


SOURCE DOCUMENT
FOR THE
SWORDFISH
FISHERY MANAGEMENT PLAN

FEBRUARY 1985



PREPARED BY THE

SOUTH ATLANTIC FISHERY MANAGEMENT COUNCIL
ONE SOUTHPARK CIRCLE, SUITE 306
CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA 29407-4699

IN COOPERATION WITH

CARIBBEAN FISHERY MANAGEMENT COUNCIL
GULF OF MEXICO FISHERY MANAGEMENT COUNCIL
MID-ATLANTIC FISHERY MANAGEMENT COUNCIL
NEW ENGLAND FISHERY MANAGEMENT COUNCIL

SOURCE DOCUMENT
FOR THE
SWORDFISH FISHERY MANAGEMENT PLAN

PART I: ADDENDUM TO THE SOURCE DOCUMENT
(FEBRUARY 1985)

PART II: SOURCE DOCUMENT
(MAY 1982)

Prepared By The
South Atlantic Fishery Management Council
One Southpark Circle, Suite 306
Charleston, South Carolina 29407-4699

In Cooperation With

Caribbean Fishery Management Council
Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council
Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council
New England Fishery Management Council

Financial assistance for producing this source document was provided by grant funds from the National Marine Fisheries Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, under Public Law 94-265, the Magnuson Fishery Conservation and Management Act.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The original swordfish source document (May 1982) has been updated and expanded. The addendum to the source document was prepared principally by John Hoey. The present source document was prepared by the South Atlantic Fishery Management Council staff:

Gregg T. Waugh, M.S.
Applicable Experience: Fishery biology and management
Coordinator

John J. Hoey, Ph.D.
Applicable Experience: Fishery biology
Visiting Scientist

Barbara S. Anderson, B.S.
Applicable Experience: Biology technician

C. Bruce Austin, Ph.D.
Applicable Experience: Marine resource economics
Plan Monitor

Steven A. Berkeley
Applicable Experience: Fishery biology and management

Loretta J. Glatfelter Word Processor Operator

Thanks are due to the following National Marine Fisheries Service personnel for their continued assistance and time in providing swordfish monthly landings data: Ernie Snell, Ken Harris, Bill Kelly, Ronnie Schultz, Orvill Alan, Ormon Farley, and Joan Palmer. Thanks are also due Gregory Peacock, Fisheries Operations Branch, Canadian Department of Fisheries and Oceans, Halifax, Nova Scotia for providing Canadian data.

Very special thanks are due to the fishermen and processors who have helped us extensively during the preparation of this document. The data contained in the document represent an example of the way in which managers and individuals in the fishery can cooperate to the mutual benefit of all concerned. We have made every attempt to be responsive to the many suggestions received during the numerous public hearings. Special thanks are due to the following individuals:

Melvin Siegel, Mar Quest Corporation
Roy Merritt, Merritt Seafood, Inc.
Becky Phillips, Merritt Seafood, Inc.
Michael W. Montella, Triple M Seafood
Arthur Dorsay, Beach Shrimp Packers
Captain Marty R. Bartlett, Penobscot Gulf Co.
Steve Lowe, Charlie's Seafood
Mr. & Mrs. Phil Ruhle, F/V Audrey Lynn
James C. Vogel, J.J.V., Inc.
Curt Blinsinger
Mr. & Mrs. Charles Johnson, F/V Powhatan
Ted Malley

Without the data supplied by these individuals we would still be using recorded catch by month and would not have as detailed an understanding of the swordfish fishery as we now have.

PART I

ADDENDUM TO THE SOURCE DOCUMENT
FOR THE
SWORDFISH FISHERY MANAGEMENT PLAN

JOHN J. HOEY, Ph.D.
VISITING SCIENTIST

South Atlantic Fishery Management Council
One Southpark Circle, Suite 306
Charleston, South Carolina 29407-4699

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
1.0 TITLE PAGE	i
2.0 TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
3.0 LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES	v
8.0 DESCRIPTION OF THE FISHERY	1
8.1 Description of stocks	1
8.1.2 Life history	1
8.1.2.1 Reproduction	1
8.1.2.2 Age and growth	1
8.1.2.3 Mortality	8
8.1.3 Ecological relationships	11
8.1.3.1 Larval ecology	11
8.1.3.2 Food-chain relationships	11
8.1.3.3 Predator-prey relationships	14
8.1.3.4 Movement patterns	15
8.1.3.4.1 Horizontal and vertical movements	15
8.1.3.4.2 Migrations	16
8.1.4 Stock definition	17
8.1.5 Abundance, historical fluctuations, and present condition	18
8.1.5.6 Abundance and present condition	28
8.1.6 Probable future condition	48
8.1.7 Interdependence on other species	48
8.1.7.1 Incidental species	48
8.1.8 Estimate of MSY	53
8.1.8.1 Yield-per-recruit analysis	53
8.2 Description of habitat	53
8.2.1 Condition of habitat	54
8.4 Description of fishery activity	56
8.4.1 History of exploitation	56
8.4.1.1 Recreational	56
8.4.1.2 Commercial	56
8.4.2 Domestic recreational and commercial fishery activities	56
8.4.2.1 Participating user groups	56
8.4.2.1.1 Recreational	57
8.4.2.1.2 Commercial	57
8.4.3 Vessels and fishing gear	60
8.4.3.1 Recreational	60
8.4.3.2 Commercial	60
8.4.4 Foreign fishing activities	63
8.4.4.1 Foreign fishing within the FCZ	63
8.4.4.2 Foreign swordfish fishing in the North Atlantic	68
8.4.4.3 Foreign swordfish fishery in the western North Atlantic	68
8.4.5 Conflicts between domestic and foreign fishing	68
9.0 REFERENCES	82
APPENDIX A: Swordfish yield-per-recruit analysis	
APPENDIX B: Monthly landings indexes	
APPENDIX C: Swordfish permit information	
APPENDIX D: Council staff drift net observations	

LIST OF TABLES

		<u>Page</u>
Table 1.	Comparison of age and growth results based on otoliths (Wilson and Dean, 1983) and anal spines (Berkeley and Houde, 1981).	4
Table 2.	Comparative YPR parameters from two sources.	5
Table 3.	Maximum dressed carcass weights reported in landings data from different areas in the western North Atlantic.	9
Table 4.	Input parameters (L' , L , L_{∞} , K) and derived mortality rates (Z values) for 1980 and 1983 samples from the east coast of Florida, South Carolina, and ports located north of 35° N.	12
Table 5.	List of prey species or family groups occurring in 182 swordfish stomachs from the western North Atlantic (1975-81) by number, volume, and frequency of occurrence.	13
Table 6.	Effort totals (sets and hooks) and the number of swordfish caught listed by year and region.	21
Table 7.	Number of swordfish, average dressed weight (kg), and X weight-CPUE (kg/100 hooks) by region and year.	23
Table 8.	Annual domestic swordfish landings	29
Table 9.	Species and effort totals for swordfish effort 1963-82. Species totals, percentages, and mean CPUE values (mean CPUE calculated by averaging individual sets) listed in descending order of percentage of species composition.	49
Table 10.	Species and effort summaries by fishery. (Mean CPUE was calculated by averaging individual sets.)	51
Table 11.	Total number of sets and swordfish, and mean swordfish CPUE values and mean CPUE rank, by water mass.	55
Table 12.	Total incidental swordfish catch (number of fish) extrapolated from observer data and data reported by the Japanese.	64
Table 13.	Longline incidental swordfish catch (number of fish) by area as reported by the Japanese and extrapolated from Observer data.	65

LIST OF TABLES
(continued)

	<u>Page</u>
Table 14. Summary of U.S. observer records of Japanese longline effort in the U.S. Fishery Conservation Zone 1978-1981.	67
Table 15. Foreign squid trawls swordfish bycatch.	69
Table 16. Swordfish catches (pounds) from the North Atlantic.	70
Table 17. Swordfish catches (pounds) from the western North Atlantic.	71
Table 18. Percent swordfish catch from the western North Atlantic taken by the USA, by Canada, and by both combined.	72
Table 19. Gear conflicts involving domestic and Japanese longline fishing vessels as reported by the Coast Guard.	74
Table 20. Damage to domestic vessels and fishing gear attributed to foreign vessels as reported by the NMFS gear compensation fund.	76
Table 21. Japanese/U.S. vessel longline conflicts reported to NMFS.	77
Table 22. Japanese/U.S. vessel longline conflicts reported to the Gulf of Mexico Council.	78
Table 23. Changes in incidental catches of billfishes by Japanese longline vessels (1978-1980).	80
Table 24. Gear conflicts involving domestic and Japanese longline fishing vessels.	81

LIST OF FIGURES

	<u>Page</u>	
Figure 1.	Length-weight regressions for swordfish landed in the western North Atlantic.	6
Figure 2.	Geographical distribution of swordfish effort for 1,588 sets from 1963 through 1982. Numbers of sets by 1° quadrangles of latitude and longitude.	20
Figure 3.	Mean swordfish CPUE plotted by year and region.	24
Figure 4.	The weight-frequency distribution for 7,985 swordfish landed by longline in Florida in 1979 (mean weight = 97.8 lb, 44.4 kg), 14,837 swordfish landed in 1980 (mean weight = 97.5 lb, 44.2 kg), and 17,737 swordfish landed in 1983 (mean weight = 89.0 lb, 40.4 kg). Dressed weight equals whole weight X 0.75	30
Figure 5.	South Carolina's swordfish size distribution 1978-83.	32
Figure 6.	Landings North of 35° N. 1980 sample based on 15,358 swordfish with mean dressed carcass weight of 89.7 lb (40.7 kg). 1983 sample based on 9,172 swordfish with mean dressed carcass weight of 96.2 lb (43.6 kg)	33
Figure 7.	The weight-frequency distribution for 7,637 swordfish landed by longline in the Gulf of Mexico in 1980 (mean weight = 58.0 lb, 26.3 kg) and 1,229 swordfish landed in 1983 (mean weight = 41.5 lb, 18.8 kg).	34
Figure 8.	Yield curves for swordfish given different ages first liable to capture (L').	38
Figure 9.	Weight-frequency plots for 1983 by area.	40
Figure 10.	Weight-frequency plots for 1980 by area.	41
Figure 11.	Composite histograms for 1980 and 1983 produced by combining data from the Gulf of Mexico, the east coast of Florida, South Carolina, and north of 35° N. 1980 histogram based on 41,430 swordfish carcasses with a mean dressed weight of 85.2 lb (38.6 kg). 1983 histogram based on 40,367 swordfish carcasses with a mean dressed weight of 82.3 lb (37.3 kg).	42
Figure 12.	How yield-per-recruit works	44

LIST OF FIGURES
(continued)

	<u>Page</u>
Figure 13. Species composition (%) from longline fisheries in the western North Atlantic	52
Figure 14. Swordfish statistics from the Stuart Sailfish Club	58
Figure 15. South Carolina recreation swordfish catch	59
Figure 16. Oceanographic analysis for 27 April 1983	61
Figure 17. Harpoon landings by year	62