

### 3.3 Habitat

#### 3.3.1 Regulatory Requirements

Section 303(a)(7) of the Magnuson-Stevens Act, 16 U.S.C. §§ 1801 *et seq.*, as amended by the Sustainable Fisheries Act in 1996, requires that FMPs describe and identify essential fish habitat (EFH), minimize to the extent practicable adverse effects on such habitat caused by fishing, and identify other actions to encourage the conservation and enhancement of such habitat. The Magnuson-Stevens Act defines EFH as “those waters and substrate necessary to fish for spawning, breeding, feeding or growth to maturity.” (16 U.S.C. § 1802 (10)). The EFH regulations (at 50 C.F.R. 600 Subpart J) provide additional interpretation of the definition of essential fish habitat: “‘Waters’ include aquatic areas and their associated physical, chemical, and biological properties that are used by fish, and may include aquatic areas historically used by fish where appropriate; ‘substrate’ includes sediment, hard bottom, structures underlying the waters, and associated biological communities; ‘necessary’ means the habitat required to support a sustainable fishery and the managed species’ contribution to a healthy ecosystem; and ‘spawning, breeding, feeding, or growth to maturity’ covers a species’ full life cycle.”

The EFH regulations require that EFH be described and identified within the U.S. Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) for all life stages of each species in a fishery management unit. FMPs must describe EFH in text, tables, and figures, as appropriate, that provide information on the biological requirements for each life history stage of the species. According to the EFH regulations, an initial inventory of available environmental and fisheries data sources should be undertaken to compile information necessary to describe and identify EFH and to identify major species-specific habitat data gaps. Available information should be evaluated through a hierarchical analysis based on: distribution data for some or all portions of the geographic range of a species (Level 1); habitat-related densities or relative abundances (Level 2); growth, reproduction, or survival rate comparisons between habitats (Level 3); and habitat-dependent production rates (Level 4). This information should be interpreted with a risk-averse approach to ensure that adequate areas are protected as EFH for the managed species. Habitats that satisfy the criteria in the Magnuson-Stevens Act have been identified and described as EFH in the 1999 FMPs and in Amendment 1 to the 1999 Tunas, Swordfish, and Shark FMP.

NMFS originally described and identified EFH and related EFH regulatory elements for all HMS in the management unit in the 1999 FMPs, and more recently updated EFH for five shark species (blacktip, dusky, finetooth, nurse, and sandbar) in Amendment 1 to the 1999 Tunas, Swordfish, and Shark FMP, which was implemented in 2003. The EFH regulations further require NMFS to conduct a comprehensive review of all EFH related information at least once every five years and revise or amend the EFH provisions if warranted. This includes modifying the boundaries of areas considered to be EFH. To that effect, NMFS is currently undertaking the comprehensive five-year review of information pertaining to EFH for all HMS in the management unit.

NMFS is currently conducting a review of the most recent life history and EFH related information available for HMS in the management unit, with an emphasis on the factors that influence distribution of the species. This includes information available in the form of fishery-independent sources (directed research investigations) fishery-dependent sources (capture and

bycatch reporting), and fishery observer data. For more information on identifying EFH see Section 2.2.

### ***3.3.1.1 Habitat Areas of Particular Concern***

The EFH regulations encourage FMPs and FMP amendments to identify habitat areas of particular concern (HAPCs) within EFH, for habitats that satisfy one or more of the criteria of being sensitive or vulnerable to environmental stresses, are rare, or are particularly important ecologically to the species. HAPCs represent subsets of identified EFH areas based upon the importance of their ecological function, their sensitivity to human-induced environmental degradation, development activities that serve as stressors on the habitat, and the rarity of the habitat. These areas should be identified to provide additional focus for conservation efforts.

Because of the lack of specific, detailed information regarding HMS habitat associations, the 1999 FMPs and Amendment 1 to the 1999 Tunas, Swordfish, and Shark FMP identified HAPC for only one HMS. The HAPC areas identified were for sandbar shark nursery and pupping grounds in Great Bay, NJ, lower and middle Delaware Bay, lower Chesapeake Bay, MD, and near the Outer Banks, NC, in areas of Pamlico Sound and off Hatteras and Ocracoke Islands. It is possible that the comprehensive five year review of new EFH related information may result in the identification of HAPCs for additional HMS species. For more information on identifying HAPCs see Section 2.2.

### ***3.3.1.2 Research and Information Needs***

The EFH regulations suggest that FMPs and FMP amendments should contain recommendations, preferably in priority order, for research efforts that have been identified as necessary for carrying out the EFH management mandate. The 1999 FMPs contain numerous recommendations for data needs, and many of these are being addressed through ongoing research efforts and data collection. These efforts vary from the gathering of additional information from diverse sources in order to better map the distributions of EFH, to long range research projects that will provide additional life history information for use in better defining the environmental parameters that influence the distribution of the HMS. For example, the highest priority recommendation was to continue the delineation of shark nurseries and establish geographic boundaries of the summer nurseries of commercially important species. To address this, in 2002, NOAA scientists, including the NEFSC, completed a research synthesis project to delineate shark nursery areas along the Atlantic East coast and in the Gulf of Mexico (McCandless *et al.*, 2003). The results of the comprehensive five year review should also result in an updated identification of research and information needs that should be addressed in order to improve the ability to conserve and manage habitat concerns under the EFH mandate. Updates on some of the research can be found in Section 3.3.3.

## **3.3.2 Habitat Types and Distributions**

HMS traverse large expanses of the world's oceans, straddling jurisdictional boundaries. Although many of the species frequent other oceans of the world, the Magnuson-Stevens Act only authorizes the description and identification of EFH in Federal, state or territorial waters, including areas of the U.S. Caribbean, the Gulf of Mexico and the Atlantic coast of the United

States to the seaward limit of the U.S. EEZ. These areas are connected by currents and water patterns that influence the occurrence of HMS at particular times of the year. On the largest scale, the North and South Equatorial currents bathe the U.S. Caribbean islands. The North Equatorial Current continues through the Caribbean Basin to enter the Gulf of Mexico through the Yucatan Straits. The current continues through the Florida Straits to join the other water masses (including the Antilles Current) to form the Gulf Stream along the eastern coast of the United States. Variations in flow capacities of the Florida Straits and the Yucatan Straits produce the Loop Current, the major hydrographic feature of the Gulf of Mexico. These water movements in large part influence the distributions of the pelagic life stages of HMS.

Tuna, swordfish, and billfish distributions are most frequently associated with hydrographic features such as density fronts between different water masses. The scales of these features vary. For example, the river plume of the Mississippi River extends for miles into the Gulf of Mexico and is a fairly predictable feature, depending on the season. Fronts that set up over the De Soto Canyon in the Gulf of Mexico, or over the Charleston Bump or the Baltimore Canyon in the Mid-Atlantic, may be of a much smaller scale. The locations of many fronts or frontal features are statistically consistent within broad geographic boundaries. These locations are influenced by riverine inputs, movement of water masses, and the presence of topographic structures underlying the water column, thereby influencing the habitat of HMS. Those areas that are known spawning grounds, or areas of aggregation for feeding or other reasons, are considered to be EFH for those species.

Sharks are found in a wide variety of coastal and ocean habitats including estuaries, nearshore areas, the continental shelf, continental slope, and open ocean. Many species are migratory and, like all other marine species, are affected by the condition of the habitat. Atlantic sharks are broadly distributed as adults but have been found to utilize specific estuaries as pupping and nursery areas during pupping season and throughout their neonate (newborn) life stages which may vary from a few to many months. Since coastal and coastal pelagic species frequently appear near shore and have pupping and nursery areas near shore, much more is known about their habitat requirements, particularly for early life history stages. Much less is known about the habitat requirements, pupping areas, and other details of pelagic and deep dwelling species.

The following sections are intended to provide a general overview of the various habitats with which HMS are most frequently associated. A more detailed description is contained in the 1999 Tunas, Swordfish, and Shark FMP.

### ***3.3.2.1 Atlantic Ocean***

Material in this section is largely a summary of information in MMS, 1992; 1996. Original sources of information are referenced in those documents.

The region of the Atlantic Ocean within which EFH for Federally managed HMS is identified spans the area between the Canadian border in the north and the Dry Tortugas in the south. It includes a diverse spectrum of aquatic species of commercial, recreational, and ecological importance. The distribution of marine species along the Atlantic seaboard is strongly affected by the cold Labrador Current in the northern part, the warm Gulf Stream in the middle

and southern portions of the region, and generally by the combination of high summer and low winter temperatures. For many species Cape Hatteras forms a strong zoogeographic boundary between the Mid- and South Atlantic areas, while the Cape Cod/Nantucket Island area is a somewhat weaker zoogeographic boundary in the north.

### *Coastal and Estuarine Habitat*

Although HMS move primarily through open ocean waters, they do periodically utilize inshore habitats. This is especially true for several species of sharks that move inshore, often into shallow coastal waters and estuaries, to give birth; these areas then become nursery areas as the young develop. Examples include Great Bay, New Jersey, Chesapeake Bay, Maryland and Delaware Bay, Delaware which provide important nursery habitat for sandbar sharks, and Bull's Bay, South Carolina, and Terrebone Bay, Louisiana which are important blacktip shark nursery areas. Typically, the pups (neonates) remain in these same areas throughout their early life stages, which may vary from a few to many months. Recent tagging studies have shown that some sharks return to summer nursery areas in subsequent years. Although billfish move primarily throughout open-ocean waters, two species, the white marlin and the sailfish can be found inshore. Sailfish are also known to move inshore to spawn off the east coast of Florida and in the Florida Keys.

Coastal habitats that may be encountered by HMS are described in this section. Those areas that are known nursery or spawning grounds, or areas of HMS aggregation for feeding or other reasons, are considered to be EFH for those species. It should be noted that characteristics of coastal and offshore habitats may be affected by activities and conditions occurring outside of those areas (farther up-current) due to water flow or current patterns that may transport materials that could cause negative impacts.

Estuaries are highly productive, yet fragile, environments that support a great diversity of fish and wildlife species, including sharks. Many commercially valuable fish and shellfish stocks are dependent on these areas during some stage of their development. In the vicinity of North Carolina, Virginia, and Maryland, approximately 90 percent of the commercially valuable fish species are dependent on estuaries for at least part of their life cycle.

Along the Atlantic seaboard coastal wetlands are located predominantly south of New York because these coastal areas have not been glaciated. Nearly 75 percent of the Atlantic coast salt marshes are found in the states of North Carolina, South Carolina, and Georgia. These three states contain approximately nine million acres of salt marsh. Wetland vegetation plays an important role in nutrient cycling, and provides stability to coastal habitats by preventing the erosion of sediments and by absorbing the energy of storms.

There are 13,900 square miles (sq mi) (36,000 square kilometers (sq km)) of estuarine habitat along the Atlantic coast, of which approximately 68 percent (9,400 sq mi) occurs north of the Virginia/ North Carolina border, with Chesapeake Bay contributing significantly to the total. South of the Gulf of Maine, where there is a wider coastal plain and greater agricultural activity, estuaries carry higher sediment and nutrient loads. The increased fertility and generally higher water temperatures resulting from these nutrient loads allow these estuaries to support greater numbers of fish and other aquatic organisms.

South of the Virginia/North Carolina border, there are approximately 4,500 sq mi (11,655 sq km) of estuarine habitat. The Currituck, Albemarle, and Pamlico Sounds, which together constitute the largest estuarine system along the entire Atlantic coast, make up a large portion of these southern estuaries. A unique feature of these sounds is that they are partially enclosed and protected by a chain of fringing islands, the Outer Banks, located 32 to 48 km (20 to 30 mi) from the mainland.

Because of their low tidal flushing rates, estuaries are generally more susceptible to pollution than other coastal water bodies. The severity of the problem varies depending on the extent of tidal flushing. In Maryland and Virginia, the primary problems reported are excessive nutrients (nitrates and phosphates), particularly in the Chesapeake Bay and adjoining estuarine areas. Other problems included elevated bacterial and suspended sediment levels. Non-point sources of pollution are considered one of the main causes of pollution. Elevated bacterial levels were also listed as a local coastal pollution problem in Maryland.

In North Carolina, the primary problems listed for estuarine areas were enrichment in organics and nutrients, fecal coliform bacteria, and low dissolved oxygen. Insufficient sewage treatment, wide-spread use of septic systems in coastal areas, as well as agricultural runoff are considered to be major causes of these pollution problems. Oil spills from vessel collisions and groundings, as well as illegal dumping of waste oil, are a common cause of local, short-term water quality problems, especially in estuaries along the North and Mid-Atlantic coasts. These sources of pollution and habitat degradation may have a negative impact on coastal shark populations, particularly during vulnerable early life stages.

Many of the coastal bays and estuaries along the Atlantic East Coast and Gulf of Mexico are described in greater detail in the 1999 Tunas, Swordfish, and Shark FMP, including the distribution, size, depth, freshwater inflow, habitat types, tidal range and salinity for each of the major estuaries and bays on the East coast and Gulf coast, and are not repeated here.

### *Continental Shelf and Slope Areas*

Moving seaward away from the coast, the next major geologic features encountered are the continental shelf and slope areas. The continental shelf is characterized by depths ranging from a few meters to approximately 60 meters (m) (198 ft), with a variety of bottom habitat types. Far less research has been done in this area than on the coasts and estuaries, and consequently much less is known about the specific habitat requirements of HMS within these regions.

The shelf area of the Mid-Atlantic Bight averages about 100 km (60 mi) in width, reaching a maximum of 150 km (90 mi) near Georges Bank, off New England, and a minimum of 50 km (30 mi) offshore Cape Hatteras, NC. Current speeds are strongest at the narrowest part of the shelf where wind-driven current variability is highest. The distribution of marine species, including HMS, along the Atlantic seaboard may be strongly influenced by currents, the warm Gulf Stream in the middle and south portions of the region, and generally by the combination of high summer and low winter temperatures.

The continental shelf in the South Atlantic Bight varies in width from 50 km (32 mi) off Cape Canaveral, FL to a maximum of 120 km (75 mi) off Savannah, GA, and a minimum of 30 km (19 mi) off Cape Hatteras. The shelf is divided into three cross-shelf zones. Waters on the inner shelf (0 to 20 m (0 to 66 ft)) interact extensively with rivers, coastal sounds, and estuaries. This interaction tends to form a band of low-salinity, stratified water near the coast that responds quickly to local wind-forcing and seasonal atmospheric changes. Mid-shelf (20 to 40 m (66 to 132 ft)) current flow is strongly influenced by local wind events with frequencies of two days to two weeks. In this region, vertically well mixed conditions in fall and winter contrast with vertically stratified conditions in the spring and summer. Gulf Stream frontal disturbances (e.g., meanders and cyclonic cold core rings) that occur on time scales of two days to two weeks dominate currents on the outer shelf (40 to 60 m (132 to 197 ft)).

The Mid-Atlantic area from Cape Cod, MA to Cape Hatteras, NC represents a transition zone between northern cold-temperate waters of the north and the warm-temperate waters to the south. Water temperatures in the Mid-Atlantic vary greatly by season. Consequently, many of the fish species of importance in the Mid-Atlantic area migrate seasonally, whereas the major species in the other three areas are typically resident throughout the year (MMS, 1992; 1996). The shelf-edge habitat may range in water depth between 40 and 100 m (131 and 328 ft). The bottom topography varies from smooth sand to mud to areas of high relief with associated corals and sponges. The fish species found in this area include parrotfish (*Scaridae*) and the deepwater species of the snapper-grouper assemblage.

The continental slope generally has smooth mud bottoms in water depths of 100 to 200 m (328 to 656 ft). Many of the species in this zone are representatives of cold water northern species exhibiting tropical submergence (i.e., being located in deeper, cooler water as latitude decreases).

A topographic irregularity southeast of Charleston, SC, known as the Charleston Bump, is an area of productive sea floor which rises abruptly from 700 to 300 m (2,300 to 980 ft) within a distance of about 20 km (12 mi), and at an angle which is approximately transverse to both the general isobath pattern and the Gulf Stream currents. The Charleston Gyre is a persistent oceanographic feature that forms in the lee of the Charleston Bump. It is a location in which larval swordfish have been commonly found and may serve as nursery habitat.

### *Pelagic Environment*

Many HMS spend their entire lives in the pelagic, or open ocean environment. These species are highly mobile and physiologically adapted to traveling great distances with minimal effort. Much of what is known about the association between HMS and their migrations across vast open ocean habitat comes from tagging studies.

While the open ocean may appear featureless, there are major oceanographic features such as currents, temperature gradients, eddies, and fronts that occur on a large scale and may influence the distribution patterns of many oceanic species, including HMS. For instance, the Gulf Stream produces meanders, filaments, and warm and cold core rings that significantly affect the physical oceanography of the continental shelf and slope. These features tend to aggregate both predators and prey, and are frequently targeted by commercial fishing vessels. This western

boundary current has its origins in the tropical Atlantic Ocean (i.e., the Caribbean Sea). The Gulf Stream system is made up of the Yucatan Current that enters the Gulf of Mexico through the Yucatan Straits; the Loop Current which is the Yucatan Current after it separates from Campeche Bank and penetrates the Gulf of Mexico in a clockwise flowing loop; the Florida Current, as it travels through the Straits of Florida and along the continental slope into the South Atlantic Bight; and the Antilles Current as it follows the continental slope (Bahamian Bank) northeast to Cape Hatteras. From Cape Hatteras it leaves the slope environment and flows into the deeper waters of the Atlantic Ocean.

The flow of the Gulf Stream as it leaves the Straits of Florida reaches maximum speeds of about 200 cm/s. During strong events, maximum current speeds greater than 250 cm/s have been recorded offshore of Cape Hatteras. The width of the Gulf Stream at the ocean surface ranges from 80 to 100 km (50 to 63 mi) and extends to depths of between 800 and 1,200 m (2,624 to 3,937 ft).

As a meander passes, the Gulf Stream boundary oscillates sequentially onshore (crest) and offshore (trough). A meander can cause the Gulf Stream to shift slightly shoreward or well offshore into deeper waters. The Gulf Stream behaves in two distinct meander modes (small and large), with the size of the meanders decreasing as they move northward along the coast. During the large meander mode the Gulf Stream front is seaward of the shelf break, with its meanders having large amplitudes. Additionally, frontal eddies and accompanying warm-water filaments are larger and closer to shore. During the small meander mode the Gulf Stream front is at the shelf break. Frontal eddies and warm-water filaments associated with small amplitude meanders are smaller and farther from shore. Since HMS tend to follow the edge of the Gulf Stream, their distance from shore can be greatly influenced by the patterns of meanders and eddies.

Meanders have definite circulation patterns and conditions superimposed on the statistical mean (average) condition. As a meander trough migrates in the direction of the Gulf Stream's flow, it upwells cool nutrient-rich water, which at times may move onto the shelf and may evolve into an eddy. These boundary features move south-southwest. As warm-water filaments, they transfer momentum, mass, heat, and nutrients to the waters of the shelf break.

Gulf Stream filaments are mesoscale events which occur regularly offshore the southeast United States. The filament is a tongue of water extending from the Gulf Stream pointing to the south. These form when meanders cause the extrusion of a warm surface filament of Gulf Stream water onto the outer shelf. The cul-de-sac formed by this extrusion contains a cold core that consists of a mix of outer-shelf water and nutrient-rich water. This water mix is a result of upwelling as the filament/meander passes along the slope. The period from genesis to decay typically is about two to three weeks.

The Charleston Gyre is a permanent oceanographic feature of the South Atlantic Bight, caused by the interaction of the Gulf Stream waters with the topographically irregular Charleston Bump. The gyre produces an upwelling of nutrients, which contributes significantly to primary and secondary productivity of the Bight. The degree of upwelling varies with the seasonal position and velocity of the Gulf Stream currents.

In the warm waters between the west edge of the Florida Current/Gulf Stream and 20° N and 40° N, pelagic brown algae, *Sargassum natans* and *S. fluitans*, form a dynamic structural habitat. The greatest concentrations are found within the North Atlantic Central Gyre in the Sargasso Sea. Large quantities of *Sargassum* frequently occur on the continental shelf off the southeastern United States. Depending on prevailing surface currents, this material may remain on the shelf for extended periods, be entrained into the Gulf Stream, or be cast ashore. During calm conditions *Sargassum* may form irregular mats or simply be scattered in small clumps. Oceanographic features such as internal waves and convergence zones along fronts aggregate the algae along with other flotsam into long linear or meandering rows collectively termed “windrows.”

Pelagic *Sargassum* supports a diverse assemblage of marine organisms including fungi, micro- and macro-epiphytes, sea turtles, numerous marine birds, at least 145 species of invertebrates, and over 100 species of fishes. The fishes associated with pelagic *Sargassum* include juveniles as well as adults, including large pelagic adult fishes. Swordfish and billfish are among the fishes that can be found associated with *Sargassum*. The *Sargassum* community, consisting of the floating *Sargassum* (associated with other algae, sessile and free-moving invertebrates, and finfish) is important to some epipelagic predators such as wahoo and dolphin. The *Sargassum* community provides food and shelter from predation for juvenile and adult fish, including HMS, and may have other functions such as habitat for fish eggs and larvae.

Offshore water quality in the Atlantic is controlled by oceanic circulation, which, in the Mid-Atlantic is dominated by the Gulf Stream and by oceanic gyres. A shoreward, tidal and wind-driven circulation dominates as the primary means of pollutant transport between estuaries and the nearshore. Water quality in nearshore water masses adjacent to estuarine plumes and in water masses within estuaries is also influenced by density-driven circulation. Suspended sediment concentration can also be used as an indication of water quality. For the Atlantic coastal areas, suspended sediment concentration varies with respect to depth and distance from shore, the variability being greatest in the mid-Atlantic and South Atlantic. Re-suspended bottom sediment is the principal source of suspended sediments in offshore waters.

### **3.3.2.2 Gulf of Mexico**

(Material in this section is largely a summary of information in MMS, 1996; Field *et al.*, 1991; and NOAA 1997. Original sources of information are referenced in those documents.)

The Gulf of Mexico supports a great diversity of fish resources that are related to a variety of ecological factors, such as salinity, primary productivity, and bottom type. These factors differ widely across the Gulf of Mexico and between inshore and offshore waters. Characteristic fish resources are not randomly distributed; high densities of fish resources are associated with particular habitat types (e.g., east Mississippi Delta area, Florida Big Bend sea grass beds, Florida Middle Grounds, mid-outer shelf, and the De Soto Canyon area). The highest values of surface primary production are found in the upwelling area north of the Yucatan Channel and in the De Soto Canyon region. In terms of general biological productivity, the western Gulf is considered to be more productive in the oceanic region than is the eastern Gulf. Productivity of areas where HMS are known to occur varies between the eastern and western Gulf, depending on the influence of the Loop Current.

### *Coastal and Estuarine Habitats*

There are 5.62 million hectares (ha) (13.88 million acres) of estuarine habitat among the five states bordering the Gulf. This includes 3.2 million ha (8 million acres) of open water, 2.43 million ha (6 million acres) of emergent tidal vegetation (including about 162,000 ha (400,318 acres) of mangroves), and 324,000 ha (800,636 acres) of submerged vegetation. Estuaries are found from east Texas through Louisiana, Mississippi, Alabama, and northwest Florida and encompass more than 62,000 sq km (23,938 sq mi) of water surface area. Estuaries of the Gulf of Mexico export considerable quantities of organic material, thereby enriching the adjacent continental shelf areas, and many of these estuaries provide important habitat as pupping and nursery grounds for juvenile stages of many important invertebrate and fish species including many species of Atlantic sharks.

Coastal wetland habitat types that occur along the Gulf Coast include mangroves, non-forested wetlands (fresh, brackish, and saline marshes), and forested wetlands. Marshes and mangroves form an interface between marine and terrestrial habitats, while forested wetlands occur inland from marsh areas. Wetland habitats may occupy narrow bands or vast expanses, and can consist of sharply delineated zones of different species, monospecific stands of a single species, or mixed plant species communities.

### *Continental Shelf and Slope Areas*

The Gulf of Mexico is a semi-enclosed, subtropical sea with a surface area of approximately 1.6 million sq km (0.6 million sq mi). The main physiographic regions of the Gulf basin are the continental shelf, continental slope and associated canyons, the Yucatan and Florida Straits, and the abyssal plains. The U.S. continental shelf is narrowest, only 16 km (9.9 mi) wide, off the Mississippi River. The continental shelf width varies significantly from about 350 km (217 mi) offshore western Florida, 156 km (97 mi) off Galveston, TX, and decreasing to 88 km (55 mi) off Port Isabel near the Mexican border. The depth of the central abyss ranges to 4,000 m (13,000 ft). The Gulf is unique because it has two entrances: the Yucatan Strait and the Straits of Florida. The Gulf's general circulation is dominated by the Loop Current and its associated eddies. The Loop current is caused by differences between the sill depths of the two straits. Coastal and shelf circulation, on the other hand, is driven by several forcing mechanisms: wind stress, freshwater input, buoyancy and mass fluxes, and transfer of momentum and energy through the seaward boundary.

In the Gulf, the continental shelf extends seaward from the shoreline to about the 200-m water depth (660 ft), and is characterized by a gentle slope of less than one degree. The continental slope extends from the shelf edge to the continental rise, usually at about the 2,000-m (6,500 ft) water depth. The topography of the slope in the Gulf is uneven and is broken by canyons, troughs, and escarpments. The gradient on the slope is characteristically one to six degrees, but may exceed 20 degrees in some places, particularly along escarpments. The continental rise is the apron of sediment accumulated at the base of the slope. The incline is gentle with slopes of less than one degree. The abyssal plain is the basin floor at the base of the continental rise.

## *Physical Oceanography*

The Gulf receives large amounts of freshwater runoff from the Mississippi River as well as from a host of other drainage systems. In recent years, large amount of nutrient laden runoff from the Mississippi River have resulted in large hypoxic or low oxygen areas in the Gulf. This “dead zone” may affect up to 16,500 sq km (6,371 sq mi) during the summer, resulting in unfavorable habitat conditions for a wide variety of species.

Sea-surface temperatures in the Gulf range from nearly constant throughout (isothermal) (29° to 30°C (84° to 86°F)) in August to a sharp horizontal gradient in January, (25°C (77°F) in the Loop Current core to 14° to 15° C (57° to 59°F) along the northern shelf). The vertical distribution of temperature reveals that in January, the thermocline depth is about 30 to 61 m (98 to 200 ft) in the northeast Gulf and 91 to 107 m (298 to 350 ft) in the northwest Gulf. In May, the thermocline depth is about 46 m (150 ft) throughout the entire Gulf.

Sea surface salinities along the north Gulf vary seasonally. During months of low freshwater input, salinities near the coastline range between 29 to 32 ppt. High freshwater input conditions during the spring and summer months result in strong horizontal gradients and inner shelf salinities less than 20 ppt. The mixed layer in the open Gulf, from the surface to a depth of approximately 100 to 150 m (330 to 495 ft), is characterized by salinities between 36.0 and 36.5 ppt.

Sharp discontinuities of temperature and/or salinity at the sea surface, such as the Loop Current front or fronts associated with eddies or river plumes, are dynamic features that may act to concentrate buoyant material such as detritus, plankton, or eggs and larvae. These materials are transported, not by the front's movements or motion across the front, but mainly by lateral movement along the front. In addition to open ocean fronts, a coastal front, which separates turbid, lower salinity water from the open-shelf regime, is probably a permanent feature of the north Gulf shelf. This front lies about 30 to 50 km (19 to 31 mi) offshore. In the Gulf, these fronts are the most commonly utilized habitat of the pelagic HMS species.

The Loop Current is a highly variable current entering the Gulf through the Yucatan Straits and exiting through the Straits of Florida (as a component of the Gulf Stream) after tracing an arc that may intrude as far north as the Mississippi-Alabama shelf. This current has been detected down to about 1,000 m (3,300 ft) below the surface. Below that level there is evidence of a countercurrent. When the Loop Current extends into or near shelf areas, instabilities, such as eddies, may develop that can push warm water onto the shelf or entrain cold water from the shelf. These eddies consist of warm water rotating in a clockwise fashion. Major Loop Current eddies have diameters on the order of 300 to 400 km (186 to 249 miles), and may extend to a depth of about 1,000 m. Once these eddies are free from the Loop Current, they travel into the western Gulf along various paths to a region between 25° N to 28° N and 93° W to 96° W. As eddies travel westward a decrease in size occurs due to mixing with resident waters and friction with the slope and shelf bottoms. The life of an individual eddy, until its eventual assimilation by regional circulation in the western Gulf, is about one year. Along the Louisiana/Texas slope, eddies are frequently observed to affect local current patterns, hydrographic properties, and possibly the biota of fixed oil and gas platforms or hard bottoms.

Once an eddy is shed, the Loop Current undergoes major dimensional adjustments and reorganization.

### ***3.3.2.3 U.S. Caribbean***

(Material in this section is largely a summary of information in Appeldoorn and Meyers, 1993. Original sources of information are referenced in that document.)

The waters of the Caribbean region include the coastal waters surrounding the U.S. Virgin Islands and Puerto Rico. All of these Caribbean islands, with the exception of St. Croix, are part of a volcanic chain of islands formed by the subduction of one tectonic plate beneath another. Tremendously diverse habitats (rocky shores, sandy beaches, mangroves, seagrasses, algal plains, and coral reefs) and the consistent light and temperature regimes characteristic of the tropics are conducive to high species diversity.

The waters of the Florida Keys and southeast Florida are intrinsically linked with the waters of the Gulf of Mexico and the waters of the Caribbean to the west, south, and east, and to the waters of the South Atlantic Bight to the north. These waters represent a transition from insular to continental regimes and from tropical to temperate regimes. This zone, therefore, contains one of the richest floral and faunal complexes.

#### *Coastal and Estuarine Habitats*

Although the U.S. waters of the Caribbean are relatively nutrient poor, and therefore have low rates of primary and secondary productivity, they display some of the greatest diversity of any part of the South Atlantic region. High and diverse concentrations of biota are found where habitat is abundant. Coral reefs, sea grass beds, and mangrove ecosystems are the most productive of the habitat types found in the Caribbean, but other areas such as soft-bottom lagoons, algal hard grounds, mud flats, salt ponds, sandy beaches, and rocky shores are also important in overall productivity. These diverse habitats allow for a variety of floral and faunal populations.

Offshore, between the sea grass beds and the coral reefs and in deeper waters, sandy bottoms and algal plains dominate. These areas may be sparsely or densely vegetated with a canopy of up to one meter of red and brown algae. Algal plains are not areas of active sand transport. These are algae-dominated sandy bottoms, often covered with carbonate nodules. They occur primarily in deep water (> 15 m, or 50 ft), and account for roughly 70 percent of the area of the insular shelf of the U.S. Virgin Islands. Algal plains support a variety of organisms including algae, sponges, gorgonians, solitary corals, mollusks, fish, and worms, and may serve as critical juvenile habitat for commercially important (and diminishing) species such as queen triggerfish and spiny lobsters.

Coral reefs and other coral communities are some of the most important ecological (and economic) coastal resources in the Caribbean. They act as barriers to storm waves and provide habitat for a wide variety of marine organisms, including most of the economically important species of fish and shellfish. They are the primary source for carbonate sand, and serve as the basis for much of the tourism. Coral communities are made by the build up of calcium carbonate

produced by living animals, coral polyps, in symbiosis with a dinoflagellate, known as zooxanthellae. During summer and early fall, most of the coral building organisms are at or near the upper temperature limit for survival and so are living under natural conditions of stress. Further increase in local or global temperature could prove devastating.

Sea grass beds are highly productive ecosystems that are quite extensive in the Caribbean; some of the largest sea grass beds in the world lie beyond the shore on both sides of the Keys. Sea grass beds often occur in close association with shallow-water coral reefs. Seagrasses are flowering plants that spread through the growth of roots and rhizomes. These act to trap and stabilize sediments, reduce shoreline erosion, and buffer coral reefs; they provide food for fish, sea turtles (heavy grazers), conch, and urchins; they provide shelter and habitat for many adult species and numerous juvenile species who rely on the sea grass beds as nursery areas; and they provide attachment surfaces for calcareous algae.

Mangrove habitats are very productive coastal systems that support a wide variety of organisms. The mangrove food web is based largely on the release of nutrients from the decomposition of mangrove leaves, and in part on the trapping of terrestrial material. Red mangroves (*Rhizophora mangle*), with their distinctive aerial prop roots, grow along the shoreline, often in mono-specific stands. The roots of the red mangroves help to trap sediments and pollutants associated with terrestrial runoff and help to buffer the shore from storm waves. Red mangrove forests support a diverse community of sponges, tunicates, algae, larvae, and corals, as well as juvenile and adult fish and shellfish. Black mangroves (*Aveicennia germinans*) and white mangroves (*Laguncularia racemosa*) grow landward of the red mangroves. They also act as important sediment traps. Exposed and sheltered mangrove shorelines are common throughout the U.S. Caribbean.

Throughout the U.S. Caribbean, both rocky shores and sandy beaches are common. While many of these beaches are high-energy and extremely dynamic, buffering by reefs and seagrasses allows some salt-tolerant plants to colonize the beach periphery. Birds, sea turtles, crabs, clams, worms, and urchins use the intertidal areas.

Salt ponds, common in the U.S. Virgin Islands, are formed when mangroves or fringing coral reefs grow or storm debris is deposited, effectively isolating a portion of a bay. The resulting “pond” undergoes significant fluctuations of salinity with changes in relative evaporation and runoff. The biota associated with salt ponds are, therefore, very specialized, and usually somewhat limited. Salt ponds are extremely important in trapping terrestrial sediments before they reach the coastal waters.

### *Insular Shelf and Slope Areas*

Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands contain a wide variety of coastal marine habitats, including coral and rock reefs, sea grass beds, mangrove lagoons, sand and algal plains, soft bottom areas, and sandy beaches. These habitats are, however, very patchily distributed. Nearshore waters range from zero to 20 m (66 ft) in depth, and outer shelf waters range from 20 to 30 m (66 to 99 ft) in depth, the depth of the shelf break. Along the north coast the insular shelf is very narrow (two to three km wide), seas are generally rough, and few good harbors are present. The coast is a mixture of coral and rock reefs, and sandy beaches. The east coast has an

extensive shelf that extends to the British Virgin Islands. Depth ranges from 18 to 30 m (59 to 99 ft). Much of the bottom is sandy, commonly with algal and sponge communities. The southeast coast has a narrow shelf (eight km wide). About 25 km (15.5 mi) to the southeast is Grappler Bank, a small seamount with its summit at a depth of 70 m (231 ft). The central south coast broadens slightly to 15 km (9.9 mi) and an extensive sea grass bed extends nine kilometers offshore to Caja de Muertos Island. Further westward, the shelf narrows again to just 2 km (1.2 mi) before widening at the southwest corner to over 10 km (6 mi). The entirety of the southern shelf is characterized by hard or sand-algal bottoms with emergent coral reefs, grass beds, and shelf edge. Along the southern portion of the west coast the expanse of shelf continues to widen, reaching 25 km (15.5 mi) at its maximum. A broad expanse of the shelf is found between 14 and 27 m (46 and 99 ft), where habitats are similar to those of the south coast. To the north, along the west coast, the shelf rapidly narrows to two to three kilometers.

### *Physical Oceanography*

U.S. Caribbean waters are primarily influenced by the westward flowing North Equatorial Current, the predominant hydrological driving force in the Caribbean region. It flows from east to west along the northern boundary of the Caribbean plateau and splits at the Lesser Antilles, flowing westward along the north coasts of the islands.

The north branch of the Caribbean Current flows west into the Caribbean Basin at roughly 0.5 m (1.7 ft) per second. It is located about 100 km (62 mi) south of the islands, but its position varies seasonally. During the winter it is found further to the south than in summer. Flow along the south coast of Puerto Rico is generally westerly, but this is offset by gyres formed between the Caribbean Current and the island. The Antilles Current flows to the west along the northern edge of the Bahamas Bank and links the waters of the Caribbean to those of southeast Florida.

Coastal surface water temperatures remain fairly constant throughout the year and average between 26° and 30°C (79° and 86°F). Salinity of coastal waters is purely oceanic and therefore is usually around 36 ppt. However, in the enclosed or semi-enclosed embayments salinity may vary widely depending on fluvial and evaporational influences.

It is believed that no up-welling occurs in the waters of the U.S. Caribbean (except perhaps during storm events) and, since the waters are relatively stratified, they are severely nutrient-limited. In tropical waters nitrogen is the principal limiting nutrient.

### **3.3.3 Stock Assessment and Fishery Evaluation (SAFE) Report – Research Updates**

#### **3.3.3.1 *Atlantic Sharks***

Cooperation between Federal and state governments in developing coordinated conservation measures is important to successful domestic management of coastal shark species because range, migrations and mating and pupping areas overlap some state and even federal jurisdictions. Many coastal species utilize highly productive bays and estuaries within state waters as nursery habitat (where parturition and young-of-the-year sharks occur) and/or

secondary nursery habitat (utilized by juveniles, age 1+ only). Studies suggest that these inshore nursery grounds offer selective advantages of low predation rates and high forage abundance to juvenile sharks. Information on these areas is vital to understanding and managing sharks at this vulnerable stage when many sharks come closest to man's influence.

The HMS Management Division is currently funding two cooperative programs that are investigating shark pupping and nursery areas, the Cooperative Atlantic States Shark Pupping and Nursery (COASTSPAN) Survey, which began in 1998, and the Cooperative Gulf of Mexico States Shark Pupping and Nursery (GULFSPAN) Survey, which was initiated in 2003.

*The Cooperative Atlantic States Shark Pupping and Nursery (COASTSPAN) Survey*  
(McCandless et al. 2004)

The COASTSPAN Survey, an alliance of NMFS and Atlantic state cooperators, continued through 2004. Results for the 2003 sampling year were compiled and synthesized, and the final report is currently under review. Participants in the 2003 COASTSPAN survey included the North Carolina Division of Marine Fisheries, the South Carolina Department of Natural Resources, Coastal Carolina University, the University of Georgia's Marine Extension Service and the University of Florida's Program for Shark Research. Researchers from the National Marine Fisheries Service's Apex Predators Program and the University of Rhode Island conducted the survey in Delaware Bay. A total of 3,698 sharks were sampled in the 2003 COASTSPAN survey. Juvenile sharks sampled, tagged and released during the survey were the Atlantic sharpnose, blacknose, blacktip, bonnethead, bull, dusky, finetooth, nurse, sandbar, sand tiger, scalloped hammerhead, silky, spinner, and tiger sharks, and also the smooth and spiny dogfish. Environmental parameters for each sampling location were also measured to indicate habitat preferences. There were a number of tag recaptures returned by fishery biologists and commercial and recreational fisherman in 2003 from sharks which were tagged by COASTSPAN cooperators in previous years.

In 2003, a pilot study to characterize the diet and niche overlap of the sandbar shark and the smooth dogfish in Delaware Bay was initiated and a total of 277 sandbar shark and 83 smooth dogfish stomachs were sampled. During this pilot study, fishing methods as well as the stomach sampling techniques were refined. In addition, preliminary results from the random stratified sampling plan initiated in 2001 in Delaware Bay indicate that there was an increasing trend in the relative abundance of juvenile sandbar sharks during the summer nursery season from 2001-2003.

*The Cooperative Gulf of Mexico States Shark Pupping and Nursery (GULFSPAN) Survey*  
(Carlson et al. 2004)

In 2003, NMFS initiated the Cooperative Gulf of Mexico States Shark Pupping and Nursery (GULFSPAN) Survey to expand upon the Atlantic COASTSPAN Survey. States involved in the program during 2004, the second year of the program, include Florida, Mississippi, Alabama, and Louisiana. Sharks sampled, tagged, and released during the surveys included the Atlantic sharpnose, blacknose, blacktip, bonnethead, bull, finetooth, great hammerhead, sandbar, scalloped hammerhead, and spinner sharks. In addition, environmental

parameters were measured and qualitative type recorded. The most abundant sharks included the Atlantic sharpnose, blacktip, and bull shark.

In Florida waters, most species captured were juveniles and young-of-the-year. Among sharks for all areas combined, the Atlantic sharpnose shark, a member of the small coastal management group, was the most abundant shark captured, and the blacktip shark was the most abundant species captured in the large coastal management group. The bonnethead shark was the second most abundant species captured in the small coastal group and overall was the third most encountered species. The remaining species commonly captured in decreasing abundance were the finetooth, spinner, scalloped hammerhead, blacknose, and sandbar shark. Other species infrequently caught were bull shark, and great hammerhead shark, and the Florida smoothhound.

In Mississippi/Alabama waters 75 percent of the sharks captured were immature. The blacktip shark was the most abundant species caught, followed by the Atlantic sharpnose, finetooth, and bull sharks. In Louisiana, three species of sharks were caught in the 2004 sampling season; most species captured were juveniles. The blacktip shark was the most abundant species caught, followed by the bull shark. A single adult specimen of the finetooth shark and a single healed-scar, young-of-the-year Atlantic sharpnose shark were also collected in 2004.

New information on habitat preferences is also emerging from this study. Juvenile bonnethead sharks appear to prefer habitat dominated by seagrass (in northwest Florida) or mangroves (Louisiana). In areas where neither of these habitat types is available, juvenile bonnetheads are in very low numbers or absent (i.e. Mississippi Sound). Adult bonnethead sharks, however, are found in diverse habitats ranging from areas with a mud or sand bottom to areas dominated by seagrass. Evidence indicates bull sharks are found among the most diverse environmental conditions with salinities ranging from 15 ppt (in Louisiana and Mississippi) to 33 ppt (in northwest Florida), and over all habitat types. Juvenile sandbar sharks are still predominately caught in the northwest Gulf of Mexico while blacktip, finetooth, and Atlantic sharpnose sharks are found throughout all areas. Although bull sharks can be found over a variety of habitats, the areas of highest abundance are those adjacent to freshwater inflow.

Obtaining information regarding trophic relationships and feeding habits of sharks, also critical to understanding essential fish habitat, is another goal of the program. A quantitative examination of feeding ecology from different areas can assist in understanding how juvenile sharks use nursery habitats, and which habitats are more valuable as nursery areas than others.

*Mote Marine Laboratory Center for Shark Research (CSR) (Hueter and Tyminski. In Review)*

Mote Marine Laboratory's CSR long-term research program is focusing on identifying and understanding shark nursery areas of the U.S. Gulf of Mexico and southeast Atlantic coasts. This program has aimed to characterize these nursery areas, obtain estimates of juvenile shark relative abundance, distribution, and growth rates, and reveal the movement patterns of these sharks through tagging studies. As of fall 2004, the CSR has collected data on 20,732 sharks of 16 species that utilize these coastal waters as pupping and nursery areas. More than half of the captured sharks (12,241) comprise neonate, young-of-the-year (YOY) or older juvenile sharks. The studies have found that most pupping activity in the region occurs in the late spring and

early summer, and the neonate and YOY animals inhabit the primary nurseries throughout the summer and into the fall. Typically, declining water temperatures in the fall are associated with the exit of sharks from these natal waters to warmer southerly, and in some cases offshore, winter nurseries. Tag returns of Year-1 sharks have demonstrated travel distances to winter nursery areas of at least 500 km (311 mi). Tag return data have further demonstrated annual cycles of philopatric behavior whereby juveniles of both large and small coastal species migrate back to their natal nurseries in spring and summer.

### ***3.3.3.2 Atlantic Bluefin Tuna***

#### *The Tag-A-Giant (TAG) Program (Block. 2004)*

The TAG program, a collaborative effort among scientists from Stanford University, the Monterey Bay Aquarium, and NOAA Fisheries, continued in 2004, placing electronic tags internally and externally on Atlantic bluefin tuna in the North Atlantic to continuously record data. TAG deployed 201 archival and 37 pop-up satellite archival tags (PSATs) over the past two years, during which time 21 archival tags were recovered, more than a third of which were recaptured east of the 45 degree management line.

The objectives of the TAG program are to detail the movements and behaviors of Atlantic bluefin tuna using a range of electronic tagging technologies to answer questions about habitat preferences on spawning and feeding grounds, spawning site fidelity, the level of mixing between eastern and western stocks and how movements are influenced by age class and season; to link the biological data with environmental data to determine how the bluefin's physical environment influences behaviors, movements, abundance and distribution, and to obtain a high enough sample size to develop predictive models that will enable researchers to estimate the abundance and distribution of bluefin based on oceanographic features, season, and year class. This information is being collected primarily to provide ICCAT with the information.

To date, for the entire program over 12,000 geolocation points have been obtained. It is now possible to examine data in relation to year class, season, and spawning grounds visited. Bluefin tuna tagged in the west have shown visitation to both the Mediterranean and Gulf of Mexico spawning grounds. Most visitations to spawning grounds in the Gulf of Mexico occurred in the spring months where spawning fish appear to prefer mesoscale cyclonic eddies in the western Gulf. Data collected to date consistently show that spawning occurs primarily after the bluefin reach 10 years of age. This is quite different from the estimated age of first reproduction at eight years used in current stock assessments by ICCAT for the western stock and five years for the eastern stock. Bluefin tuna that are 8.5 years and younger tend to remain near New England in the summer and fall where as older fish move offshore, many traveling to the east of the 45 degree management zone to the Mid-Atlantic Bight and Flemish Cap. Seasonal patterns are also apparent. Bluefin tuna remained in the coastal and offshore waters of North Carolina and the South Atlantic Bight throughout the winter months, predominately over the shallow continental shelf. In the spring, most fish move north depending on age class, where they remain for the summer before returning to the south in the fall. The movements among regions appear to be dependent on temperature.

In 2002 and 2003, the TAG program expanded tagging efforts to New England, off the coast of Nantucket to spread efforts over a broader area. In 2003, efforts were expanded to the eastern Atlantic off the coast of Ireland where the program has obtained the first data on a new body of fish about which little is currently known. Deploying tags off Ireland will also increase the likelihood of documenting the behaviors of fish spawning in the Mediterranean for comparison to those spawning in the Gulf of Mexico. The improved understanding of bluefin movements and behaviors has important applications for management, and can serve as the basis for necessary changes in current management strategies.

*Atlantic Bluefin Tuna Movements off New England and in the Mid-Atlantic (Wilson et al. In Press)*

Beginning in 1997, studies led by the New England Aquarium have implanted pop-up and pop-up archival satellite tags (PSATs) on northern Atlantic bluefin tuna. Recent studies involved the implantation of PSATs into 68 Atlantic bluefin tuna in the southern Gulf of Maine and off the coast of North Carolina between July 2002 and January 2003. Most of the fish tagged in the southern Gulf of Maine in late summer/early fall remained in that area until late October, consistent with previous studies. Of the 33 fish with PSATs remaining attached over the winter months, 14 remained in northern shelf waters (between Maryland and Nova Scotia), 14 moved south to waters off the coasts of Virginia and North Carolina, and five were in offshore waters of the northwestern Atlantic Ocean. In the spring, six of the 11 fish with tags remaining either stayed in northern waters or moved to that area from Virginia and North Carolina waters, and the other five fish moved offshore into the Mid-Atlantic Ocean. Similar seasonal movement patterns have been shown by individuals tagged in coastal waters off North Carolina. During the winter months, these fish remained either on the Carolina shelf or in offshore waters of the northwestern Atlantic Ocean and moved offshore along the path of the Gulf Stream in spring. By summer, many were in northern shelf waters.

Swimming depth was significantly correlated with location, season, size class, time of day, and moon phase. The greatest depth recorded was 672 m (2,218 ft), and the fish experienced temperatures ranging from 3.4° to 28.7°C (38° to 83.7° F). The data show that Atlantic bluefin tuna spend the majority of their time in the top 20 m (66 ft) of the water column, descending occasionally to depths in excess of 500 m (1,650 ft). The vertical behavior of bluefin tuna differed among locations, with shallower swimming depths occurring when the fish were in inshore waters.

### **3.3.3.3 Billfish**

*Movements and Spawning of White Marlin (Tetrapturus albidus) and Blue Marlin (Makaira nigricans) off Punta Cana, Dominican Republic (Prince et al., In Review)*

Collaborative studies conducted by NMFS and University of Miami scientists using pop-up satellite archival tags (PSATs) and conducting adult and larval sampling off the Dominican Republic in the spring of 2003 have revealed important information concerning white and blue marlin spawning locations as well as horizontal and vertical movements. Co-occurrence of larval blue marlin and white marlin in samples suggest that the two species share a spawning location in the vicinity of Punta Cana. Adult white and blue marlin caught in the area appear to

have similar vertical and horizontal movement patterns in terms of time at depth, time at temperature, average horizontal displacement per day, net horizontal displacement, and directional dispersion (compass heading).

Displacements of seven white marlin tagged with PSATs ranged from 31.7 to 267.7 nmi (58.7 to 495.8 km), while displacement of one blue marlin was 219.3 nmi (406.2 km). In general, all marlin spent a high proportion of the monitoring time in the upper 25 m (27 yd) and at temperatures at or above 28° C (82° F). Minimum and maximum depth and temperatures monitored show that on most days marlin visited depths of 100 m (330 ft) or more, but generally stayed at these depths less than 10 percent of the time. Minimum temperatures ranged from 16.8° to 20.6° C (62.2° to 69° F), while maximum temperatures ranged from 28.2° to 30.0° C (82.7° to 86° F).

The characterization of adult movements and larval distribution in a potentially important spawning area is seen as a necessary “first step” towards improved management and rebuilding of depressed Atlantic billfish stocks. However, more information on the distribution of reproduction and nursery areas and on adult movement patterns is needed to help managers make more informed decisions regarding conservation of the resource.

*Virginia Institute of Marine Science (VIMS) Blue Marlin and White Marlin Tagging Studies (Kerstetter, Pers. Comm.)*

Scientists at VIMS have been involved with electronic tagging of blue and white marlin since 1999, some of which has been conducted in conjunction with the NOAA SEFSC. More recently, VIMS has deployed over 60 pop-up satellite archival tags (PSATs) on white marlin during the past three years from both recreational sport boats and a commercial pelagic longline vessel to determine post-release survival (paper in press). In addition to this work, VIMS is also in the process of updating information regarding habitat preferences and vertical movements of white marlin using environmental data obtained from the PSAT work, as well as other environmental data. Most of the work at VIMS, however, remains focused on the interactions of billfish with the various fisheries.

### **3.4 Fishery Data Update**

In this section, HMS fishery data, with the exception of some data on Atlantic sharks, are analyzed by gear type; Section 3.4.6 provides a summary of landings by species. While HMS fishermen generally target particular species, the non-selective nature of most fishing gears promote effective analysis and management on a gear-by-gear basis. In addition, issues such as bycatch, and safety are generally better addressed by gear type. A summary of catch statistics can be found in Section 3.4.6 of this report.

The revised list of authorized fisheries (LOF) and fishing gear used in those fisheries became effective December 1, 1999 (64 FR 67511). The rule applies to all U.S. marine fisheries, including Atlantic HMS. As stated in the rule, “no person or vessel may employ fishing gear or participate in a fishery in the exclusive economic zone (EEZ) not included in this LOF without giving 90 days’ advance notice to the appropriate Fishery Management Council (Council) or, with respect to Atlantic HMS, the Secretary of Commerce (Secretary).” Acceptable HMS