 29
Table 2.2	Commercial landings of SCS in lb dw: 1999-2007. Source: Cortés and Neer, 2002, 2005; Cortés, 2003; Cortés pers. comm. 30
Table 2.3	Potential commercial quotas and species complex alternatives. 31
Table 2.4	Potential commercial and recreational retention limit alternatives..... 38
Table 2.5	Commercial landings of blacknose shark by region (general canvass data). (Cortés and Neer, 2007). 45
Table 2.6	Commercial landings of blacknose shark by region and gear type. (Cortés and Neer, 2007). 45
Table 2.7	Potential commercial and recreational gear restriction alternatives. 47
Table 2.8	Potential alternatives for pelagic shark considerations. 52
Table 2.9	Potential region alternatives. 54
Table 2.10	Potential time/area closure alternatives. 67
Table 2.11	Potential vessel monitoring system alternatives. 73
Table 2.12	Potential dealer reporting requirement alternatives. 74
Table 2.13	Potential recreational reporting requirement alternatives. 75
Table 2.14	Potential alternatives for the additional species considerations..... 78
Table A.1	Summary of the Steps in the EIS/FMP Amendment Process.....92

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	Phase plot for finetooth sharks. Sens = sensitivity model. W = WinBUGS surplus production model. WM = inverse CV weighting. AC = Alternative catch starting in 1950. All = all CPUE series (NMFS, 2007a)	18
Figure 1.2	Phase plot of Atlantic sharpnose sharks. S1, S2, and S4 were sensitivity model runs. S1 = same as the base model with the exception that indices were weighted by their inverse CV. S2 = assessments were run separately for a Gulf of Mexico and an Atlantic stock; only the Gulf of Mexico model converged. S4 = the fall SEAMAP index split; gave results that were very similar to the base model. BSP and WB are the results from the Bayesian Surplus Production and the WinBUGS surplus production model, respectively (NMFS, 2007a).....	19
Figure 1.3	Phase plot for bonnethead sharks. Sens = sensitivity runs. S1 = inverse CV weighting method. S2 = all indices are included (NMFS, 2007a).....	20
Figure 1.4	Phase plot for blacknose sharks. SPASM = State-Space, Age-Structured Production Model. W = WinBUGS complementary surplus production model. WM = inverse CV weighting. AC = alternative catch starting in 1950. All = all CPUE series (NMFS, 2007a).....	20
Figure 2.1	Percentage of recreational landings by state of blacknose sharks from MRFSS (1981 - 2005). Note: Zero landings were reported in AL for all years. (Cortés and Neer, 2007).....	46
Figure 2.2	Map of the reef fish longline and buoy gear restricted area in the Gulf of Mexico. Observed BLL sets from 1994-2007 are shown. The solid line is the longline and buoy gear restricted area boundary, and the dashed line is the EEZ. The double dashed line off the tip of Florida is the Gulf of Mexico/South Atlantic Fishery Management Council boundary delineation. Source: Shark Observer BLL Program.....	59
Figure 2.3	Map of the reef fish stressed area in the Gulf of Mexico. Observed BLL sets from 1994-2007 are shown. The solid line is the reef fish stressed area boundary, and the dashed line is the EEZ. The double dashed line off the tip of Florida is the Gulf of Mexico/South Atlantic Fishery Management Council boundary delineation. Source: Shark Observer BLL Program.	60
Figure 2.4	Neonate blacknose shark interactions. Data sources are from Carlson, 2002; Cooperative Atlantic States Shark Popping and Nursery Area Program (COASTSPAN); Cooperative Shark Tagging Program (CSTP); Mote Marine Laboratory (MOTE); SEAMAP; Southeast Gillnet Survey (SEGN); Southeast Longline Survey (SELL); and the Shark Observer Program (SOP).	61
Figure 2.5	Juvenile blacknose shark interactions. Data sources are from Carlson, 2002; Cooperative Atlantic States Shark Popping and Nursery Area Program	

(COASTSPAN); Cooperative Shark Tagging Program (CSTP); Mote Marine Laboratory (MOTE); SEAMAP; Southeast Gillnet Survey (SEGN); Southeast Longline Survey (SELL); the Shark Observer Program (SOP); Jones and Grace, 2002; and Parsons, 2002. 62

Figure 2.6 Adult blacknose shark interactions. Data sources are from Carlson, 2002; Cooperative Atlantic States Shark Pupping and Nursery Area Program (COASTSPAN); Cooperative Shark Tagging Program (CSTP); Mote Marine Laboratory (MOTE); SEAMAP; Southeast Gillnet Survey (SEGN); Southeast Longline Survey (SELL); the Shark Observer Program (SOP); and Parsons, 2002. 63

Figure 2.7 Observed smalltooth sawfish interactions in the shark BLL fishery from 1994-2007. Source: Shark BLL Observer Program 1994-2007..... 64

Figure 2.8 Observed sea turtle interactions in the shark BLL fishery from 1994-2007. Source: Shark BLL Observer Program 1994-2007..... 65

Figure 2.9 Blacknose shark specific interactions in SEAMAP surveys and commercial shrimp trawl fishery. The triangles are SEAMAP interactions and the circles are observed shrimp trawl interactions. Data sources from SEAMAP trawl survey (1973-2008) and Shrimp Observer Program (1992-2008)..... 66